## Needs Assessment Results 2012 to 2017



SILSALT LAKE COUNTY
PARKS \& RECREATION

## Project Profile

We are comparing two statistically-significant surveys of SLCo residents.
, Studies conducted five years apart 2012 \& 2017.

- Results identify trends in service needs of county residents delivered by parks and recreation.
- Prevailing attitudes around revenue and fees and future actions are also examined.


## Age Demographics
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2017

## Geographic Demographics



2012


2017


PARKS \& RECREATION

## Demographics

## 2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:

, Respondents skew slightly older in 2017.

- Salt Lake City had a higher response rate in 2017 (+6\%).


## Park Amenities

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison



## Park Amenities

2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:

- Walking trails (new question) jumps to Rank \#1. The rest of the top 5 remain the same.
* Notable mover: Fenced off-leash dog parks up 10\% as a high priority.


Fenced off-leash dog parks

## Sports Amenities

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison


## Sports Amenities

2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:
Tennis Courts are the largest mover from bottom half to Rank \#3.

Multi-purpose fields overtake basketball courts as the \#1 priority amenity.

New pickleball question scores well ( $28 \%$ high priority).

## Recreation Amenities

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison


■ 2012 ■ 2017

## Recreation Amenities

Key Takeaway: Jordan River Trail and Splash pads gained as high priority items.


## Recreation Amenities

Key Takeaway: Outdoor swimming pool and Community gardens saw the biggest drop as high priority items.


Outdoor Swimming pool
Community garden

## Youth Recreation Programs

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison


## Youth Recreation Programs

## 2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:

- Learn to swim remains highest priority.
- Big riser - Youth athletics (+10\% high priority)
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## Adult Recreation Programs

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison


## Adult Recreation Programs

2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:
All categories in adult recreation remained at the same ranking.

- Fitness, continuing education, and organized athletics are the top three priorities of respondents.


## Recreation Services and Programs

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison
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## Recreation Services and Programs

 2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:After school programs and drop-in childcare went up as priority services.

Large drop in need of open access to computer labs as a general priority:


Open Access Computer Lab

## Outreach and Communication

 How did you learn about SLCo Parks and Recreation?2012 vs. 2017 Comparison


## Outreach and Communication

## 2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:

- Social media up, Newspapers down.



## Future Actions

2012 vs. 2017 Comparison



## Future Actions

## 2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:

, Park maintenance overtook walking trails for \#1 ranking.

- Building new trails and maintaining our existing trails both remain in the top five.
- Acquiring land for both parks and open space also remain top priorities.


## Taxes and User Fees - 2012

Cost to run program should be paid with taxes, combination of taxes and user fees, or user fees

$■$ Taxes ■ Combination $\quad$ Fees



## Taxes and User Fees - 2017



## Taxes and Fees

 2012 vs 2017 Key Takeaways:Attitudes about taxes and user fees remains relatively unchanged.

- Programs for youth and senior education and wellness, as well as programs for the disabled should be more subsidized.

Youth and adult sports should be more feebased.

## Conclusions

- We listen to the public and try to meet the needs of all County residents.
- Residents want a higher level of operations and maintenance.

Trails are universally popular.
This data allows us to strategically plan our future.

