# FORM D LOCAL AUTHORITY APPROVAL OF AREA PLAN

# IN WITNESS WHEREOF:

The Local Authority approves and submits the attached Area Plan for <u>State Fiscal Year 2019</u> in accordance with Utah Code Title 17 Chapter 43.

The Local Authority represents that it has been authorized to approve the attached Area Plan, as evidenced by the attached Resolution or other written verification of the Local Authority's action in this matter.

| The Local Authority acknowledges that if this Area Plan is approved by the Utah Department of Human Services Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DHS/DSAMH) pursuant to the terms of Contract(s) #, the terms and conditions of the Area Plan as approved shall be incorporated into the above-identified contract by reference. |
|---|
| LOCAL AUTHORITY:  |
| By: (Signature of authorized Local Authority Official, as provided in Utah Code Annotated)  |
| PLEASE PRINT:   |
| Name:   |
| Title:  |
| Date:   |

# **GOVERNANCE & OVERSIGHT NARRATIVE**

**Local Authority:** Salt Lake County Behavioral Health (DBHS)

#### Instructions:

In the cells below, please provide an answer/description for each question. PLEASE CHANGE THE COLOR OF SUBSTANTIVE NEW LANGUAGE INCLUDED IN YOUR PLAN THIS YEAR!

# 1) Access & Eligibility for Mental Health and/or Substance Abuse Clients

Who is eligible to receive mental health services within your catchment area? What services (are there different services available depending on funding)?

All residents of Salt Lake County are eligible for services regardless of their ability to pay. We do expect residents with insurance, adequate wages, or other forms of payment to pay for as much of their care as possible but payment is based on our Local Authority approved sliding fee schedules. In FY 2017 DBHS introduced a new fee schedule for adult treatment services. The new fee schedule better aligns DBHS's fee policy with federal poverty guidelines related to the Affordable Care Act. Additionally, the new fee schedule simplifies and streamlines the fee schedules previously in place. Public funds, by contract language, are the payer of last resort. We consider insurance and other non-public funds to be third party liability (TPL) payments and require Optum SLCo as well as other network providers to maximize TPL payments.

All ASAM levels of care (LOC), from ASAM 1.0 to ASAM 3.5, and all mental health LOCs, from standard outpatient to acute hospitalization, are available to any qualifying Salt Lake County resident. To qualify for DBHS funded services clients must meet a residency requirement and receive an ASAM or MH assessment to determine the appropriate level of care.

Within the Medicaid program, we maintain and adhere to Medicaid Access standards. Access for the Non-Medicaid population is challenging as funding limits availability. However, we do provide SUD interim groups for individuals who are awaiting enrollment in a program.

DBHS will submit their annual PMHP Financial Report (Medicaid Cost Report) to DSAMH annually within 15 days of finalizing the report with the Department of Health Division of Medicaid Financing.

Who is eligible to receive substance abuse services within your catchment area? What services (are there different services available depending on funding)?

Same response as above.

#### What are the criteria used to determine who is eligible for a public subsidy?

As described above, we expect clients who either have the ability to pay or have adequate insurance to pay for as much of their treatment as possible. However, for the underinsured and uninsured client proof of income must be provided. When determining the appropriate fee for services, providers are encouraged to take into account other financial responsibilities the client has, such as mortgage or rent, paying of fines, child support, etc., which demonstrate they are a contributing member of society and working toward recovery. For those who are indigent a history is obtained which shows the need for treatment and the lack of ability to pay for treatment. All providers are educated that the lack of ability to pay for treatment cannot be a barrier to treatment. The sliding fee scale applies to anyone who enters treatment under a public subsidy.

How is this amount of public subsidy determined?

In general, the amount of public subsidy is dependent on the appropriation amount by the legislature, the SLCo Council, and other grant/transfer funds available through the DSAMH. Amounts are also dependent on the intent of the funding – for instance the prevention set-aside cannot be used for MH services, the early intervention funds cannot be used for SUD treatment, etc.

Treatment is not just one service but a comprehensive list of services and an entire treatment episode can range from several hundred dollars to several thousand, depending on the need and the length of stay in treatment. Instead of how much of a public subsidy a person will receive, it is based on how much a person can pay.

For the underinsured and uninsured client proof of income must be provided. In addition to this, providers are encouraged to take into account other responsibilities the client has, such as mortgage or rent, paying of fines, child support, and other things for which they are showing that they are a contributing member of society and working toward recovery. For those who are indigent, a history is obtained that shows the need for treatment and the lack of ability to pay for treatment. Based on this information all providers are required by contract to have a sliding fee agreement in every client's file. All providers are educated that the lack of ability to pay for treatment cannot be a barrier to treatment.

#### How is information about eligibility and fees communicated to prospective clients?

All residents of Salt Lake County that need behavioral health services are eligible to receive them based on appropriations. All network providers are required via contract to apply the DBHS's approved sliding fee schedule, or an otherwise approved sliding fee schedule, and explain it adequately to all those Salt Lake County residents seeking care.

When a client first calls for an appointment, ideally the provider will inform the client of eligibility requirements, ask about Salt Lake County residency, and inform the client of required documents that he or she needs to bring to the intake. When a client first comes in for an intake, eligibility and fee criteria are communicated to the client in further detail. Providing the client has brought all the required documents, they can be immediately informed of eligibility and, if they qualify, what their financial responsibility is going to be.

Are you a National Health Service Core (NHSC) provider? YES/NO In areas designated as a Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSA) describe programmatic implications, participation in National Health Services Corp (NHSC) and processes to maintain eligibility.

DBHS is not an NHSC provider. Additionally, DBHS is not advised when any particular area is designated as HPSA.

# 2) Subcontractor Monitoring

The DHS Contract with Mental Health/Substance Abuse Local Authority states: When the Local Authority subcontracts, the Local Authority shall at a minimum:

(1) Conduct at least one annual monitoring review of each subcontractor. The Local Authority shall specify in its Area Plan how it will monitor their subcontracts.

Describe how monitoring will be conducted, what items will be monitored and how required documentation will be kept up-to-date for active subcontractors.

All contracted network providers are monitored at least once per year. DBHS staff conduct regular on-site monitoring, electronic monitoring through our EHR, and spot check monitoring as needed for all vendors who are directly contracted with DBHS. This includes our SUD vendors and also our mental health (MH) vendors who received non-Medicaid monies. Optum SLCo monitors its 150+ network providers at least once during the contract cycle. High volume audits are completed on all large providers annually. DBHS monitors/audits Optum SLCo at least once per year, but more often if needed.

Additionally, the consistent, ongoing reviews and re-authorizations required by contract of any ASAM LOC higher than ASAM 1.0 and any MH contract where the client receives five or more hours a week of treatment immediately alerts us when any issues are identified.

A complete list of monitoring tools for SUD items and for MH services is available upon request. All documentation is contained in UWITS or Optum SLCo's EHR, Netsmart, or other EHR approved by DBHS. All contracted network providers are required by contract to keep documentation up to date and accurate.

DBHS requires, through contract language with providers, that the treatment plan and ASAM assessment and mental health assessment be kept current. DBHS determines compliance with this during their annual monitoring visits.

For providers that directly contract with DBHS to provide non-Medicaid services DBHS maintains current copies of insurance certificates, Division of Office of Licensing licenses and conflict of interest forms in the contractor's file. Optum SLCo is responsible for maintaining this documentation for their contracted Medicaid providers. DBHS verifies this during their annual monitoring visit of Optum SLCo.

# 3) DocuSign

Are you utilizing DocuSign in your contracting process?

If not, please provide a plan detailing how you are working towards accommodating its use.

Salt Lake County has been working with the State testing DocuSign to see if it meets our needs.. As of this writing we have completed one test. From the first test several issues arose and Salt Lake County is working with the State to coordinate a second test. We are hopeful we can resolve any issues for Salt Lake County and we will be able to support DocuSign moving forward. One caveat, Salt Lake County is exploring implementing its own electronic signature software, if a different software is selected we may need to revisit our support of DocuSign.

# FORM A - MENTAL HEALTH BUDGET NARRATIVE

**Local Authority:** Salt Lake County Behavioral Health (DBHS)

#### Instructions:

In the cells below, please provide an answer/description for each question. PLEASE CHANGE THE COLOR OF SUBSTANTIVE NEW LANGUAGE INCLUDED IN YOUR PLAN THIS YEAR!

# 1) Adult Inpatient

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | 5,904,025 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 390 |
|---|-----------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 5,882,624 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 380 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 5,688,203 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 393 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS's/Optum's Network consists of contracts with the University Neuropsychiatric Institute (UNI), Jordan Valley West (formerly known as Pioneer Valley Hospital), and St. Mark's Hospital in Salt Lake County for Adult Inpatient Care. Salt Lake County/Optum will contract with out-of-Network facilities on a client by client basis if a client is admitted to a hospital outside of the network.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

# 2) Children/Youth Inpatient

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 4,237,211 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 310 |
|---|-----------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 3,544,319 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 250 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 4,424,903 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 315 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum Network consists of contracts with UNI in Salt Lake County for youth inpatient care. Initial assessment for hospitalization is done either in the primary care unit or by the crisis staff in emergency departments at any hospital. Should UNI be at capacity, DBHS/Optum has the ability to implement a single case agreement (SCA) with any willing provider.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No Significant Changes

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

#### 3) Adult Residential Care

| m A1 - FY19 Amount<br>lgeted:                          | 8,171,230 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 715 |
|--|-----------|--|-----|
| m A1 - Amount<br>geted in FY18 Area<br>n               | 8,076,878 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 700 |
| <br>m A1 - Actual FY17<br>enditures Reported by<br>als | 7,997,030 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 715 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum continually seek ongoing opportunities to contract with community providers, as needed, to provide residential care for the adult clients.

Co-Occurring Re-entry and Empowerment (CORE) – VBH

CORE is a 16-bed residential facility for mentally ill adult male clients who also have substance use disorder treatment needs.

Co-Occurring Re-entry and Empowerment (CORE 2) – VBH is an additional 16-bed residential facility for mentally ill adult female clients as described above opened this past fiscal year.

As of February 28, 2018, the Wellness Recovery Center (WRC) ended services to Medicaid enrollees who are residents of Salt Lake County. Opportunities for similarly structured services are being actively pursued. The overall goal will remain the same: to prevent or shorten a hospitalization by providing alternative treatment designed to enhance clients' skills in community living while increasing their stability. DBHS/Optum expects to have a contract for a new WRC, with a different provider, in place prior to June 30, 2018.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

#### Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes from the previous year. As an update, it was determined an addition to the Men's CORE program would not be pursued. Efforts have instead focused on a step down for those in crisis so that inpatient or USH transitions back into the community could be supported. Additionally, as a long term goal, tax credits were awarded to First Step House for expanded treatment and housing. The development is currently in process and it is anticipated the opening date will be sometime in 2020.

#### 4) Children/Youth Residential Care

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 283,642 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 55 |
|---|---------|--|----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 298,854 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 60 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 277,595 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 55 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum contracts with community providers as needed to provide residential care for adolescents and children.

Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services (DYS) – Boys and Girls Group Homes Emergency residential care for youth ages 12 to 18 in DCFS custody or who are in need of specialized shelter placement because of abuse or neglect.

#### FAST and FASTer Programs – DYS

The FAST program was developed through a collaborative effort between Optum and DYS for Medicaid youth ages 12-17 who are at risk of inpatient hospitalization due to issues with their mental health and/or behaviors. The FASTer program provides stabilization services for children and youth (ages 6-17). With the FASTer model, Youth MCOT responds to the initial crisis call. The team then connects the consumer and family to ongoing services, such as in-home intervention services through Hopeful Beginnings or short-term out-of-home placement at the Division of Youth Services Christmas Box House to assist in stabilizing the situation. The FAST and FASTer programs allow children to have a very brief residential stay (i.e., <30 days), if necessary, so that they may return to their homes with minimal interruption and receive the necessary supports to stabilize crisis situations.

#### **New Beginnings**

New Beginnings is a 16-bed residential facility for adolescent boys and girls, Located on a large campus in West Jordan, the youth have access to school services along with therapeutic services, including medication management.

#### Single Case Agreements

Salt Lake County/Optum contracts with providers offering residential levels of care on an individualized basis. Salt Lake County/Optum also utilizes other qualified service providers as needed through single case agreements to meet the specialized mental health needs of the youth in Salt Lake County.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

There is a shift in services from residential treatment to enhanced outpatient services. Although residential treatment is available the focus moving forward will be to enhance outpatient services. Impact to individuals

served and funding is not greater than 15%.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

FAST increased from 6 to 16 beds in calendar year 2018. With limited residential beds for youth the focus has been a new Day treatment program with Hopeful Beginnings and strengthening in-home community based services

#### 5) Adult Outpatient Care

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | 8,494,549  | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 8,870 |
|---|------------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 10,943,717 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 9,120 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 8,678,533  | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 9,001 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum has a large network of providers who are available to provide a vast array of outpatient services. Clients have the freedom to choose any provider from the network that provides services to meet their needs. Additionally, in some cases, clients may opt to receive services from a provider not in the network. These services can be provided as long as pre-authorization requirements are met. DBHS/Optum contracts with VBH as the largest provider of outpatient services in Salt Lake County. VBH provides outpatient services in a variety of locations and offers specialized outpatient clinics to serve adults and seniors and those dealing with mental health disorders.

Treatment services for refugees are primarily provided by the Refugee and Immigrant Center, Asian Association of Utah (AAU). AAU provides focused and culturally appropriate treatment to serve the refugee population located in the valley. VBH's outpatient clinics also serve the refugee population.

In addition to VBH Outpatient Services, Jordan West Valley Hospital has an adult outpatient clinic and two more lasis Clinic sites to provide medication management. Jordan West Valley Outpatient treatment has an emphasis on DBT and trauma-focused care to help individuals and families stabilize and return to functioning in the community.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant changes.

Describe programmatic approach for serving individuals in the least restrictive level of care who are civilly committed.

DBHS/Optum has a large network of providers who are available to provide a vast array of outpatient services. Clients have the freedom to choose any provider from the network that provides services to meet their needs. All levels of care are available and DBHS/Optum works with all clients to assist them in determining the level of care

# 6) Children/Youth Outpatient Care

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 12,739,514 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 6,400 |
|---|------------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 12,202,030 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 6,880 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 13,015,441 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 6,467 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum has a large network of providers who are available to provide a vast array of outpatient services. Clients have the freedom to choose any provider from the network that provides services to meet their needs. Additionally, in some cases clients may opt to receive services from a provider not in the network. These services can be provided as long as preauthorization requirements are met.

DBHS's/Optum's network offers a comprehensive outpatient program that serves children 0-18 with mental illness and their families in Salt Lake County. Services include individual, family and group therapy, psychiatric evaluation, medication management, inter-agency coordination and crisis intervention.

Providers address issues such as:

- Adoptions
- Preschool and infant mental health.
- Domestic Violence
- Trauma
- Sex Abuse
- In-home Services
- Respite Care
- Family Resource Facilitation

The network also consists of providers specializing in Abuse and Trauma Treatment to children, identified as victims or perpetrators of sexual abuse, and their families. Treatment consists of individual/family counseling, group therapy, and coordination with other agencies involved with abuse victims, such as DCFS, DJJS, the court, and law enforcement. Objectives of the program include stabilizing family life, while protecting the victim and other children in the home and community.

One of the largest providers of outpatient services is VBH, which provides outpatient services in a variety of locations in Salt Lake County. VBH offers specialized outpatient clinics to serve children and youth including those dealing with mental health disorders. VBH recently incorporated two existing programs into their Parkview campus: ARTEC Day Treatment (now known as AIMS), and ASAP.

Other key providers for children and youth include:

#### The Children's Center

Services offered include: assessment and evaluation, medication management, family therapy and trauma treatment for children ages 0-8. In addition, The Children's Center provides Therapeutic Preschool Programs and specialty services for children with autism and mental health issues.

#### Hopeful Beginnings

Hopeful Beginnings provides in-office and in-home services for children, youth and adults. Services include:

individual therapy, family therapy, case management, medication management, skills development and respite care. In addition, Hopeful Beginnings provides in-home crisis stabilization services for children, youth and their families and recently opened an Intensive Day Treatment program for adolescents serving up to 12 Medicaid consumers. Hopeful Beginnings is also now working with specially trained therapists to provide Trauma specific treatment including the use of EMDR.

#### Youth Empowerment Services

Youth Empowerment Services offers intensive office-based and in-home therapeutic services for children and youth.

The following programs are offered through Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services (DYS)

Counseling services include a 60-day intervention, individual counseling, family therapy, and long-term mental health therapy as a Salt Lake County/Optum provider for Medicaid qualified youth and families

# In-Home Services

This program provides intensive, in-home therapy and case management to families with defiant, runaway, truant and mildly delinquent youth. The goal is to prevent youth from being removed from their home and being placed in custody of a state agency.

The Family Access to Services and Teaming (FAST) program provides supportive family-based services to keep children in their homes during times of mental health and behavioral crisis. It is a partnership between DYS, the UNI MCOT and Salt Lake County/Optum. When the MCOT Youth Team is called to a crisis situation they coordinate with DYS to determine appropriate services. DYS provides crisis family interventions to stabilize situations in which a child/youth might otherwise be considered for admission to an acute inpatient psychiatric facility. The intervention services can include:

- · Individual and family counseling
- · Limited "time out" hours at Youth Services
- Overnight stay for age appropriate youth
- Short-term residential with family therapy
- Family Resource Facilitator Services
- Family classes/groups

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Effective January 1, 2018, the Division of Youth Services added an additional 10 beds to the FAST program for short-term residential services.

# 7) Adult 24-Hour Crisis Care

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 6,497,783 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 700   |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 5,564,777 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 2,700 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 6,604,157 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 686   |

Describe access to crisis services during daytime work hours, afterhours, weekends and holidays. Describe how crisis services are utilized as a diversion from higher levels of care

(inpatient, residential, etc.) and criminal justice system. Identify proposed activities and where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

For an adult in Salt Lake County experiencing acute emotional or psychiatric distress, a comprehensive array of services and supports on a 24 hour/7 days a week basis are available. These services are structured to address acute needs and also provide for personal and public safety and support individuals in a manner that encourages their recovery and equips them with resources to manage future acute circumstances. This array of services includes telephone crisis-line services, warm-line services, MCOT, close coordination with the Salt Lake Police Department Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) program, a receiving center, case management, psychotropic medications and, when necessary, access to acute inpatient hospitalization.

# Mobile Crisis Outreach Teams - UNI

The UNI MCOT is an interdisciplinary team of mental health professionals, including Peer Specialists, who provide a combination of crisis services including crisis intervention, psychiatric emergency care, urgent care, and crisis follow-up for residents of Salt Lake County 24/7, 365 days per year. The team responds to the call within 10 minutes and arrives at the scene within 30 minutes. The staff assesses the situation and make a determination regarding disposition using all the resources available to provide the best outcome possible using the least restrictive alternatives. In the past year, 90.41% of those receiving an outreach visit were diverted from inpatient and emergency room visits. A slight decrease from the previous year. The UNI MCOT averages almost 388 contacts per month, of which an average of 310 result in a direct outreach by the MCOT team.

#### Receiving Center - UNI

The Receiving Center (operating 24/7 365 days a year) diverts people from inpatient services and the jail. Law enforcement is encouraged to take non-violent offenders with mental health issues to the Receiving Center instead of directly to the jail. This reduces law enforcement and jail costs while supporting those with mental illness. It is able to receive referrals from law enforcement, MCOT, stakeholders and the community. Consumer-centered crisis services are offered through this "living room" style center and individuals can stay at the center for up to 23 hours to receive what they need to resolve the current crisis — including assessments, medications and other support. The center receives an average of 128 consumer visits per month. Of these, only 9.6% continue on to inpatient stays and less than 1% to the County jail. This facility also operates the crisis line and warm line (see below).

#### Crisis Line - UNI

The crisis line is a phone line answered by licensed mental health therapists. Staff will triage the call to determine if an immediate referral to the MCOT is needed. If immediate referral to MCOT is not necessary, staff work with the caller in an attempt to deescalate the client. If not truly a crisis, staff can also immediately connect the caller with the Warm Line (see below). The crisis line receives an average of 3082 calls per month. A slight decrease of 23 from the average of previous year.

#### Warm Line - UNI

The warm line is a confidential anonymous phone line answered by Peer Support Specialists professionally trained to provide support to callers. Staff is trained to connect with, share, and provide support, hope, and a listening ear for peers in times of stress and uncertainty. Callers are connected with someone who can truly understand their struggle because they have "been there before," or provide a needed local resource or referral. The warm line receives an average of 818 calls per month. This average is up by 24.

Description of the additional adult crisis services funded through JRI (UNI/UPD Pilot) can be found under 34) Justice Reinvestment Initiative.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes

# 8) Children/Youth 24-Hour Crisis Care

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 861,435   | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 150 |
|---|-----------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 2,191,011 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 730 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 875,537   | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 152 |

Describe access to crisis services during daytime work hours, afterhours, weekends and holidays. Describe how crisis services are utilized as a diversion from higher levels of care (inpatient, residential, etc.) and criminal justice system. Identify proposed activities and where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

For a youth in Salt Lake County experiencing an acute emotional or psychiatric distress, we offer a comprehensive array of services and supports available on a 24 hour/7 days a week basis. These services are structured to address not only their acute needs but also provide for personal and public safety and support individuals in a manner that encourages their recovery and equips them with skills, resources and tools to manage future acute circumstances. The array of services includes telephone crisis line services, MCOT, referrals to the FAST and FASTer programs, case management, psychotropic medications and, when necessary, access to acute inpatient hospitalization.

#### Mobile Crisis Outreach Teams

The UNI MCOT is an interdisciplinary team of mental health professionals including Family Resource Facilitators (FRF), who provide a combination of crisis services including crisis intervention, psychiatric emergency care, urgent care, and crisis follow-up for residents of the Salt Lake community 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days per year. The team responds to the call within 10 minutes and arrives at the scene within 30 minutes. The staff will assess the situation and make a determination regarding disposition using all the resources available to provide the best outcome possible using the least restrictive alternatives. The Youth MCOT is flexible, multi-faceted, and immediately accessible to families, children and adolescents at risk for hospitalization or out-of-home placement. They work closely with community partners specialized in child and family issues including DYS, VBH children's outpatient unit, etc. All clinical staff are State certified Designated Examiners who can evaluate and initiate commitment procedures for those under the age of 18 (i.e., Neutral and Detached Fact Finders).

#### Salt Lake County DYS-Christmas Box House

This program provides 24-hours, 7 days a week emergency intake, assessment, interim residential care and for children ages 0 to 21 who are taken into temporary protective custody by DCFS or law enforcement for alleged abuse and neglect.

# Salt Lake County DYS - Shelter Group Home

This program provides 24-hours, 7 days a week emergency intake, assessment, interim residential care and for children ages 12 to 21 who are taken into temporary protective custody by DCFS or law enforcement for alleged abuse and neglect.

#### Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services-Juvenile Receiving Center (JRC)

This program offers screening, evaluation and referral services to youth, families and law enforcement 24/7. Services are for youth ages 8 to 17 who are runaway, homeless and ungovernable youth or youth who have committed minor offenses. Serving two locations: Salt Lake and West Jordan.

#### Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services-Crisis Residential

Offers 24/7 crisis timeout service to run away and ungovernable youth ages 10 to 17.

#### Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services-Homeless Youth Walk-in Program:

This program provides 24-hour access to food, clothing, laundry, shower facilities and overnight shelter for

homeless youth under age 18. Crisis counseling and therapy are also available resources.

Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services-Safe Place: Youth Services manages the nationwide program called "Safe Place in Utah", which is dedicated to helping youth in trouble with a safe place to go for help and/or shelter. More than 88 Safe Place sites are spread throughout Salt Lake County in public places such as libraries, fire stations and recreation centers. Locations can be spotted by the yellow Safe Place sign on the building or in the window. Employees at Safe Place sites are trained to call Youth Services if a youth is asking for help. A Youth Services employee will speak with the youth on the phone and, if desired, transport the youth to our facilities. Any youth can access this help either by going to a Safe Place site or coming directly to the Youth Services Juvenile Receiving Center, or text SAFE and their location to 69866.

Family Support Center - The Family Support Center also offers a free Crisis Nursery 24/7 for families with children ages 0-11 in three locations in the Salt Lake Valley.

Description of the additional youth crisis services funded through JRI (UNI/UPD Pilot) can be found under 34) Justice Reinvestment Initiative.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

# 9) Adult Psychotropic Medication Management

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 2,639,371 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 5,360 |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 2,287,800 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 5,745 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 2,704,853 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 5,444 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum contracts with VBH, Jordan Valley West, Psychiatric Behavioral Services and several other providers, to provide medication management. All clients have access to a prescriber to adjust, change, or maintain the medication that the client needs. DBHS/Optum encourages their network of prescribers to stay abreast of the advancements in medication and other technologies. Those who provide this service are licensed psychiatrists, APRNs, and RNs. Where possible, LPNs may provide this intervention.

DBHS/Optum will continue to seek out prescribers in the community for FY19.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

#### Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

# 10) Children/Youth Psychotropic Medication Management

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 624,982 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,410 |
|---|---------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 484,090 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 1,320 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 640,487 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 1,438 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum contracts with VBH, Jordan Valley West, Hopeful Beginnings and other providers, to provide medication management. All clients have access to a prescriber to adjust, change, or maintain the medication that the client needs. DBHS/Optum encourages their network of prescribers to stay abreast of the advancements in medication and other technologies. Those who provide this service are licensed psychiatrists, APRNs, and RNs. Where possible, LPNs may provide this intervention.

DBHS/Optum will continue to seek out prescribers in the community for FY19.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 11) Adult Psychoeducation Services & Psychosocial Rehabilitation

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 2,143,560 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,650 |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 1,516,341 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 1,580 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 2,114,332 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 1,655 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Beginning in FY19, DBHS/Optum started contracting directly with Alliance House, an International Certified Clubhouse model program, in Salt Lake City to provide skills development programs for adults. The mission of the Alliance House is to help those with a severe and persistent mental illness (SPMI) gain or recapture the ability to function in the community through meaningful work. The clubhouse incorporates several different work units that are important in the maintenance of the clubhouse. Participation in these units gives members an opportunity to develop skills that fosters their recovery and ultimately their reintegration into the community at large. The major focus of the program is transitional employment placements. The education unit has helped members obtain GEDs or high school diplomas, college education skills and support, and increased life skills.

In addition, VBH and Volunteers of America provide Adult Psychoeducation Services.

There are several providers who provide Psychosocial Rehabilitation including: VBH, Volunteers of America, Hopeful Beginnings, Psychiatric Behavioral Solutions, Youth Empowerment Services, Summit Community Counseling, and others.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

# 12) Children/Youth Psychoeducation Services & Psychosocial Rehabilitation

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 5,316,597 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,050 |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 4,997,213 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 1,220 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 5,244,105 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 1,071 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum contracts with VBH to provide skills development programs for youth and children. They include:

The Community Based Treatment Unit (CBTU), a school-based mental health intervention program, provides community-based comprehensive mental health programs in a highly structured therapeutic classroom, in partnership with local school districts for children and youth requiring highly structured therapeutic academic settings to succeed and prevent more restrictive placements. CBTU programs include on-site mental health therapists, behavioral specialists, and counselors who support children in accessing academics, succeeding in schools, and developing healthy social emotional skills to succeed across settings. The model engages case management, individual and family therapy, and psychosocial rehabilitative skills development.

#### School-based Early Intervention Services

These services consist of therapy, case management, and parent/teacher consultation and training. They are currently providing services in 42 schools within 4 school districts in Salt Lake County, as well as 2 charter schools.

ACES, an after-school partial day treatment program, serving 24 children (age 5-12) concurrently, who are referred for short-term stabilization of acute emotional and behavioral problems. Services include parent training in

behavioral management and family therapy, as well as psychiatric evaluation. Intensive, highly structured adjunct mental health treatment often prevents out-of-home placements.

KIDS Intensive Day Services (KIDS) is a short-term, intensive day program for youth ages 5- 17, with serious behavioral and emotional challenges, with a focus on keeping children in their families and in the community. The goal is to prevent more restrictive mental health placements and/or help youth step down from more restrictive settings. The KIDS program has a capacity of 36 clients.

There are several providers who provide Psychosocial Rehabilitation including: Hopeful Beginnings, Rise Behavioral and Health Services, Utah Youth Village, Youth Empowerment Services, Summit Community Counseling, Utah Behavior Services, Utah House, and others.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

In February, 2018 Hopeful Beginnings started offering Intensive Day Treatment services to up to 12 Medicaid eligible youth. The program will provide services designed to stabilize youth and prevent placement in higher levels of care.

#### 13) Adult Case Management

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 4,719,540 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 2,925 |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 3,314,124 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 2,700 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 5,206,930 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 2,912 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Targeted Case Management (TCM) is provided to clients with SPMI throughout the service continuum from outpatient services to in-home skills training programs. The goals of TCM are to:

- Help clients access appropriate services and supports
- · Assure that services are relevant and meet consumer needs
- · Ensure continuity and coordination of services provided for eligible clients
- Educate clients and their families in how to negotiate the mental health and social system.
- Empower clients by enabling them to access new roles and responsibilities
- Integrate clients into normalized community living: a place to live, community activities and friends with whom to socialize
- · Educate and support clients and their families in learning how to manage their resources

Optum has hired a Housing Care Navigator to coordinate case management services for clients who need housing and/or supports to stay housed. Optum has added three providers to provide targeted case management for our clients: Silverado Counseling, VOA, and Psychiatric Behavioral Services.

Case Management services have expanded with three additional agencies committing to providing these services to those who are Medicaid eligible and either homeless or recently housed. Silverado Counseling, VOA, and Psychiatric Behavioral Services have all committed to offer services to this targeted population.

VBH also offers an Assertive Outreach Team (AOT) for adult clients with SPMI. The AOT subscribes to an Assertive Community Treatment Team approach with 24 hour availability, comprehensive, individualized and flexible services to meet the needs of those served. Services are designed to promote a client's growth and recovery and to enhance the quality of their personal, family, and community life. Strong collaboration between the client, community resources, natural support systems, and behavioral and primary health care providers are established based on the client's needs. The client is at the center of the team with the focus on person-centered care and planning.

VBH has successfully operated a similar service called JDOT (Jail Diversion Outreach Team) for criminal justice involved persons with mental illness. Services emphasize integrated mental health and substance use disorder interventions. This team has been very successful in reducing jail recidivism.

AAU offers case management services for the refugee populations, coordinating treatment, employment training, housing, insurance access, and other services to support refugees as they integrate into the community.

Hopeful Beginnings provides case management services for adult clients.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Case Management services have expanded with three additional agencies committing to providing these services to those who are Medicaid eligible and either homeless or recently housed. Silverado Counseling, VOA, and Psychiatric Behavioral Services have all committed to offer services to this targeted population.

#### 14) Children/Youth Case Management

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 278,577 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,500  |
|---|---------|--|--------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 323,100 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 1,425  |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 307,346 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 1,1513 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

VBH is DBHS's/Optum's primary provider of case management services. Case management at VBH is integrated into the treatment continuum. VBH provides differing levels of case management dependent upon clinical need. Each client is assigned a care coordinator. This coordinator provides basic case management. TCM is provided to youth identified as seriously emotionally disturbed (SED) clients throughout the service continuum from outpatient services to in-home skills training programs. The goals of TCM are to:

Help clients access appropriate services and supports

- · Assure that services are relevant and meet consumer needs
- Ensure continuity and coordination of services provided for eligible clients
- · Educate clients and their families in how to negotiate the mental health and social system
- Empower clients by enabling them to access new roles and responsibilities
- Integrate clients into normalized community living: a place to live, community activities and friends with whom to socialize
- · Educate and support clients and their families in learning how to manage their resources

VBH offers an Assertive Outreach Team (i-WRAP) for children. The i-WRAP Team follows the same treatment approach as used for adults (see Adult Case Management Narrative).

Hopeful Beginnings: Hopeful Beginnings offers case management services and assertive outreach for children and youth using the i-WRAP model.

Silverado Counseling, Asian Association, and Youth Empowerment Services offers case management services for youth and families.

Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services-Safe Place: Youth Services manages the nationwide program called "Safe Place in Utah", which is dedicated to helping youth in trouble with a safe place to go for help and/or shelter. More than 88 Safe Place sites are spread throughout Salt Lake County in public places such as libraries, fire stations and recreation centers. Locations can be spotted by the yellow Safe Place sign on the building or in the window. Employees at Safe Place sites are trained to call Youth Services if a youth is asking for help. A Youth Services employee will speak with the youth on the phone and, if desired, transport the youth to a DYS facility. Any youth can access this help either by going to a Safe Place site or coming directly to the Youth Services Juvenile Receiving Center, or text SAFE and their location to 69866.

DYS Milestone Transitional Living Program: This program provides transitional living to 18-22 year olds who are aging out of foster care. Each youth in the program works closely with a case manager to set long-term and short-term goals towards obtaining stable employment and educational enhancement. By connecting youth with community resources, participants will move toward self-sufficiency, shifting their lives in a positive direction to break the cycle of homelessness and dependency.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 15) Adult Community Supports (housing services)

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 824,141 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 295 |
|---|---------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 857,410 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 160 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 812,579 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 289 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Valley Plaza – VBH

Valley Plaza is a 72-bed 1 & 2 bedroom apartment complex. This program is staffed 24 hours a day with mental health services provided on-site. Clients are in individualized programs with flexible support systems. Valley Woods – VBH

Valley Woods is a 58-bed 1 & 2 bedroom apartment complex with 3 residential buildings and 1 common area. This program is staffed 24 hours a day with mental health and case management services provided on-site. Safe Haven 1 & 2 – VBH

Safe Haven is a 48-bed homeless transitional housing apartment complex for individuals living with mental illness. This program is staffed 24 hours a day with mental health and case management services provided on-site.

VBH also offers community-based housing supports. Rents are primarily covered by the clients. These housing programs include the following:

- · Valley Home Front 8 apartments
- · Valley Crossroads 20 apartments
- · Oquirrh Ridge West 12 apartments
- Oquirrh Ridge East 12 apartments
- · Valley Horizons 20 apartments for mentally ill 55 or older

Residents of the above housing facilities are provided case management. In addition, independent living skills and vocational training are provided to residents as applicable.

Through DBHS funding, 20 Master Lease housing units have been provided for ACT clients. This is in addition to the other housing options funded by Salt Lake County Behavioral Health: approximately 27 housing slots for State Hospital Outplacement, 25 HARP units, 40 RIO units, and 10 CORE 2 units. These housing opportunities have also been made possible through new and/or expanded partnerships with the Salt Lake County Housing Authority, and local housing and behavioral health providers.

Approximately 35 additional HARP units are accessed by DBHS' providers for their clients. Federal dollars fund these units.

The VOA Homeless Youth Resource Center has opened in Salt Lake County – Optum Bank was a partner in this development.

As an outplacement service, DBHS/Optum now contracts with Nephi Todd's, Evergreen Place and Oasis House to purchase housing for clients needing assistance as they are discharging from the State Hospital. These clients receive supervision, meals, housekeeping, and laundry services.

Unfunded Adult Clients: Salt Lake County/Optum has increased available slots at the above-mentioned housing facilities as it meets an important need for the community. Optum is currently working with other community providers such as the ACT team with their 20 scattered sites to access more supportive housing options.

Other housing units which are utilized include:

Mary Grace Manor, Gregson Apartments, Palmer Court, Kelly Benson, John Taylor House, Murray Apartments, and the Road Home.

DBHS and Optum have worked with community partners on two low income tax credit projects. VOA has been awarded credits to fund a housing project with community partners: Optum, DBHS, Salt Lake City Housing Authority and GIV Group for a housing project for 22 individuals with serious mental illness. This project is the "Denver Street Apartments." First Step House has also been awarded tax credits for a 75-unit project for a housing project for individuals with serious mental illness. Salt Lake County and Optum have formed a partnership with First Step House, Blue Line Development, and the Salt Lake City Housing Authority to develop their property, , the "5th East Apartments", on 440 South and 500 East. The Tax Credit application was submitted and approved in January 2018, with an anticipated completion date 2020.

We continue to work with other partners and landlords to find additional housing units and to look for the development of new options including working with the Salt Lake County Housing Authority to access vouchers

through the NED (non-elderly disabled) voucher program.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

Optum has moved clients from Green Gables to Evergreen Place (a more appropriate placement for vulnerable clients), which has a facility for both men and women. Optum also added Oasis House as a housing provider and will be able to house 10 men there. Nephi Todd's will be moving to a larger facility that will house more individuals (number still to be determined).

Also, Optum will be providing housing supports for clients in the new housing facilities (Denver Street and 5<sup>th</sup> East Apartments) when they become operational.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Optum has hired a Housing Care navigator and contracted with three additional providers to provide case management services to help keep individuals housed and avoid the cycle of homelessness.

# 16) Children/Youth Community Supports (respite services)

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 938,003 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 245 |
|---|---------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 798,684 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 205 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 924,844 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 238 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS/Optum contracts with DYS, Hopeful Beginnings and Summit Community Counseling to provide respite services.

Respite is available for children and youth. This program provides planned respite for the purpose of allowing a period of relief for parents. Respite is used to help alleviate stress in the family, thereby increasing a parent's overall effectiveness. Respite care may be brief (for a couple hours) or extended for several hours, several days a week and may be provided in or out of the child's home. Overnight respite is only provided through DYS on a Single Case Agreement basis and it is limited to no longer than two weeks.

The Family Support Center also offers a free Crisis Nursery 24/7 for families with children ages 0-11 in three locations in the Salt Lake Valley.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

DYS was added for overnight respite services with a Single Case Agreement, limited to no longer than two weeks.

#### 17) Adult Peer Support Services

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 429,640 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,270 |
|---|---------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 352,504 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 1,120 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 433,536 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 1,275 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Providing and receiving peer support stands as an integral component of rehabilitation and recovery. DBHS/Optum is dedicated to the Peer Support Specialist Program and continues to work to expand the peer workforce in Salt Lake County. Peer Support Specialists are critical to the Salt Lake County Behavioral Health System and DBHS/Optum utilizes providers within DBHS/Optum's network of providers to provide this service.

Optum continues to offer services through the Peer Navigator Program. For a few hours each week, services are offered at the dedicated site of Jordan West Valley Outpatient Services. Additionally, Seeking Safety and Double Trouble are facilitated at USARA. Seeking Safety is designed to support those who have experienced trauma. Double Trouble is an evidence-based peer-facilitated peer support group for individuals that experience co-occurring disorders, i.e., SUD and SMI. Referrals are also received from multiple sources including Utah State Hospital for patients transitioning back into the community, provider agencies such as VBH, UNI, individual providers, and other systems such as Drug Court.

Peer mentoring, support, advocacy, and skill building will be provided for these individuals through regular individual contact over a period of time with the goals of easing the transition of individuals being discharged from hospital settings back into community life, to significantly decrease the need for readmission to the hospital, and to significantly decrease the need for hospitalization by engaging people prior to entry into the inpatient facilities. Peer Support Specialists provide consumers with support and linkage to mental health, physical health and social services.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

How is adult peer support supervision provided? Who provides the supervision? What training do supervisors receive?

Per Utah Medicaid, Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Services directives, certified peer support specialist are under the supervision of a licensed mental health therapist, or a licensed ASUDC or SUDC when peer support services are provided to individuals with an SUD. Supervisors are expected to follow these guidelines offering ongoing weekly individual and/or group supervision to the Certified Peer Support specialist they supervise.

All providers are encouraged to attend the Supervision training offered through the State of Utah Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH). Additionally, Optum SLCO Recovery and Resiliency can provide technical assistance to In-Network providers with Toolkits for Providers. The Tool Kit addresses misconceptions about using peers in services delivery and includes information on how to bill Medicaid, gives examples of job descriptions and provides information on supervision.

#### Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes.

Just as an FYI, in FY18 in conjunction with USARA 10 individuals were certified. 100% of the participants completed the training and received certification by DSAMH. In April- May 2018 (FY18) there are 26 people registered to be trained.

#### 18) Children/Youth Peer Support Services

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 762,793 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 425 |
|---|---------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 695,116 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 550 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 561,311 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 299 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Children/Youth Peer Support Services are provided primarily by Family Resource Facilitators (FRFs). DBHS is providing peer support offered to the parents and/or caregivers of children and youth receiving services. Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services (DYS) is the administrator of anchoring sites for FRFs. Training, mentoring, data collection and reporting is the responsibility of the Utah Family Coalition.

The FRF program services are designed to provide family peer support services to parents and/or caregivers of children/youth with complex needs. Generally, FRFs have a family member with a mental illness giving them their lived experience necessary to have understanding and empathy for the families they work with. They also have experience and knowledge navigating various systems and agencies. They provide resource coordination, advocacy, assistance with the 504 Special Needs Education plan and Individualized Education Plan (IEPs), and wraparound to fidelity. The main goal of the program is to keep children at home with their families and in their community. This is achieved through support, education, skill building, and use of natural supports.

There are currently 10 FRFs placed with 7 agencies throughout Salt Lake County. Presently FRFs are anchored at the following agencies or organizations:

- 2 FTEs Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services
- 1 FTE Utah Division of Juvenile Justice Services
- 2 FTE The Children's Center
- 1 FTE VOA Homeless Youth Center
- 1 FTE National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Utah
- 2 FTE State of Utah Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS)
- 1 FTE 3rd District Juvenile Court

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

In FY18 DBHS funded 9 FRFs. In FY19 DBHS will be funding 10 FRFs.

How is Family Resource Facilitator (FRF) peer support supervision provided? Who provides the supervision? What training do supervisors receive?

Supervision of the FRFs is two-fold. The FRF Coordinator at DYS oversees all programmatic and personnel issues for all 10 FRFs. In addition, the FRFs placed at the various site locations also report to a site supervisor. This person is available for any immediate questions or concerns an FRF may have in the course of working with families referred through site staffings. Site supervision of the FRF takes place every quarter and involves the DYS FRF Coordinator, the site supervisor, the mentor, and the FRF. The on-site supervisor can contact the DYS FRF coordinator at any time to discuss any problems or issues involving the FRF. The mentor can also provide input.

The DYS FRF Coordinator is encouraged to attend the Supervision training offered through DSAMH. Additionally, the mentor with the UFC provides ongoing training and consultation to the DYS FRF Coordinator. DBHS is available to provide ongoing technical assistance.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 19) Adult Consultation & Education Services

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 922,326 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           |  |
|---|---------|--|--|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 881,291 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            |  |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 920,887 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals |  |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Optum SLCo has a Recovery and Resiliency team that consists of family support specialists and peer support specialists (adult services). This team provides education and consultation to consumers, consumer run organizations, their contracted providers, community partners and stakeholders, and centers of learning. They also file grievances and complaints from clients and submit them for resolution. The team members actively meet with clients where they receive services, promoting the recovery model and whole health. They work with the Optum Clinical Operations Team on all case staffings and utilization reviews. They also work with Salt Lake County's/Optum's network of providers to encourage the hiring and utilization of peer counselors to work on multi-disciplinary teams to provide treatment.

This team conducts numerous trainings in the community. In FY18:

- 24 people in the community were certified in Mental Health First Aid (MHFA) with more trainings scheduled during the current fiscal year.
- 52 people in the community were certified in Youth Mental Health First Aid with more trainings scheduled during the current fiscal year.

Other training topics presented by this team for community partners, provider trainings, or Optum staff include: Information on Suicide, Recovery, Peer Support, Power of Language, Wellness Recovery Action Plan, Certified Peer Support Specialist Training, Recovery Training at the University of Utah and other community groups, Communication and Language, Peer Support through the Life Span at Generations, Discharge Planning, Peer Navigator Program, Optum's Grievance Process, C3 Court.

UNI's Crisis Services partners with and supports the Salt Lake City Police Department in providing Crisis Intervention Team Trainings for law enforcement and correctional officers in Salt Lake County.

DBHS is deeply rooted in the community with many allied partners. Through these partnerships, DBHS and Optum provide consultation to multiple agencies and providers in the community regarding shared clients and concerns. Staff have frequent opportunities to educate the public through all forms of media, community fairs, and other venues

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 20) Children/Youth Consultation & Education Services

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 49,418  | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           |  |
|---|---------|--|--|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 124,892 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            |  |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 49,341  | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals |  |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Optum SLCo has a Recovery and Resiliency team that consists of family support specialists and peer support specialists (adult services). This team provides education and consultation to consumers, consumer run organizations, their contracted providers, community partners and stakeholders, and centers of learning. They also file grievances and complaints from clients and submit them for resolution. The team members actively meet with clients where they receive services, promoting the recovery model and whole health. They work with the Optum Clinical Operations Team on all case staffings and utilization reviews.

They also work with Salt Lake County's/Optum's network of providers to encourage the hiring and utilization of peer counselors to work on multi-disciplinary teams to provide treatment.

In FY19, Optum will continue to:

- Provide QPR trainings with Optum SLCO., providers, and allied partners
- Provide MHFA, YMFA and QPR trainings with Optum SLCO., providers, and allied partners
- Provide training on the Recovery Model and recovery supports with APRN students at the University of Utah School of Nursing.
- Salt Lake County/Optum also coordinates and works closely with NAMI Utah and USARA in promoting and facilitating their services with our clients. DBHS is deeply rooted in the community with many allied partners. Through these partnerships, Salt Lake County/Optum provide consultation to multiple agencies and providers in the community regarding shared clients and concerns. Staff have frequent opportunities to educate the public through all forms of media, community fairs, and other venues.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

#### Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 21) Services to Incarcerated Persons

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 103,138 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 140 |
|---|---------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 100,983 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 160 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 100,251 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 150 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Salt Lake County has developed a nationally recognized sequential intercept model that can be shared with DSAMH upon request.

#### Community Response Team (CRT) - VBH

Provides immediate, short-term response to the Metro Jail when an inmate is being diverted from jail, or is being discharged from the jail, and has been identified as SPMI. When an inmate is identified who has an assessed SPMI condition and is identified on the discharge plan as transitioning to community services, VBH will provide in-reach to the inmate to establish relationships and develop a discharge plan to enhance likelihood of successful re-entry. Cost reflected on the MH budget report is the amount for the CRT case managers only. These case managers are not providing services that can be captured by SAMHIS.

#### Mental Health – Alternatives to Incarceration Transportation

ATI transport is available for all mental health providers paneled with Optum. The CRT program has been further enhanced in coordination with VBH's CORE and CORE 2 residential programs. VBH is notified by the Metro Jail when a SPMI inmate is to be released and transport is arranged for the inmate directly to VBH services. This service helps ensure SPMI inmates are immediately engaged in community services and the appropriate medication therapy goes uninterrupted.

#### Mental Health Services in Jail

The Salt Lake County Council, serving as the Local Mental Health Authority, appropriates approximately \$2,000,000 annually for mental health services in the jail. This appropriation is made directly to the Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office. The Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office has incorporated a mixed model of Mental Health Care. The healthcare services, including mental health services, have been awarded accreditation from the National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC). Additional county funds are used to fund medications, primary health care, and supportive services to persons in the jail who have serious mental illness. The Salt Lake County Jail has two dedicated units that can address more severe mental health needs – a 17 bed unit for individuals who have been identified as high risk for suicide and a 48 bed unit for individuals with a mental health diagnosis that would benefit from not being with the general population. In addition to these, the Jail team provides group therapy and crisis services for individuals in the general population. This funding is not reported in our budget because the funding is allocated directly to the Jail from the County Council. DBHS is continuing to develop a strong partnership and relationship with our jail and have established a formal data sharing agreement. The jail has implemented their new electronic health record which allows them to better identify the services received by the individuals in the jail and help with the transition of care for these individuals into the community and our reporting efforts to DSAMH. In March 2018 additional meetings occurred to discuss ability to report collected data from the jail mental health system. DBHS will continue these efforts and report updates to DSAMH leadership. Salt Lake County continues to focus on alternatives to incarceration. There continues to be excellent

collaboration with the jail and we will continue to collaborate with them on our Alternative to Incarceration programs, including: CRT, CORE, CORE 2, JDOT, ATI Transport and VBH Forensics.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

# 22) Adult Outplacement

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 559,245 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 95 |
|---|---------|--|----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 586,292 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 70 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 354,482 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 82 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Salt Lake County/Optum provides a discharge planner to proactively facilitate and coordinate the plans for consumers coming out of the Utah State Hospital. One Care Advocate specializing in adults assists the discharge planner. Salt Lake County/Optum will continue to offer placement at VBH housing or in the community, such as housing support programs like Nephi Todd's programs or in independent living situations with wraparound supports such as ACT.

During this past year, Green Gables closed while additional housing support was increased for consumers transitioning out of the State Hospital. Organizations such as Evergreen and Oasis now offer housing that provides meals and supervision.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

Funds previously were in housing moved to outplacement so that the funds could more easily be identified. These additional funds are paying for housings for the state hospital clients.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Green Gables closed and all residents receiving subsidized housing were transitioned to other living arrangements. Evergreen and Oasis have both expanded the number of units of available to those transitioning out of the Utah State Hospital.

### 23) Children/Youth Outplacement

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount | Form A1 - FY19 Projected |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Budgeted:             | clients Served:          |  |

| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            |  |
|---|--|--|
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals |  |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

The Children's Outplacement Program (COP) and funding are managed by Salt Lake County/Optum in a cooperative manner. Salt Lake County/Optum staff sit on the COP committee. Salt Lake County/Optum recommends children for consideration of State COPs assistance and recommends an appropriate array of services. Approved treatment services will be provided through the Salt Lake County/Optum provider network. Approved ancillary services, such as mileage reimbursement, karate classes, therapeutic recreational activities, and those services provided for clients who are not funded by Medicaid will be paid for and/or provided to the client directly by DBHS.

Optum/Salt Lake County meets twice a month with the Division of Youth Services and Hopeful Beginnings, to address the needs and better coordinate the care for children and youth and their families with complex needs.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 24) Unfunded Adult Clients

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 5,270,557 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 4,000 |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 4,962,151 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 3,720 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 6,342,089 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 4,363 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

The funding for the County's uninsured mental health clients is extremely limited and therefore Salt Lake County carefully prioritizes the funding to the below programs.

The Utah Department of Health (UDOH) subcontracts with four different organizations: AAU, Catholic Community Services, International Rescue Committee, and Utah Health and Human Rights to provide mental health services for refugees. These services include: the administration of the Refugee Health Screener (RHS-15) mental health screening tool; outreach and education to refugee health stakeholders about the mental health needs of refugees; outreach and education to refugee communities about mental health and available services; crisis services; and group therapy using traditional and non-traditional evidence-based methods.

Volunteers of America/Cornerstone Counseling Center (VOA/CCC) has several programs to assist the unfunded population. The Uninsured Mental Health Clinic provides direct mental health services based on the client-centered

biopsychosocial assessment. Services are provided by Licensed Mental Health Therapists and Certified Peer Specialists. The Whole Health Clinic is a medical clinic providing direct physical health care services. This clinic works in tandem with the Uninsured Mental Health Clinic so that clients can have the physical health care needs taken care of in the same place they receive their mental health services. The Homeless Mental Health Outreach Program is centered at the main Salt Lake City Library on 400 South and 200 East. Contact with each library patron is provided with a clear explanation that this service is optional and intended to meet clients' needs as they define them. This program also utilizes Certified Peer Specialists.

VBH provides direct services to two adult populations with the funds they receive. First, VBH provides adult mental health services in three different locations. Several of the programs are open in the evenings and weekends to further reduce schedule-related barriers for accessing services. Second, persons who are on community civil commitment have access to VBH's full continuum of adult, youth, and children's program, services, and locations

UNI provides crisis services for Salt Lake County. These services are described under section 1g.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

The decrease is due to the CABHI not being included.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

# 25) Unfunded Children/Youth Clients

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 1,558,196 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 880   |
|---|-----------|--|-------|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 1,841,489 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 1,230 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 1,445,541 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 821   |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

The funding for the County's uninsured clients is extremely limited and therefore Salt Lake County carefully prioritizes the funding to the below programs.

Salt Lake County has prioritized anticipated funding as follows:

- Medication management
- Psychotherapy services
- Case management
- Skills development

The Utah Department of Health (UDOH) subcontracts with four different organizations: the Refugee and Immigrant Center at Asian Association of Utah, Catholic Community Services, International Rescue Committee, and Utah Health and Human Rights to provide mental health services for refugees living in Salt Lake County. These services will include: the administration of the Refugee Health Screener (RHS-15) mental health screening tool; outreach and education to refugee health stakeholders about the mental health needs of refugees; outreach and education to refugee communities about mental health and available services; crisis services; and group therapy using traditional and non-traditional evidence-based methods. Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services (DYS)

provides direct services to individuals and their families. This may be in the form of individual or family therapy. Children and parents learn new skills to help process thoughts and feelings related to life events; manage and resolve distressing thoughts, feeling, and behaviors; and, enhance safety, growth, parenting skills, and family communication. DYS incorporates Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy if the client and/or family have been assessed as having traumatic life events.

VBH provides direct services to two children/youth populations with the funds they receive. First, VBH's provides direct services to uninsured youth/children mental health in two locations (not including the below mentioned school-based services). Second, VBH has a school-based mental health program in 42 different schools, within four school districts.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

#### 26) Other non-mandated Services

| Form A1 - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | 644,563 | Form A1 - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 544 |
|---|---------|--|-----|
| Form A1 - Amount<br>budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | 779,590 | Form A1 - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 600 |
| Form A1 - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | 841,873 | Form A1 - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 579 |

Describe the activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DYS Afterschool Programs: Afterschool Programs focusing on academic and enrichment support are offered at the following schools: Cyprus High School; Kearns Kennedy and Matheson Jr Highs; South Kearns, Elk Run, Lake Ridge, Copper Hills, Magna and Pleasant Green Elementary Schools. 2018 Summer programs are offered at Kearns, Kennedy and Matheson Jr Highs and Magna and Elk Run Elementary. Community School Coordinators are available to help connect families to resources at Kearns Jr. and Magna Elementary School. Magna Kearns Youth Court is also being ran through Cyprus Afterschool.

On average 500 youth are served daily in the DYS afterschool programs. These services are not reflected in our budget.

Civil Commitments: The County is responsible for the civil commitment court, and specifically, DBHS is responsible for the required sanity assessments by licensed professionals and various administrative costs to host the court at UNI. These services are entirely funded with County General Fund.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

The decrease is due to the CABHI not being included.

#### Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

The CABHI program was not included this year.

#### 27) Client Employment

Increasing evidence exists to support the claim that meaningful employment is an essential part of the recovery process and is a key factor in supporting mental wellness.

In the following spaces, please describe your efforts to increase client employment in accordance with Employment First 62A-15-105.2

#### Competitive employment in the community (include both adults and transition aged youth).

The ACT Team has a Vocational Rehabilitation Specialist as part of the multidisciplinary team that works with the clients to focus on education and employment goals. The Voc Rehab Specialist and the Team assist the client with resume building, interviewing skills, and employer engagement. The Voc Rehab Specialist conducts occupational assessments, and as the clients are progressing in their recovery, focuses more on employment goals.

Optum is currently working with Volunteers of America to develop an Employment Services Program to fidelity. The Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health will be offering oversight to ensure that fidelity measures are met. During Fiscal 2019, Optum will continue to support the implementation of this program.

#### Collaborative efforts involving other community partners.

Salt Lake County/Optum supports and collaborates with Utah State Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health in the Peer Support Certification area and provided the CPSS training to USARA employees in FY18.

#### Employment of people with lived experience as staff.

Beginning in FY2018, DBHS/Optum started contracting directly with Alliance House, an International Certified Clubhouse model program, in Salt Lake City to provide skills development programs for adults. The Alliance House's objective is to help severely mentally ill individuals gain or recapture the ability to function in the community through meaningful work. The clubhouse incorporates several different work units, which are important in the maintenance of the clubhouse. Participation in these units gives members an opportunity to develop skills that foster their recovery and ultimately their reintegration into the community at large. The major focus of the program is transitional employment placements. The education unit has helped members obtain GEDs or high school diplomas, college education skills and support, and increased life skills. Though not all Alliance House members will go on to be employed as staff for a behavioral health provider, the Alliance House does prepare them to be able to work within the behavioral health system should they have this interest.

Another important mechanism for employment of consumers as staff in Salt Lake County is the State of Utah Certified Peer Support Specialist (CPSS) program.

It is anticipated that during FY19, the use of CPSS will continue to grow throughout the network.

#### Peer Specialists/Family Resource Facilitators providing Peer Support Services.

Salt Lake County/Optum employs four Certified Peer Support specialists who work closely with other providers to conduct trainings regarding a number of different topics, to participate in service coordination meetings, and to support consumers. Peer Specialists are also employed at the UNI crisis programs, NAMI, VBH, and USARA. Salt Lake County/Optum works closely with DYS to utilize the FRF program. Currently, there are 10 FRFs in Salt Lake County providing services.

# **Evidence-Based Supported Employment.**

See Alliance House above. Additionally, Alliance House is currently contracting directly with DSAMH. The purpose of this contract is to braid the Supported Employment of the Individual Placement and Support (IPS) model with the Clubhouse Model and for Alliance House to serve as a training agency to train other Clubhouses in Utah on the Supported Employment model. This aligns well with the Clubhouse International standards, though the Alliance House will be hiring an Employment Coordinator to bring Alliance House into full fidelity with IPS.

In FY18, DBHS implemented a contractual performance goal (PG) with Optum to develop an IPS program with an additional provider. VOA was chosen as the partner, and both agencies are working to grow the new program according to the goals outlined in the PG. FY18 goals include staffing with appropriate employees under the direction of the state Supportive Employment team, participating in all appropriate trainings, and growing the caseload to 30 clients by the end of year one. In FY19, this PG extends to applying to become a vocational rehabilitation center, and having the program reach fidelity. DSAMH will be offering oversight to ensure that the fidelity measures are met. We are monitoring the growth and progress of the program.

# 28) Quality & Access Improvements

Identify process improvement activities including implementation and training of:

#### **Describe access and quality improvements**

For Salt Lake County/Optum, Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement (QAPI) is a central tenet in the way it conducts all aspects of its operations. It continually monitors multiple areas of its performance; its impact on consumers, youth and families and on providers; and constantly looks for ways to improve. The core goals of its QAPI Plan are straightforward: greater levels of recovery and improved resiliency for consumers, youth and families. To achieve these goals, Salt Lake County/Optum has structured a comprehensive QAPI Plan that provides the framework for continuous monitoring and evaluation of all aspects of mental healthcare delivery and service.

#### Identify process improvement activities - Implementation

The QAPI program promotes continuous quality improvement and recovery & resiliency in the following ways:
• Communication: With consumers, youth, families, providers and other stakeholders, is essential to understand the current and developing needs in the system. Salt Lake County/Optum seeks to empower individuals and families to live in their communities with health and wellness, dignity, security, and hope.

- Performance measurement: The focus on indicators of recovery and resiliency in addition to monitoring clinical and administrative oversight functions leads to interventions to improve quality in these areas. These performance measures are further demonstrated by specific metrics outlined in the QAPI Work Plan.
- Consumer and Family Involvement in Planning and Goal Setting: Consumers and family members (as appropriate) are involved in development of recovery and resiliency goals. Consumer and family involvement is monitored through audits of clinical records and feedback from consumers and family members through a variety of communication avenues.
- Systems are improved through Performance Improvement Projects (PIP): The current PIP, which began in Salt Lake County on July 1, 2015, focuses on suicide prevention by utilizing the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) for risk assessment and by developing consumer specific safety plans.
- The Cultural Responsiveness Committee: In conjunction with the Consumer Advisory Committee, this group provides feedback regarding implementation of support services in our community, while building cultural competence within the SLCO/Optum network of providers.

# Identify process improvement activities - Training of Evidence Based Practices

In addition to the processes outlined in the QAPI plan, Salt Lake County/Optum utilizes national benchmarks and best practices, managing inpatient records to ensure care provided adheres to established and validated clinical guidelines, medical necessity reviews, and recovery and resiliency training to ensure a focus on evidence-based practices. All of the practices listed below are recognized by SAMHSA.

- Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)
- Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavior Therapy (TF-CBT)
- Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT)
- Motivational Interviewing (MI)
- Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT)

- Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR)
- OQ-Analyst
- Behavior Therapy
- Integrated Dual Disorders Treatment
- Exposure Therapy for PTSD
- Seeking Safety
- Double Trouble in Recovery
- · Mental Health First Aid
- Wellness Recovery Action Plan (WRAP)
- QPR Gatekeeper Training for Suicide Prevention
- Interpersonal Therapy (IPT)
- Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT)
- Moral Recognition Therapy (MRT)

#### **Identify process improvement activities - Outcome Based Practices**

Salt Lake County/Optum will continue to promote the OQ/YOQ as a tool to enhance outcome-based practices. In addition, Optum will offer trainings and guidance on the use of the C-SSRS and Safety Plans to aid in suicide prevention activities.

#### Identify process improvement activities - Increased service capacity

Optum and Hopeful Beginnings have developed an agreement to begin offering Day Treatment services. The current capacity is 12 with opportunity to expand during FY19 if needed.

Case Management services have expanded with three additional agencies committing to providing these services to those who are Medicaid eligible and either homeless or recently housed. Silverado Counseling, VOA, and Psychiatric Behavioral Services have all committed to offer services to this targeted population.

# Identify process improvement activities - Increased Access for Medicaid & Non-Medicaid Funded Individuals

The coordination of care initiative has continued to increase access to services by connecting people coming out of inpatient facilities to community-based services. The Optum Clinical Operations Team provides daily inpatient admission reports to outpatient programs to better coordinate care. Optum also follows up with routine outreach to providers who receive referrals from inpatient facilities to discuss client participation in their follow-up care.

#### Identify process improvement activities - Efforts to respond to community input/need

Optum continually assesses the needs of the community. During this past year, arrangements were made with Grandfamilies, a facility that focuses on support of kinship placements, to offer therapeutic services to Medicaid eligible youth and their families. Optum has also expanded the relationship with both Hopeful Beginnings and Summit Community Counseling. Both agencies are being sought out to provide services to vulnerable youth and adults. Finally, Optum has focused on increasing case management services to the homeless and recently housed by partnering with 3 additional providers in the community.

#### **Identify process improvement activities - Coalition Development**

Salt Lake County/Optum works closely with the three inpatient facilities in the network, community providers and DBHS, meeting weekly to coordinate the care for consumers. In addition, Salt Lake County/Optum led a coordinated service effort to outline processes and contacts to improve communication and services.

#### Describe how mental health needs for people in Nursing Facilities are being met in your area

Optum SLCo works with 2 agencies to provide services to Medicaid consumers in nursing facilities.

1. Valley Behavioral Health offers a program known as Specialized Rehabilitation Services (SRS). This program provides mental health services, including medication management, to Medicaid consumers in nursing facilities.

Referrals are made directly to VBH from the nursing facilities. Optum SLCo will also recommend a referral if Medicaid enrollees are identified as benefiting from this service.

2. For those who are receiving Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) services, ACT is willing to travel to wherever the member is residing within Salt Lake County, including nursing facilities.

#### Other Quality and Access Improvements (not included above)

All quality and access improvements have been described above.

# 29) Integrated Care

Describe your partnerships with local Health Departments, accountable care organizations (ACOs), federally qualified health centers (FQHCs) and other physical health providers.

Optum and each of the four ACO's meet on a quarterly basis to hold staffings of high utilizing clients. These meetings result in improved coordination for our most vulnerable clients. New this year, the ACOs are notified by Optum clinical team of an inpatient psychiatric admission for their members. They are also notified of the discharge and the discharge medications that the member is prescribed. The ACOs use this information to ensure follow up with discharge services and support as needed.

In 2018 Salt Lake County began participating in a National Governors Association (NGA) led initiative with State Medicaid and the DSAMH. The goal of this project is to explore future integration opportunities.

The following partnerships have been developed with the following Federally Qualified Health Centers and primary care organizations:

4th Street Clinic – Helps homeless Utahns improve their health and quality of life by providing high quality integrated care and health support services. For many homeless Utahns, this is their first and only chance at a diagnosis and ongoing treatment. By increasing homeless Utahns' access to both primary and behavioral health care 4th Street Clinic has become a major partner in ending homelessness, promoting community health, and achieving across- the-board health care savings. 4th Street Clinic provides psychotherapy, psychological counseling, psychiatric evaluation and management, family and couples therapy, health and wellness, primary care provider collaboration and substance use disorder assessment and treatment referrals.

Odyssey House – Martindale Clinic - Odyssey House operates its Martindale Clinic in order to bring a multidisciplinary approach to addressing addiction and mental illness. The Martindale Clinic provides medical, psychiatric and behavioral health professionals within one fully-integrated setting.

Volunteers of America – Health Clinic – VOA/CCC operates its integrated health clinic for Medicaid and non-Medicaid clients. VOA's clinic integrates care for mental health, substance use disorders, and medical health.

Describe your efforts to integrate care and ensure that clients have their physical, mental and substance use disorder needs met, including screening and treatment and recovery support.

The Salt Lake County/Optum treatment network is committed to addressing co-occurring disorders. For this reason, all SUD providers within the network meet the definition of dual diagnosis capable by ASAM standards. In addition, we contract with three SUD providers (VBH, VOA and Odyssey House) to provide ASAM dual diagnosis enhanced services. VBH provides our largest service delivery for dual diagnosed individuals. They have multiple locations, serving individuals with co-occurring psychiatric and substance use related disorders. VBH provides treatment to these individuals at all levels of care, including having a residential facility for dual diagnosed adult males (Co-Occurring Residential and Empowerment, CORE Program) and females (CORE 2). Additionally, AAU expanded their services to become a dual diagnosis enhanced program.

The Optum Clinical Operation Team coordinates with providers in our network to help clients find the best treatment programs available that are suited to their individual needs. Our Clinical Operation Team works with a variety of community partners to coordinate care. The Optum Clinical Operations Team currently has one Care Advocate who specializes in working with the ACOs to coordinate mental health care, substance use disorder treatment and health care for clients who are in need. The partnership between the ACOs and Optum has led to improved coordination of services offered and real time discussions regarding the management of challenging

individuals.

Describe your efforts to incorporate wellness into treatment plans and how you will provide education and referrals to individuals regarding physical health concerns (i.e., HIV, TB, Hep-C, Diabetes, Pregnancy).

During the past year, Optum network trainings have continued to include a focus on the importance of the physical health assessment components as well as coordinating with PCPs as needed for their services.

During this past year, the Optum Clinical Team educated the ACOs and providers on the metabolic effects of second generation antipsychotics on consumers. Optum developed a coordinated "flyer" that was sent to the entire provider network on 3 different occasions. The flyer offered information on metabolic syndrome and the outcomes if not addressed. The flyer also provided a point person to contact for each of the ACOs.

ACT consumers are actively participating in the new SAMHSA grant with the Department of Health and VOA/CCC to participate in a co-located medical and mental health project. This project will be closely coordinated between the ACT psychiatrist and medical MD to ensure the whole health and wellness aspects are being addressed.

Additionally, Optum Care Advocates collaborate with the respective ACOs on a case by case basis when it is noted that the consumer's medical needs, such as HIV, AIDS, Diabetes and Pregnancy, are a component of their mental illness and/or a part of their recovery. Each ACO has an identified person that is our contact point. The ACO then staffs the case and Optum will be contacted in return with their recommendation and/or plan to help address the medical status. Optum then coordinates with the treating mental health provider what the medical plan is and who to coordinate with for their collaborative care. In some cases Optum has been able to proactively access health care services for consumers coming out of USH, so that medical support is available upon immediate return to the community. This process is fluid and responsive on an as needed basis in order to meet consumer needs.

Recovery Plus: Describe your plan to reduce tobacco and nicotine use in SFY 2018, and how you will maintain a *tobacco free environment*. SUD Target= reduce tobacco and nicotine use by 5%.

Salt Lake County/Optum continues to emphasize implementing Recovery Plus to full fidelity. Salt Lake County/Optum continues to educate providers on the Recovery Plus Program and the mandate to diagnose and provide treatment for nicotine addiction as a healthcare issue. Recovery Plus continues to be addressed at provider meetings and trainings. Clinicians are reminded of the health implications of smoking for our clients, the need to ask clients if they are interested in cessation services, and the need for proper documentation of these efforts. Due to the popularity of previously non-traditional ways to use nicotine, the providers are also being educated to ensure that any type of nicotine delivery system is addressed with the client. Salt Lake County/Optum has also incorporated a review of Recovery Plus initiatives during audits providing a forum for another conversation about the importance of offering cessation services to clients.

#### 30) Children/Youth Mental Health Early Intervention

Describe the Family Resource Facilitation with Wraparound activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. Describe how you intend to partner with other Department of Human Services child serving agencies. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Family Resource Facilitators (FRF): These facilitators, who are specially trained family members, work to develop a formalized, family-driven and child-centered public mental health system in the state of Utah. At no charge to families, FRFs provide referrals to local resources; advocacy for culturally appropriate services; links to information and support groups; and family wraparound facilitation. These services encourage increased family involvement at the service delivery, administration and policy levels, which help lead to improved outcomes for families and the communities.

The FRF program services are designed to provide family peer support services to parents and/or caregivers of children/youth with complex needs. Generally, FRFs have a family member with a mental illness giving them their

lived experience necessary to have understanding and empathy for the families they work with. They also have experience and knowledge navigating various systems and agencies. They provide resource coordination, advocacy, assistance with the 504 Special Needs Education plan and Individualized Education Plan (IEPs), and wraparound to fidelity. The main goal of the program is to keep children at home with their families and in their community. This is achieved through support, education, skill building, and use of natural supports. There are currently 10 FRFs placed with 7 agencies throughout Salt Lake County.

Presently FRFs are anchored at the following agencies or organizations:

- 2 FTEs Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services
- 1 FTE Utah Division of Juvenile Justice Services
- 2 FTE The Children's Center
- 1 FTE VOA Homeless Youth Center
- 1 FTE National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Utah
- 2 FTE State of Utah Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS)
- 1 FTE 3rd District Juvenile Court

Include expected increases or decreases from the previous year and explain any variance over 15%.

No significant changes expected.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

Do you agree to abide by the Mental Health Early Intervention Family Resource Facilitation and Wraparound Agreement? YES/NO

Yes

#### 31) Children/Youth Mental Health Early Intervention

Describe the *Mobile Crisis Team* activities you propose to undertake and identify where services are provided. *Please note the hours of operation.* For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

The UNI MCOT is an interdisciplinary team of mental health professionals, including FRFs, who provide a combination of crisis services including crisis intervention, psychiatric emergency care, urgent care, and crisis follow-up for residents of the Salt Lake community 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days per year. The team responds to the call within 10 minutes and arrives at the scene within 30 minutes. The staff assesses the situation and makes a determination regarding disposition using all the resources available to provide the best outcome possible using the least restrictive alternatives.

The Youth MCOT is flexible, multi-faceted, and immediately accessible to families, children and adolescents at risk for hospitalization or out-of-home placement. They work closely with community partners who specialize in child and family issues including DYS and Hopeful Beginnings. All staff are state certified Designated Examiners who can evaluate and initiate commitment procedures for those under the age of 18.

Include expected increases or decreases from the previous year and explain any variance over 15%.

No significant changes expected.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There were no significant programmatic changes.

Describe outcomes that you will gather and report on. Include expected increases or decreases from the previous year and explain any variance over 15%.

In additional to the total number of youth contacts and outreaches, DBHS collects the following outcomes:

- Number of contacts/outreaches that avoided out-of-home placement;
- Number of contacts/outreaches avoided legal involvement;
- · Number of individuals that received assistance when they were in danger of harming themselves or others; and
- Number of police calls avoided.

No expected increases.

# 32) Children/Youth Mental Health Early Intervention

Describe the School-Based Mental Health activities you propose to undertake and how you intend to support family involvement in treatment. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

VBH Prevention Programs: These school-based early intervention programs give children, adolescents and their families access to a licensed clinical social worker, medication prescriber, case manager, and a peer worker, all of whom provide behavioral health services in familiar school and community surroundings to help eliminate the stigma associated with receiving such services. The program also offers referrals to a primary care physician to address any co-morbid physical conditions and promote a whole-health approach to care delivery.

Include expected increases or decreases from the previous year and explain any variance over 15%, including TANF.

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year, including TANF. (Please e-mail DSAMH a list of your current school locations if there have been changes from last year.)

There were no significant programmatic changes.

Describe outcomes that you will gather and report on.

DBHS will continue to use the Mental Health Early Intervention Data & Outcomes Report form which has been provided by DSAMH. Specifically for the school-based programs, data for total clients served, number of schools and school districts served, YOQ, and other indicators such as Office Disciplinary Referral, and grade point average will be reported.

#### 33) Suicide Prevention, Intervention & Postvention

Describe the current services in place in suicide prevention, intervention and postvention.

Optum's Recovery and Resiliency team have provided the following trainings in collaboration with other stakeholders and community partners.

- 24 people in the community were certified in Mental Health First Aid (MHFA) with more trainings scheduled during the current fiscal year.
- 52 people in the community were certified in Youth Mental Health First Aid with more trainings scheduled during the current fiscal year.

In addition to the above, the clinical operations/care advocacy teams' manage/pre-certify IP acute admissions and concurrent reviews which are post ED, coordinating stabilization and safety. An Optum Discharge Specialist attends weekly staffings at the in-network hospitals to assist in coordination and work with the provider Network to align ongoing services including follow-up after hospitalization (FUH). An additional measure required by Medicaid

is to track all those who have been hospitalized for how soon the consumer has their first behavioral health appointment post-discharge. For the year ending December 31, 2016, Optum SLCO demonstrated that 56.65% attended an appointment within seven days post-discharge and an additional 13.67% attended an appointment within 30 days, for a total of 70.32% attending an appointment post-charge from a hospital.

If a consumer is not admitted and there is a clear mental health presentation Optum SLCo will refer and follow-up with Network provider (existing or new). The level of care can be routine OP or more intensive services such as VOAs ACT or VBHs AOT. If the ED presented or notified Optum of the presentation we would always recommend the appropriate level of care and follow up.

Optum SLCo attends the Salt Lake Suicide Prevention Coalition meeting. Additionally, Optum's Recovery and Resiliency (R&R) Team has been participating in the DSAMH Peer Support Conference Planning and DSAMH Utah Behavioral Health Planning and Advisory Council as well as the USARA Advisory Council. The R&R Team frequently meets with providers for the purpose of collaboration and coordination of care. For example: the ACO Learning Collaborative, Clinical Review meeting with VBH, Field Care Advocacy Meetings at UNI, VOA ACT Team Meeting, Fast/Faster Meetings with DYS. Finally, as the Recovery and Resiliency Manager, Julie Hardle will participate in media campaigns throughout the year. Most recently, Julie participated in a television interview with ABC 4 News focusing on Youth MHFA...

Describe progress of your implementation plan for comprehensive suicide prevention quality improvement including policy changes, training initiatives, and care improvements. Describe the baseline and year one implementation outcomes of the Suicide Prevention Medicaid PIP.

The normal baseline period was January 1 - December 31, 2015. However, Salt Lake County received permission for a baseline period from October 1, 2015-December 31, 2015. During this baseline period 218 Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) screenings were conducted with a total of 6,180 clients serviced resulting in a rate of 3.5%. Fifty-four individuals indicated a response of yes to #2 or higher. In these instances, Safety Plans were created 100.0% of the time. During CY2016, all providers were expected to use the C-SSRS during initial intake and then ongoing use per clinical judgement. It was also expected that the Stanley Brown Safety Plan be completed as applicable within 24 hours of identification of risk of suicide. For CY16, 5,803 screenings were conducted with a total of 13,681 clients serviced during this period at a rate of 42.4%. Nine hundred and seventy-three individuals indicated a response of yes to #2 or higher. In these instances, Safety Plans were created 93.6% of the time. For CY17, 6,345 screenings were conducted with a total of 12,546 clients serviced during this period at a rate of 50.6%. 1081 individuals indicated a response of yes to #2 or higher. In these instances. Safety Plans were created 97.1% of the time. Throughout the upcoming year, additional trainings will be provided on the use of the C-SSRS as an effective screening tool as well as the use of a Safety Plan will be emphasized in all instances where risk of suicide may be clinically determined. Additionally, the importance of submitting this data through Optum's provider facing portal, Provider Connect, with be presented. All provider audits will contain a focus on the use of these tools.

Describe your collaboration with emergency services to coordinate follow up care after emergency room visits for suicide related events; both general collaboration efforts as well as specific efforts for your clients.

Our Clinical Operations Team coordinates care with our crisis programs and community providers to help our clients access the care they need.

For an adult in Salt Lake County experiencing acute emotional or psychiatric distress, a comprehensive array of services and supports on a 24 hour/7 days a week basis are available. These services are structured to address acute needs and also provide for personal and public safety and support individuals in a manner that encourages their recovery and equips them with resources to manage future acute circumstances. This array of services includes telephone crisis-line services, warm-line services, MCOT, close coordination with the Salt Lake Police Department CIT program, a receiving center, case management, psychotropic medications and, when necessary, access to acute inpatient hospitalization.

For a youth in Salt Lake County experiencing an acute emotional or psychiatric distress, we offer a comprehensive array of services and supports available on a 24 hour/7 days a week basis. These services are structured to address not only their acute needs but also provide for personal and public safety and support individuals in a manner that encourages their recovery and equips them with skills, resources and tools to manage future acute

circumstances. The array of services includes telephone crisis line services, MCOT, case management, psychotropic medications and, when necessary, access to acute inpatient hospitalization.

## 34) Justice Reinvestment Initiative

## Identify the members of your local JRI implementation Team.

DBHS recognizes Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) Programming as a countywide initiative affecting multiple stakeholders including the county jail, courts, and district attorney's office. As a result when implementing a JRI strategy DBHS was committed to broad support of county stakeholders, including approval from the following Criminal Justice Advisory Council stakeholders prior to implementing programming with JRI community based treatment funding:

Chair, Mayor Ben McAdams Salt Lake County

Vice Chair, Hon. Brendan McCullaugh Judge, West Valley City Justice Court

Noella Sudbury CJAC Coordinator

Honorable John Baxter Judge, Salt Lake City Justice Court
Paul Boyden Statewide Association of Prosecutors

Jim Bradley Salt Lake County Council

Mike Brown Chief of Police, Salt Lake City Police Department

Max Burdick Salt Lake County Council

Jack CarruthChief of Police, South Salt Lake City, LEADS ChairRollin CookDirector, Utah State Department of CorrectionsKaren CromptonDirector, Salt Lake County Human Services

Sim Gill District Attorney, Salt Lake County
Kele Griffone Director, Criminal Justice Services
Representative Eric Hutchings Utah House of Representatives

Matt Dumont Chief, Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office

Rich Mauro Executive Director, Salt Lake Legal Defenders Association

Jim Peters State Justice Court Administrator
Peyton Smith Third District Court Administrator
Honorable Randall Skanchy Judge, Third District Court
Jeff Silvestrini Mayor, Millcreek City

Tim Whalen Director, Salt Lake County Behavioral Health Services Valerie Wilde Division Administrator, Salt Lake City Prosecutor's Office

Rosie Rivera Sheriff, Salt Lake County

Additional stakeholders that participated in implementing these programs included:

Community Treatment Providers (Assessment and Referral Services, Odyssey House, First Step House, Valley Behavioral Health, Clinical Consultants, Project Reality, Volunteers of America, House of Hope and the University of Utah Neuropsychiatric Institute).

West Valley City Prosecutor's Office Private Defense Bar Community Connections Center (SLC PD Social Work Program)

Describe the evidence-based mental health screening, assessment, prevention, treatment, and recovery support services you intend to implement including addressing criminal risk factors.

## **Justice Reinvestment Initiative**

Salt Lake County receives Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) dollars through two funding streams, the FY16 formula-based dollars and the FY18 competitive application-based dollars.

## Formula-based Funding

In 2016, utilizing the initial formula-based funding as seed dollars, the Intensive Supervision Probation (ISP) program, CORE 2 and a Prosecutorial Pre-Diversion Program (Operation Diversion) were implemented. Operation Diversion enjoyed high treatment retention rates through the voluntary referral phase, and ended treatment referrals July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017 as funding for this program ended. ISP and CORE 2 remain in operation. Outcomes for all

of these programs may be viewed in the JRI section of the area plan attachment. Additional funding sources for these programs include County General Fund, Federal Medicaid dollars, City dollars, and one-time CCJJ grant dollars.

## **Application-based Funding**

Beginning July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017, utilizing the application-based dollars, ISP was expanded, additional Drug Court residential capacity was funded, and a new Unified Police Department (UPD)/University of Utah Neuropsychiatric Institute (UNI) Pilot was started, collocating a licensed mental health professional with a UPD officer to respond to mental health crises in the community.

Please find program descriptions below for FY19, and associated outcome measures attached in the JRI section of the area plan attachments.

## **FY19 Justice Reinvestment Initiative Programs**

## **Intensive Supervision Probation**

DBHS continues to partner with the Sheriff's Office and Criminal Justice Services (CJS) on the ISP program. This program targets high-risk individuals sentenced to county probation at CJS. Clients are evaluated using the LS/CMI risk tool, along with an ASAM assessment to determine appropriate level of supervision and care. They are supervised in the community by deputies from the Sheriff's Office and receive intensive case management services through CJS. With the assistance of JRI funds, DBHS provides three dedicated assessment workers, two of which are seated at CJS with the officers and case managers, as well as prioritized access to treatment services, and five dedicated social detox beds at VOA. Through this model there has been an increase in the number of clients who present for an assessment and treatment. We have also seen drastic reductions in the wait times associated with accessing treatment and lower attrition rates when compared to the overall system. As this program has evolved, ATR services, access to evidence-based MAT, and recovery support services were added in 2016 and 2017 [through a new contract with Utah Support Advocates for Recovery Awareness (USARA)]. Since 2015, over 61% of all clients have been referred due to drug-related offenses and over 99% have struggled from moderate or greater SUD. Additionally, 34.2% of all clients have identified opiates as a primary substance of abuse (30% of all males and 41.9% of all females).

In March 2016 this program was presented to the County Council and received unanimous support for an increase in county funds (\$2.3 million overall, \$790,000 for community treatment) to grow the program. The additional county funds extended are not included in this budget. ISP received the 2016 National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Award and was selected to present at the national Adult Probation and Parole Association Conference in Cleveland, OH in August 2016. In April 2017, ISP received the Sheriff's Office Distinguished Unit award.

In July 2017, ISP was awarded an additional \$1.4M in new JRI funds from the Justice Reinvestment Committee. Leveraging these new state funds, ISP was able to fund the second licensed mental health professional to provide additional clinical assessments. The program also was able to expand treatment capacity, funding an active caseload of 280 clients. By utilizing county founds, ISP was able to expand supervision and case management capacity as well (hiring 2 additional case managers and 3 Sheriff's Office deputies).

In expanding the program to the new capacity, over 150 new clients were enrolled into ISP in a six-month period, up from the original program capacity of 180 clients. Since inception, ISP has now surpassed 600 referrals. The result of this rapid expansion led to a large number of phase one clients needing the highest levels of case management, supervision, and treatment. Through March 2018, there are 295 participants and 100 graduates. 86.7% of all clients referred into ISP have been assessed for treatment, 73.1% have remained actively engaged in treatment, and graduates enjoy a 43.6% reduction in risk scores.

DBHS does not anticipate additional unique clients served on top of the previous fiscal year's estimates because no additional funds were allocated for FY19.

Please refer to the attached JRI slides for outcomes and demographic information.

## **Drug Court Treatment Expansion**

Beginning July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017, an additional \$500,000 was made available to Drug Court utilizing a fund code that accelerates access to treatment slots. Historically, the waiting list for Drug Court participants to admit to a residential program was long, creating frustration with the court and teams, and was the impetus in prioritizing dollars for this population. During this same year, the State implemented the Targeted Adult Medicaid (TAM) program providing new Medicaid funding for non-parenting Drug Court participants earning less than 5% of the FPL. These new funding streams enabled Salt Lake County providers to grow in a very large way, approximately doubling the residential treatment capacity in the county.

The Division of Behavioral Health Services (DBHS) requires providers to utilize TAM prior to accessing JRI funding. As a result, the Division is experiencing an underutilization of JRI dollars. As an example, the average monthly spend of Drug Court funding went from approximately \$75,000 in October, to approximately \$15,000 in December 2017.

The anticipated demand for JRI Drug Court funding remains an unknown for FY19, as the county aggressively enrolls participants into TAM, and as the system adjusts to this new funding stream. DBHS will be working to determine the average number of eligible participants, gain an understanding of the impacts of a limited one-year benefit (ending eligibility for those who gain employment after that one year period), the availability of workforce within our provider system, and other factors involved.

For those reasons and based on the commitment made to the court, DBHS will continue with the \$500,000 budgeted for FY19, monitor utilization and work closely with state leadership to adjust as needed going forward.

Should the full \$500,000 be utilized, it is anticipated this would fund treatment for up to 75 clients. The majority of clients will be receiving residential treatment, however Criminal Justice Services requested allowing the funds to be utilized for outpatient treatment as well. On a conservative estimate for residential care only, these funds would cover eleven beds and approximately 33 clients, assuming a four month average residential length of stay.

Please refer to the attached JRI slides for additional demographics on this population.

DBHS does not anticipate additional unique clients served on top of the previous fiscal year's estimates because no additional funds were allocated for this Drug Court treatment expansion for FY19.

## CORE 2

DBHS continues to utilize FY16 formula-based JRI funding as seed dollars for CORE 2. This is a Valley Behavioral Health 16-bed dual-diagnosis residential facility for women, focusing on medium/high risk and medium/high need participants with supportive housing attached upon discharge. This program was implemented due to community requests and impressive outcomes seen previously with the CORE program for men. This program coordinates closely with multiple criminal justice stakeholders, and quickly developed a substantial wait list. Based on data collected from the partial implementation year in FY16, the complete program year in FY17, and the partial year in FY18, we anticipate serving 65 females in CORE 2 in FY19. Approximately 75% of the participants in the program each fiscal year have been new clients, with about 25% remaining in services from the previous fiscal year.

DBHS does not anticipate additional unique clients served on top of the previous fiscal year's estimates because no additional funds were allocated for FY19.

Please refer to the attached JRI slides for information on outcomes.

#### **UPD/UNI Mental Health Unit Pilot**

After receiving the JRI application-based dollars in July 2017, pilot stakeholders began the process of writing and finalizing contracts and MOU's, and assigning a UNI licensed clinician. The program became operational in November of that year (with JRI dollars supporting the cost of one clinician).

Through this pilot, a licensed mental health professional is housed within the UPD offices, co-responds with law enforcement to mental health crises within the community, and provides individualized follow-up. This program serves the cities of Taylorsville, Kearns, Magna, Riverton, Holladay, Millcreek, Midvale, Canyons, Herriman, and White City.

The objectives of this pilot are to:

- Assist with the de-escalation of volatile situations, reducing the potential for violence during police contacts
- Provide mental health consumers and their families with linkages to services and supports
- Serve consumers in the least restrictive setting, diverting from jail and hospitalization as appropriate
- Reduce repeated law enforcement responses to the same location, and
- Free up patrol officers to respond to other calls.

Through additional county dollars, the Mental Health Unit is made up of one sergeant, one detective, and nine secondary officers in each precinct that work with the unit one to two days a month. The unit also utilizes interns from the University of Utah in assembling the program database.

This effort enjoys a commitment to problem solving and a fruitful collaboration between law enforcement, Salt Lake County Behavioral Health, the University of Utah Neuropsychiatric Institute and the greater community of Salt Lake County.

Given the delay in implementation, DBHS has only four months of data to estimate FY19 client counts. Based on recent trends, DBHS would anticipate serving approximately 430 unique clients in FY19. Without any additional funds in FY19 this would not represent a change in estimates of unique clients served from the prior year.

## **Additional Programming**

To support Operation Rio Grande (ORG), DBHS expanded its contract with the University of Utah Assessment and Referral Services to provide for the hiring of one assessment worker. This licensed clinician will go into the jail to perform assessments for ORG Drug Court candidates on an ongoing basis as they become identified. It is estimated that 9 assessments will occur a week. This would equate to approximately 450 assessments a year. Please bear in mind there may be substantial duplication in these numbers as clients assessed may also receive treatment with JRI Drug Court funding, or may abscond for a few months, be booked again and require a new assessment. This estimate may also vary depending on ebbs and flows of Drug Court rosters.

#### **Budget:**

JRI programs serve individuals with both mental health and substance use disorders. Budgets for these programs are separated appropriately between the MH and SUD Area Plans.

## Identify your outcome measures.

DBHS has developed multiple outcome measures for the programs listed above. Please reference the JRI section in the area plan attachments for detailed information on these measures.

Additionally, DBHS enjoys a data sharing agreement with the Salt Lake County Jail, and receives support from Salt Lake County Information Services in accessing this data. A data analyst was hired in December of 2017, and is actively working to match program cohorts with jail data to analyze reductions in new-charge bookings, and length of stay in the Salt Lake County jail due to these bookings. Preliminary results have been compiled and DBHS works now towards validation efforts with jail staff, Information Services staff, and the Criminal Justice Advisory Council staff prior to releasing this data. Initial data gathering has revealed additional data tables that would assist in this process, so DBHS will be working towards expanding the data sharing agreement to incorporate these tables. DBHS would like to recognize the efforts of these stakeholders, without whom these efforts would not have been possible. Lastly, DBHS recently gained access to court data, which will allow analysis in the future regarding convictions as well.

## FORM B - SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER TREATMENT BUDGET NARRATIVE

**Local Authority:** Salt Lake County Behavioral Health (DBHS)

## Instructions:

In the cells below, please provide an answer/description for each question. PLEASE CHANGE THE COLOR OF SUBSTANTIVE NEW LANGUAGE INCLUDED IN YOUR PLAN THIS YEAR!

## 1) Screening and Assessment Only

| Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | \$1,249,950 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 2,678 |
|--|-------------|---|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$N/A       | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | N/A   |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$1,183,993 | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 2,537 |

Describe activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Salt Lake County Division of Behavioral Health Services (DBHS) practices the philosophy that there is "no wrong door to treatment." What this means is that though we do contract with The University of Utah's Assessment and Referral Services/Interim Group Services (ARS/IGS) for substance use disorder (SUD) assessments, any of DBHS' twelve SUD contracted providers may conduct the assessment and refer into services.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant change

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant change

## 2) Detoxification Services (ASAM IV-D, III.7-D, III.2-D, I-D or II-D)

| Form B - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                   | \$2,864,265 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                | 2,300 |
|--|-------------|--|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan | \$2,001,986 | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan | 1,805 |
| Form B - Actual FY17                             | \$2,514,811 | Form B - Actual FY17                                   | 1,929 |

| Expenditures Reported by | Clients Serviced as |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Locals                   | Reported by Locals  |  |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS contracts to provide social detoxification services for youth and adults, including women and mothers with dependent children, in two sites within the county. These two sites are:

- 1. Volunteers of America/Cornerstone Counseling Center (VOA/CCC) Social Detoxification Center: A facility in Salt Lake for men and women.
- 2. Salt Lake County's Division of Youth Services (DYS) program located in South Salt Lake provides detoxification services on an "as needed" basis for adolescents.

DBHS provides access to dedicated law enforcement jail diversion detox beds at VOA. Additionally, during FY18 new detox beds were added to support clients involved in Operation RIO Grande.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

15 new detox beds were added to support clients involved in Operation RIO Grande.

If this service is not provided by the Local Authority, where are individuals accessing this level of care when needed? Who in your community provides this service? How is the service paid for?

## 3) Residential Treatment Services: (ASAM III.7, III.5, III.3, III.1)

| Form B - FY19 Amoun Budgeted:                           | t \$10,125,067   | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,007 |
|---|------------------|---|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan        | \$8,107,547<br>a | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 909   |
| Form B - Actual FY17<br>Expenditures Reported<br>Locals | \$9,580,537      | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 953   |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. Identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS and Optum currently contract with four residential treatment providers for ASAM 3.1, 3.3, and/or 3.5 services. A process of pre-authorization and utilization review is in place in order to utilize residential services appropriately. The following agencies perform this pre-authorization function:

- Optum for Medicaid clients;
- ARS for DORA, juvenile drug court, and family dependency drug court clients; and

• DBHS for all other adults and youth, as well as Family Dependency Drug Court.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

There has been a significant residential treatment expansion in Salt Lake County. The primary funding source is the new TAM funding, not included in the budget due to it going directly to our provider network. Salt Lake County still believes there is significant need for individuals who will not be TAM eligible. We believe these clinical trends will be established in FY2019. We will work closely with the State Division to evaluate how best to use new funding as the trends become clear.

## 4) Outpatient (Methadone - ASAM I)

| Form B - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | \$1,822,613 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,720 |
|--|-------------|---|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$950,014   | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 946   |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$1,040,321 | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 982   |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider. If you are not currently providing or subcontracting for this service, describe future plans.

For individuals who are not eligible for Medicaid, DBHS contracts with one provider, Project Reality, to deliver this service. Project Reality now has two locations, one in their historical location of SLC and this past year opened a second office in Murray. Additionally, Project Reality does provide daily off-site dosing at the VOA/CCC Detox and other providers as needed. Medicaid clients also have the option of receiving opioid treatment and withdrawal services at the Fourth Street Clinic.

Also see section 10, which includes methadone services provided through STR funding.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

Significant increase due to new STR funding, which was not included in the SFY18 Area Plan budget.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Significant STR-funded expansion of Project Reality SLC and the opening of a new Project Reality office in Murray. The increased funding is nearly doubling the availability of medication assisted treatment (MAT), utilizing methadone.

## 5) Office-based Opioid Treatment -(Vivitrol, Naltrexone, Buprenorphine)

| Form B - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | \$1,166,666 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 400 |
|--|-------------|---|-----|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | N/A         | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | N/A |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$N/A       | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | N/A |

Describe activities you propose to ensure access to Buprenorphine, Vivitrol and Naltrexone and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider. If you are not currently providing or subcontracting for this service, describe future plans.

DBHS continues to provide access to Vivitrol for clients actively engaged in SUD treatment. DBHS partners with the SLCo Jail Medical Team, Midtown Community Health Center, the Martindale Clinic, and the Utah Department of Corrections to provide medical care and Vivitrol injections to participating clients. Referrals can come from any DBHS network provider, through CATS in the Jail, the Department of Corrections Treatment Resource Centers (TRCs) and halfway houses, or through Intensive Supervision Probation. Those who attend regular case management appointments and remain engaged in treatment are eligible to receive monthly Vivitrol treatment at no additional charge to the client. Please refer to the area plan attachments for a Vivitrol Program report detailing reductions in new charge jail bookings, jail length of stay and other pertinent outcomes.

DBHS has contracted with Clinical Consultants to further expand the availability of Vivitrol and other Office Based MAT services to county residents eligible for federal STR funding. DBHS has made consistent efforts to coordinate with the STR OTPs to transfer over any clients who are eligible to utilize STR funds. This effort allows the division to broaden the reach of state MAT funds.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

We are anticipating a significant increase as Clinical Consultants project will be fully ramped up going into FY19. There is not prior actual or budget information for this category because this is a new category in the Area Plan.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Only change is that these programs will be fully implemented by the end of FY18 and more efficient (assuming lower case rates) going into FY19.

## 6) Outpatient (Non-methadone – ASAM I)

| Form B - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                   | \$4,775,719 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                | 4,137 |
|--|-------------|--|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan | \$5,550,639 | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan | 3,981 |

| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by | \$4,514,925 | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as | 3,911 |
|---|-------------|---|-------|
| Locals  |             | Reported by Locals                          |       |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS and Optum contract with 12 agencies to provide the full continuum of outpatient ASAM LOCs. These programs provide services for youth, women, mothers and fathers with dependent children, and general adult patients, in multiple sites across Salt Lake County. Psychiatric medication evaluation services are provided by Family Counseling Center (FCC), Odyssey House, and VOA/CCC, for all levels of care, and can be accessed by any client currently served.

A list of providers by LOC and population is available upon request.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant change.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes are anticipated at this time.

## 7) Intensive Outpatient (ASAM II.5 or II.1)

| Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | \$5,924,563 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 2,173 |
|--|-------------|---|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$5,551,960 | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 2,025 |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$5,535,341 | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 2,030 |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS and Optum contracts with 8 agencies to provide ASAM 2.1 and/or 2.5 for youth, women, mothers with dependent children, and general adult patients in multiple sites across Salt Lake County. Psychiatric medication evaluation services are provided by FCC, Odyssey House, and VOA/CCC for all levels of care and can be accessed by any client currently served.

A list of providers by LOC and population is available upon request.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant change.

## Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes are anticipated at this time.

## 8) Recovery Support Services

| Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | \$1,348,496 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 1,021 |
|--|-------------|---|-------|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$1,900,768 | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 766   |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$707,632   | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | 536   |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

DBHS operates the Drug Court Access to Recovery (ATR) and the Parole ATR (PATR) programs to provide clients with services that support their recovery. Most contracted providers offer 'aftercare' services to clients wanting to stay connected with their treatment provider. DBHS and contracted providers actively support USARA's efforts to advocate for recovery awareness. DBHS supports the Recovery Oriented Systems of Care initiative.

In addition to the above, DBHS manages SLCo's Housing Assistance Rental Program (HARP) and the Right Person In/Right Person Out (RIO) housing programs. HARP and RIO are funded through a blend of County and Federal funds and supports both SUD and MH clients. The budget for these programs is addressed in the MH area plan.

Working with state legislative leadership through the Department of Workforce Services and the State Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health, Salt Lake County started a Sober Living voucher program. Residential providers have the ability to refer to a state licensed sober living facility, offered through a voucher/authorization process similar to RSS services. Clients have the ability to utilize a sober living facility for 6 months. The initial award was for \$300,000 in SFY 18. At this writing, Salt Lake County is unsure of the available funding for 2019. Due to demand, DBHS is working to expand the number of available providers.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

The significant decrease from the prior Area Plan is due to MAT Vivitrol program being moved to a different row category.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant program changes.

### 9) Peer Support Services

| Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | \$9,000 | Form B - FY19 Projected clients Served:                           | 150 |
|--|---------|---|-----|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$8,811 | Form B - Projected Clients<br>Served in FY18 Area Plan            | 141 |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | N/A     | Form B - Actual FY17<br>Clients Serviced as<br>Reported by Locals | N/A |

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Providing and receiving peer support stands as an integral component of rehabilitation and recovery. Salt Lake County and Optum are dedicated to the Peer Support Specialist Program and work to expand the peer workforce in Salt Lake County.

Certified Peer Support Specialists are currently employed at Valley Behavioral Health, First Step House, Odyssey House, and House of Hope.

Peer Support Specialists provide consumers with linkage to support services for SUD issues, mental health, physical health and social services. This service promotes the recovery model and provides tools for coping with and recovering from a substance use disorder.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

Not anticipating change.

How is peer support supervision provided? Who provides the supervision? What training do supervisors receive?

Per Utah Medicaid, Rehabilitative Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Services directives, certified peer support specialist are under the supervision of a licensed mental health therapist, or a licensed ASUDC or SUDC when peer support services are provided to individuals with an SUD. Supervisors are expected to follow these guidelines offering ongoing weekly individual and/or group supervision to the Certified Peer Support specialist they supervise.

All providers are encouraged to attend the Supervision training offered through the State of Utah Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health (DSAMH). Additionally, Optum SLCO Recovery and Resiliency can provide technical assistance to In-Network providers with Toolkits for Providers. The Tool Kit addresses misconceptions about using peers in services delivery and includes information on how to bill Medicaid, gives examples of job descriptions and provides information on supervision.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes are anticipated at this time.

## 10) Opioid (STR) Treatment Funds

The allowable uses for this funding are described in the SFY 18 Division Directives: 1. Contract with Opioid Treatment Programs (OTP);

- 2. Contracts for Office Based Treatment (OBT) providers to treat Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) using Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT);
- 3. Provision of evidence based-behavioral therapies for individuals with OUD;
- 4. Support innovative telehealth in rural and underserved areas;
- 5. Implement or expand access to clinically appropriate evidence-based practices (EBPs) for OUD:
- 6. Provide treatment transition and coverage for patients reentering communities from criminal justice settings or other rehabilitative settings;
- 7. Enhance or support the provision of peer and other recovery supports.

## Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided.

STR Grant—Formula Funds: DBHS continues to partner with Project Reality to offer clinically appropriate evidence-based MAT for clients residing in the central region of Salt Lake County (FY18 Project Reality expansion in Murray). The Murray clinic offers the full spectrum of MAT (methadone, Suboxone, and Vivitrol) and general outpatient therapy. This expansion offers client-specific MAT for up to 300 unique clients annually between the Murray and Salt Lake City locations.

STR Grant—Application Funds: DBHS continues to partner with Clinical Consultants to offer clinically appropriate evidence-based MAT for clients residing in the southwest region of Salt Lake County (FY18 Clinical Consultants new OTP in West Jordan). The OTP offers clients Suboxone and Vivitrol, coupled with all outpatient treatment services. This new clinic offers client-specific MAT for up to 133 unique clients.

## How will you identify, engage and retain individuals in your area with opioid use disorders?

Project Reality and Clinical Consultants advertise the expansion of services and the new locations to the target community through other community providers and in areas where limited access to this information can be found. They coordinate recruitment efforts with local law enforcement, social services organizations, through the Salt Lake County Coordinating Council monthly, and through medical providers. The programs assist in reducing stigma and addresses bias, beliefs, values and norms by educating individuals with OUD, family members, and others engaged with these individuals (e.g. police, DCFS, medical providers) on the benefits and outcomes of MAT. Both programs actively work with the Salt Lake County Vivitrol program to identify referral pathways for current County clients, and for opportunities to work with the Department of Corrections.

## Describe how your plan will improve access and outcomes for individuals with OUD in your community.

The central and southwest regions of Salt Lake County lack any publicly-funded OTPs. According to the DSAMH needs assessment, these locations provide greater access to five of the ten statewide hotspots identified with the highest rates of opioid-related ED encounters and overdose deaths. Outcomes are estimated to be improved based on the ability to provide clients access to an evidence-based therapy as prescribed by a licensed MAT prescriber.

Further, the treatment milieu at both agencies is designed to foster patient-therapist relationships early in the treatment process and to increase motivation and retention while facilitating change. They continue to use Motivational Interviewing and other EBPs; intakes performed by therapists for early engagement and rapport; involving the therapists during dosing for mental health monitoring, assessments of stability, crisis intervention and relapse prevention; and 24-hour crisis on-call telephone access to support re-adaptation and functioning.

For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider. List all contracted providers that will provide these services.

All services are provided through Project Reality or Clinical Consultants directly, who are contracted network treatment providers..

## 11) Quality & Access Improvements

## Identify process improvement activities including implementation and training of:

## Describe how you will increase access to treatment?

DBHS has already increased access to treatment by adding approximately 250 additional residential beds to our network system by the end of FY18. This expansion is primarily funded through the TAM (Targeted Adult Medicaid) expansion.

Furthermore, through additional funding such as JRI and STR, providers have been able to increase outpatient availability either through their existing locations, or in the case of Project Reality and Clinical Consultants, opening additional offices.

## Describe your plan to improve the quality of care.

DBHS has created a system whereby all ASAM LOCs greater than 1.0 must seek preauthorization and be reviewed based on the standards set forth by DSAMH. This entails the primary clinician completing a treatment plan update with a corresponding progress note. The clinician then notifies DBHS via a universal mailbox established for this purpose, that a given file is ready for review. Each request is handled on a case-by-case basis. Should a client meet criteria to continue at the current level, a reauthorization is granted according to pre-established standards set by DSAMH. If DBHS disagrees with the request to continue at the current LOC, then a plan is established by the agency to place the client in the most appropriate LOC according to the most recent ASAM assessment within the treatment plan review. No client is immediately discharged. Should a client be assessed as needing a higher LOC, a similar process is required.

Through the above, the quality of care is monitored consistently. DBHS requires all providers to notify the Division when any new or ongoing authorization is needed. At that time, a Quality Assurance (QA) Coordinator will review the most recent treatment plan/ASAM update for medical necessity. These requests are not automatically approved. If medical necessity is met, then the authorization is granted. If not, then a plan is developed to transition the client to the next appropriate level of care according to the most recent ASAM assessment. DBHS receives multiple requests every day for authorizations and this is a significant part of the responsibility of the QA Coordinators. In addition to this, every provider is audited each year. This involves pulling a random sample of files and thoroughly reviewing each file. A report is issued wherein clinical, administrative, and financial concerns are addressed. If necessary, a corrective action plan is requested within specified time frames.

Optum, ARS/IGS and DBHS have developed similar preauthorization processes in order to reduce confusion with providers. The overall medical necessity expectations and licensure of those reviewing the request are the same. Slight procedural variations are present such as how authorizations are communicated

## Describe Implementation and Training of Evidence Based Practices to Ensure Fidelity.

In addition to the regular reviews and re-authorizations described above, the quality assurance team provides oversight and on-going consultation and training to the network of providers based on the annual contract compliance/improvement audits. Trainings are focused on the use of individualized, client-centered services; development of standardized assessment and treatment planning tools; the utilization of ASAM patient placement criteria; continued stay criteria; utilization review; and more rigorous quality assurance/improvement, fiscal and administrative oversight requirements.

DBHS continues to support providers in their use of evidenced-based practices as well as outcome-based practices. The division has seen increased use of evidenced-based practices by providers including increased use of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT), Solution-focused Therapy, Trauma Awareness Focused Therapy, Strengthening Families, and gender specific treatments.

Additionally, ongoing training is provided to help educate and inform all providers on the ASAM criteria and manual.

## **Describe Clinical Supervision Protocol or Plan.**

DBHS and Optum continues to support providers in their use of evidenced-based practices; however, the individual providers have the responsibility of obtaining training for evidence-based practices. All current providers have to provide evidenced-based practices, including the supervision required by the EBP, by contract. DBHS and Optum have seen increased use of EBPs by providers including increased use of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT), Solution-focused Therapy, Trauma Awareness Focused Therapy, Strengthening Families, and gender specific treatments.

## How do you evaluate client outcomes?

The OQ and YOQ are mandated by contract to be used by every provider. Provider training regarding the scoring and practical use increased during FY18. This has resulted in more providers utilizing the OQ/YOQ to full fidelity. DBHS and Optum monitor client files for these measures during scheduled audits. What we have seen in FY18 is not only that more providers are using these tools, but that they are improving in making these tools part of the individualized treatment for the client. Training will be ongoing in FY19.

Correctional Program Checklist (CPC) - The CPC is a tool developed to assess correctional intervention programs and is used to ascertain how closely those programs meet known principles of effective intervention. Several studies conducted by the University of Cincinnati-of both adult and juvenile programs-were used to develop and validate the indicators on the CPC. These studies found strong correlations with outcome between overall scores, domain areas, and individual items.

The CPC is divided into two basic areas: CAPACITY and CONTENT. The CAPACITY area is designed to measure whether a correctional program has the capability to deliver evidence-based interventions and services for offenders. There are three domains in the capacity area including: (1) Leadership and Development; (2) Staff; and (3) Quality Assurance. The CONTENT area focuses on the substantive domains of: (1) Offender Assessment; and (2) Treatment Characteristics. This area evaluates the extent to which the program meets the principles of risk, need, responsivity, and treatment. There are a total of 77 indicators, worth up to 83 total points. Each area and all domains are scored and rated as either "HIGHLY EFFECTIVE"; "EFFECTIVE"; "NEEDS IMPROVEMENT": or "INEFFECTIVE".

DBHS enjoys a data sharing agreement with the Salt Lake County Jail, and receives support from Salt Lake County Information Services in accessing this data. A data analyst was hired in December of 2017, and is actively working to match program cohorts with jail data to analyze reductions in new-charge bookings, and length of stay in the Salt Lake County jail due to these bookings. Preliminary results have been compiled and DBHS works now towards validation efforts with jail staff, Information Services staff, and the Criminal Justice Advisory Council staff prior to releasing this data. Initial data gathering has revealed additional data tables that would assist in this process, so DBHS will be working towards expanding the data sharing agreement to incorporate these tables. DBHS would like to recognize the efforts of these stakeholders, without whom these efforts would not have been possible. Lastly, DBHS recently gained access to court data, which will allow analysis in the future regarding convictions as well.

DSAMH JRI Certification process.

12) Services to Persons Incarcerated in a County Jail or Other Correctional Facility

Describe the activities you propose and identify where services will be provided. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider.

Corrections Addictions Treatment Services (CATS) at Oxbow and Adult Detention Center Jails, South Salt Lake City: CATS is an addictions treatment therapeutic community based on a low intensity residential model (5+ hours per week of treatment services with additional services included based on the therapeutic community model). The program is operated within both the ADC and Oxbow Jails. The capacity for males is 120 beds (Oxbow) and 32 beds for females (ADC) based on an average length of stay of 3 months. The CATS program is also a direct referring partner for the Vivitrol Program.

Currently, CATS includes a psycho-educational component (Prime for Life) for up to 1,500 inmates, plus a fuller continuum of treatment services with the inclusion of an outpatient and intensive outpatient model called Drug Offender Group Services (DOGS).

DBHS also operates many programs aimed at either diverting individuals from the county jail, providing services to incarcerated individuals in order to reduce their time of incarceration, and providing transition services for incarcerated individuals as they are released from jail. These services are funded entirely with State and County funds.

Any clients county-wide referred to the Vivitrol Program can be served.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

## Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

DBHS has begun offering Vivitrol treatment and case management services to clients leaving the Utah State Prison and receiving treatment at the Treatment Resource Centers. An ATR case manager works directly with the clients at the halfway houses to provide MAT education and offer access to the Vivitrol Program for those struggling with an OUD or alcohol dependence.

In FY18 the male CATS program was temporarily moved to the Davis County Jail. Salt Lake County was able to maintain program integrity, working closely with Odyssey House. The plan moving forward is to move the CATS program back to Oxbow when it opens in the summer of 2018.

The SAPT block grant regulations limit SAPT expenditures for the purpose of providing treatment services in penal or correctional institutions of the State. Please identify whether your County plans to expend SAPT block grant dollars in penal or correctional institutions of the State.

DBHS does not spend any SAPT funds on jail-based programming. The division utilizes County funds and other State funds for these programs.

## 13) Integrated Care

Describe your partnerships with local Health Departments, accountable care organizations (ACOs), federally qualified health centers (FQHCs) and other physical health providers.

Optum and each of the four ACO's meet on a monthly basis to hold staffings of high utilizing clients. These meetings result in improved coordination for our most vulnerable clients.

Three of DBHS' providers currently offer integrated physical and behavioral health services. Those include Volunteers of America, Odyssey House and the 4th St. Clinic. Additional coordination between behavioral health

providers and physical health providers occur. One example is a collaboration between the Midtown Community Health Center and multiple behavioral health providers through the Vivitrol Program.

In 2018 Salt Lake County began participating in a National Governors Association (NGA) led initiative with State Medicaid and the DSAMH. The goal of this project is to explore future integration opportunities.

Describe efforts to integrate clinical care to ensure individuals physical, mental health and substance use disorder needs are met.

All contracted vendors are required to have relationships with primary care systems. Three primary care providers who are excellent partners are: the Fourth Street Clinic for the homeless population, Odyssey House's Martindale Clinic, and Midtown Community Health Center located on State Street in Salt Lake City. In addition, Intermountain Healthcare provides extensive charity care for County clients.

The Division currently contracts with Fourth Street Clinic for behavioral health assessments for uninsured homeless clients. Additionally, DBHS partners with Midtown Community Health Center to administer Vivitrol to clients who are opioid or alcohol dependent. Both of these community health centers are ATR-contracted providers and have the ability to see and bill clients for physical health care services.

Describe your efforts to incorporate wellness into treatment plans and how you will provide education and referrals to individuals regarding physical health concerns (i.e., HIV, TB, Hep-C, Diabetes, Pregnancy, Nicotine).

During the past year, Optum network trainings have continued to include a focus on the importance of the physical health assessment components as well as coordinating with PCPs as needed for client services.

During this past year, the Optum Clinical Team educated the ACOs and providers on the metabolic effects of second generation antipsychotics on consumers. Optum developed a coordinated "flyer" that was sent to the entire provider network on 3 different occasions. The flyer offered information on the metabolic syndrome and the outcomes if not addressed. The flyer also provided a point person to contact for each of the ACOs.

Additionally, Optum Care Advocates collaborate with the respective ACOs on a case by case basis when it is noted that the consumer's medical needs, such as HIV, AIDS, Diabetes and Pregnancy, are a component of their SUD treatment and/or a part of their recovery. Each ACO has an identified person that is our contact point. The ACO then staffs the case and Optum will be contacted in return with their recommendation and/or plan to help address the medical status. Optum then coordinates with the treating provider what the medical plan is and who to coordinate with for their collaborative care. In some cases Optum has been able to proactively access health care services for consumers coming out of USH, so that medical support is available upon immediate return to the community. This process is fluid and responsive on an as needed basis in order to be flexible in meeting consumer needs

Recovery Plus: Describe your plan to reduce tobacco and nicotine use in SFY 2018, and how you will maintain a *tobacco free environment*. SUD Target= reduce tobacco and nicotine use by 5%.

DBHS and Optum continue to emphasize implementing Recovery Plus to full fidelity. DBHS and Optum continue to educate providers on the Recovery Plus Program and the mandate to diagnose and provide treatment for nicotine addiction as a healthcare issue. Recovery Plus continues to be addressed at provider meetings and trainings. Clinicians are reminded of the health implications of smoking for our clients, the need to ask clients if they are interested in cessation services, and the need for proper documentation of these efforts. Due to the popularity of previously non-traditional ways to use nicotine, the providers are also educated to ensure that any type of nicotine delivery system is addressed with the client. DBHS and Optum have incorporated a review of Recovery Plus initiatives during audits providing a forum for another conversation about the importance of offering cessation services to clients.

| Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | 11,172,030   |  |
|--|--------------|--|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$9,759,158  |  |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$10,585,204 |  |

Describe the evidence-based services provided for women including gender-specific substance use disorder treatment and other therapeutic interventions that address issues of trauma, relationships, sexual and physical abuse, vocational skills, networking, and parenting.

DBHS and Optum contract to provide women's treatment with five providers located throughout the County. Providers include House of Hope, Odyssey House, VBH, VOA/Cornerstone, Midtown, Clinical Consultants, Martindale Clinic, and Project Reality. Services include 5 outpatient sites, 4 intensive-outpatient sites, 3 day treatment sites, 3 residential sites, 1 site for social detox, and 6 locations for Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) services.

Additionally, DBHS and Optum contract to provide gender specific treatment for parenting and/or pregnant women and accompanying children with five providers located throughout the County. Providers include House of Hope, Odyssey House, VBH, VOA/Cornerstone, and Project Reality. Services include 5 outpatient sites, 4 intensive-outpatient sites, 3 day treatment sites, 3 residential sites, 1 site for social detox, and 6 location for MAT services

Some of the specific, specialized services provided to women include:

- Women on Methadone can receive treatment at House of Hope, VBH, and Odyssey House while pregnant. VBH and House of Hope will work with women after the birth to taper to an appropriate dose and then continue treatment. Odyssey House asks that the women taper off methadone after the birth of the baby.
- Project Reality is currently providing multiple services for women and pregnant women. Women in general are offered a women's empowerment group exclusively for women to address women's issues. We also provide referrals to women's specific programs such as House of Hope, Odyssey House women's and children program, and YWCA; provide parenting classes for families with children; and offer options for childcare during their therapy session such as bringing young children to session or offering temporary emergency childcare if needed during the session if available. Project Reality also has a women's resource room dedicated to offering different types of information for resources specific for women, supplies for emergencies with children such as diapers, and toys to keep children occupied in the room while women are in their therapy sessions in the same room.

Describe the therapeutic interventions for children of clients in treatment that addresses their developmental needs, their potential for substance use disorders, and their issues of sexual and physical abuse and neglect.

Describe collaborative efforts with DCFS for women with children at risk of, or in state custody.

Children of families receiving substance use disorder treatment receive therapeutic/developmental services during the day while their parents are attending group/individual therapy sessions. These services include assessment, individual and family therapy, practicing pro-social and health behaviors. For children in the transition program they are eligible to continue to receiving services while their parents work and move into permanent or transitional housing.

All programs also coordinate care with DCFS and CPS assisting mothers to meet service plan goals, arrange visitation as allowed by court or family agreement, and contingency plans for emergencies.

Describe the case management, child care and transportation services available for women to ensure they have access to the services you provide.

The parent and children programs provide case management assistance with obtaining children's records such as birth certificates and social security cards, obtaining Medicaid or other financial supports, and monitoring court dates. Efforts are made to set up educational, mental health, and/or developmental referrals for current and future assistance. Case management services also involve working with families to manage financial assistance already in place.

Childcare includes services provided directly to children without parents present such as maintaining daily routines, assisting with activities of daily living, or engaging in recreational activities.

Transportation includes child and family appointments outside of the program, attending court, or other events necessary to healthy family functioning.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

No significant programmatic changes are anticipated at this time

## 15) Adolescent (Youth) Treatment

| Form B - FY19 Amount Budgeted:                       | \$2,457,351 |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$2,653,257 |  |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$2,537,670 |  |

Describe the evidence-based services provided for adolescents and families. Identify your plan for incorporating the 10 Key Elements of Quality Adolescent SUD Treatment: (1) Screening / Assessment (2) Attention to Mental Health (3) Comprehensive Treatment (4) Developmentally Informed Programming (5) Family Involvement (6) Engage and Retain Clients (7) Staff Qualifications / Training (8) Continuing Care / Recovery Support (9) Person-First Treatment (10) Program Evaluation. Address goals to improve one to two areas from the 10 Key Elements of Quality SUD Treatment for the Performance Improvement Plan.

DBHS and Optum contract to provide treatment for adolescents through five providers located throughout the County. Providers include VBH, Odyssey House, Youth Services, VOA/Cornerstone, and Asian Association. Services include 5 outpatient sites, 5 intensive-outpatient sites, 3 day treatment sites, 1 residential sites, and 1 site for social detox. Medical detox is available to youth needing this service as well.

Some of the evidence-based practices employed by our providers are:

- Multifamily Psychoeducation Group (MFG)
- Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavior Therapy
- · Dialectical Behavior Therapy
- Motivational Interviewing
- Cognitive Behavior Therapy
- Behavior Therapy
- Integrated Dual Disorders Treatment
- Seeking Safety
- Wellness Recovery Action Plan (WRAP)

Additionally, some of the specific specialized services provided to adolescents include:

· An "enhanced day treatment" that allows short-term stays at the Juvenile Receiving Center in conjunction with

day treatment services to stabilize the youth and family, while preventing out of home care or the need for residential care.

- A "Young Adult" program with Volunteers of America to deliver services to individuals age 17 to 23 to further support their transition into adulthood.
- Gender specific treatment.

In order to incorporate the ten key elements of quality adolescent treatment, DBHS will have this as a discussion item during the monthly PSCC meetings. Additionally, DBHS and Optum have a robust monitoring system (see "Governance and Oversight Narrative", section 2 for more detail). DBHS and Optum will incorporate the key elements of quality adolescent treatment into the monitoring tools. This includes providing immediate feedback and training to the providers as problems are identified.

Justify any expected increase or decrease in funding and/or any expected increase or decrease in the number of individuals served (15% or greater change).

No significant changes.

Describe collaborative efforts with other state child serving agencies (DCFS, DJJS, SOC, DSPD, Juvenile Court) and any significant programmatic changes from the previous year.

Each agency providing treatment collaborates closely with other State agencies serving children and youth to ensure that needs are being met. Both DBHS and Optum monitor these efforts and request that providers document their efforts at collaboration in the client plan. DBHS and Optum participate in the weekly Multi Agency Staffing (MAS). This staffing also includes representatives from Juvenile Court, Granite School District, other treatment providers including SUD.

No significant programmatic changes have occurred during this past year.

## 16) Drug Court

| Form B - FY18 Amount<br>Budgeted: Felony      | \$1,726,224 | Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted: Felony      | \$1,692,967 |
|---|-------------|---|-------------|
| Form B - FY18 Amount<br>Budgeted: Family Dep. | \$814,096   | Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted: Family Dep. | \$1,104,140 |
| Form B - FY18 Amount<br>Budgeted: Juvenile    | \$165,379   | Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted: Juvenile    | \$219,046   |
| Form B - FY18 Recovery<br>Support Budgeted    | \$567,341   | Form B - FY19 Recovery<br>Support Budgeted    | \$736,984   |

Describe the Drug Court eligibility criteria for each type of specialty court (Adult, Family, Juvenile Drug Courts, etc).

Adult (no longer referred to as Felony) Drug Court: Clients are required to screen high risk based on the LS/CMI assessment (no longer the RANT) to be eligible for the Adult Drug Court program. Potential clients are identified by the Legal Defenders Association and are referred to Salt Lake County Criminal Justice Services (CJS) for the LS/CMI. Clients who screen as high risk/high need then receive an ASAM assessment to determine the appropriate level of care needed. Once this process is complete clients who are eligible are pled into the program.

Family Dependency Drug Court (FDDC): Clients participating in the FDDC program must meet the eligibility criteria of being high risk and high need. DBHS works closely with the Third District Court and DCFS to identify clients that may be eligible for the FDDC program. FDDC is using the ASAM assessment to assess the needs of clients and then working with DCFS to determine if an individual is high risk. Indicators of high risk would include multiple episodes of DCFS involvement, reunification, and failure to succeed at a higher level of care. Additionally, clients assessed at ARS rather than at DBHS receive a RANT.

Juvenile Drug Court (JDC): Clients participating in the JDC program must meet the eligibility criteria of being high risk and high need. DBHS works closely with the Third District Juvenile Court to identify clients that may be eligible for the program. The JDC program uses the Pre-Screen Risk Assessment and Protective and Risk Assessment to identify high risk/high need clients. Additionally, all JDC clients receive an ASAM assessment to determine the appropriate level of care for treatment.

Describe Specialty Court treatment services. Identify the service you will provide directly or through a contracted provider for each type of court (Adult, Family, Juvenile Specialty Courts, etc).

Adult Drug Court (DC): Clients receive SUD treatment through either CJS (ASAM 1.0) or through other SLCo contracted providers (ASAM 1.0, 2.1, 3.1, 3.3 and 3.5). Additionally, clients receive case management services, psycho-educational classes, and peer support while in Drug Court through CJS.

CJS uses a number of evidence-based curriculums with drug court clients including Seeking Safety and Moral Reconation Therapy (MRT), Mapping (from Texas Christian University), and Courage to Change. Staff who provide (MRT) were all trained out of state by certified MRT trainers. Therapists who utilize "Seeking Safety" receive ongoing training from DVD's, role playing, and training in staff meetings. Ongoing training is provided by CJS staff trained by the curriculum authors. County contracted providers serving Drug Court clients at higher levels of care are required by contract to provide evidence-based practices. Many community providers have staff trained in both MRT and CBT.

Family Dependency Drug Court: Clients have access to DBHS' full network of contracted providers for treatment and case management services. Additionally, DBHS employs an assessment worker to conduct initial assessments and serve as a liaison between treatment providers and the court.

Juvenile Drug Court (JDC): Clients have access to DBHS' full network of contracted youth providers for treatment and case management services.

Describe MAT services available to Specialty Court participants. Will services be provided directly or by a contracted provider (list contracted providers).

All adult Drug Court clients are eligible to participate in the County's MAT services. All services are contracted out. These include methadone or suboxone through Project Reality and the Vivitrol Program. The injections for the Vivitrol Program are administered via Odyssey House's Martindale clinic or Midtown Community Health Clinic. SUD treatment is available through First Step House, Odyssey House, Clinical Consultants, Vivitrol services are described under the RSS Section.

Describe drug testing services you propose to undertake. For each service, identify whether you will provide services directly or through a contracted provider. (Adult, Family, Juvenile Specialty Courts, etc).

Adult Drug Court contracts with First Alliance (no longer TASC) for drug testing. First Alliance uses current research, and complies with the national standards for drug testing techniques. First Alliance is able to provide a breadth of drug testing. Every client is given a five or eight panel drug test, and usually given a random specialty test to determine if cross addiction is occurring. First Alliance provides observed sample collection, temperature readings, and checks for creatinine and specific gravity to detect adulterated samples. Clients who are receiving ASAM 3.1 and above are usually drug tested at the facility where treatment is being provided. In some cases if the provider does not have the resources for drug testing, or is not able to provide the frequency of 2-3 times per week, including weekends and holidays, the client will be sent to First Alliance to test.

Family Dependency Drug Court and Juvenile Drug Court clients are tested randomly twice a week by the treatment provider they are being served through.

Outline additional drug court fees assessed to the client in addition to treatment sliding scale fees for each type of court (Adult, Family, Juvenile Specialty Courts, etc).

There are no additional fees for FY19.

Describe any significant programmatic changes from the previous year (Adult, Family, Juvenile Specialty Courts, etc).

CJS began training staff to utilize the LS/CMI 18 months ago, and officially transitioned from the RANT in March 2018. All potential Adult Drug Court clients are now assessed using the Level of Service/Case Management Inventory LS/CMI instead of the RANT. This change is to foster better placement of clients in the most appropriate court (regular Drug Court or the ASAP court). Additionally, all Adult Drug Court staff are trained to use the EPICS II Model of supervision. EPICS II is designed to use a combination of monitoring, referrals, and face-to-face interactions to provide the clients with a sufficient "dosage" interventions, stay focused on criminogenic needs, and make the best possible use of office time to develop a collaborative working relationship. The EPICS model helps translate the risk, needs and responsivity principles into practice and highlights utilization of evidence based practices to effectively manage client behavior change and reduce criminogenic risk.

Family Dependency Drug Court (FDDC) was selected to work with the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention and granted technical assistance through Children and Family Futures to improve outcomes for children and families by implementing best practice strategies.

In addition, Utah Support Advocates for Recovery Awareness (USARA) has partnered with FDDC and implemented a Peer Recovery Coach Program in each courtroom.

Juvenile Drug Court was selected to participate in the Juvenile Drug Treatment Court Guidelines Study Project. This OJJDP issued grant is a 3 year study that will evaluate the effectiveness of Juvenile Drug Courts.

Describe the Recovery Support Services you will provide with Drug Court RSS funding. (These services must be services that are approved on the DC RSS service list)

Clients in Adult Drug Court (ADC) receiving RSS funding are given the opportunity to choose how to spend their money. Funds can be used for more stable housing, to improve physical health, to expand their social relationships, or to improve their sense of self-worth. This money is used as the client feels it best serves them to support a drug-free lifestyle and enhance all areas of their recovery. Additionally, CJS has two Peer Support Specialists who are assigned over the three adult drug courts. Clients are assigned at orientation to their Peer Support Specialist, and they are mentored through the entire program. CJS also provides an Adult Drug Court Alumni group, called "Friends of Drug Court." They sponsor ongoing sober events throughout the year in collaboration with CJS active clients and drug court graduates. CJS offers continuing care and services after graduation when needed. Clients receiving recovery support through DBHS (in both FDDC and ADC), work with a case manager to determine which of the following services would be most beneficial to them in their recovery—drug testing, transportation (bus passes and gas cards), housing (transitional and emergency), dental services, and other special needs such as checks for IDs and birth certificates.

## 17) Justice Reinvestment Initiative

| Form B - FY18 Amount | \$3,305,023 | Form B - FY19 Amount | 5,636,334 |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Budgeted:            |             | Budgeted:            |           |

## **Justice Reinvestment Initiative**

DBHS recognizes Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) Programming as a countywide initiative affecting multiple stakeholders including the county jail, courts, and district attorney's office. As a result when implementing a JRI strategy DBHS was committed to broad support of county stakeholders, including approval from the following Criminal Justice Advisory Council stakeholders prior to implementing programming with JRI community based treatment funding:

Chair, Mayor Ben McAdams

Vice Chair, Hon. Brendan McCullaugh

Salt Lake County

Judge, West Valley City Justice Court

Noella Sudbury **CJAC Coordinator** 

Judge, Salt Lake City Justice Court Honorable John Baxter Paul Boyden Statewide Association of Prosecutors

Jim Bradley Salt Lake County Council

Chief of Police, Salt Lake City Police Department Mike Brown

Max Burdick Salt Lake County Council

Jack Carruth Chief of Police, South Salt Lake City, LEADS Chair Rollin Cook Director, Utah State Department of Corrections Karen Crompton Director, Salt Lake County Human Services

Sim Gill District Attorney, Salt Lake County Kele Griffone **Director. Criminal Justice Services** Representative Eric Hutchings Utah House of Representatives

Matt Dumont Chief, Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office

Rich Mauro Executive Director, Salt Lake Legal Defenders Association

Jim Peters State Justice Court Administrator Pevton Smith Third District Court Administrator Honorable Randall Skanchy Judge, Third District Court

Jeff Silvestrini Mayor, Millcreek City

Tim Whalen Director, Salt Lake County Behavioral Health Services Valerie Wilde Division Administrator, Salt Lake City Prosecutor's Office

Rosie Rivera Sheriff, Salt Lake County

Additional stakeholders that participated in implementing these programs included:

Community Treatment Providers (Assessment and Referral Services, Odyssey House, First Step House, Valley Behavioral Health, Clinical Consultants, Project Reality, Volunteers of America, House of Hope and the University of Utah Neuropsychiatric Institute).

West Valley City Prosecutor's Office Private Defense Bar Community Connections Center (SLC PD Social Work Program) West Valley City Prosecutor's Office Private Defense Bar

Community Connections Center (SLC PD Social Work Program)

Describe the evidence-based substance abuse screening, assessment, prevention, treatment, and recovery support services you intend to implement including addressing criminal risk factors.

## **Justice Reinvestment Initiative**

Salt Lake County receives Justice Reinvestment Initiative (JRI) dollars through two funding streams, the FY16 formula-based dollars and the FY18 competitive application-based dollars.

### Formula-based Funding

In 2016, utilizing the initial formula-based funding as seed dollars, the Intensive Supervision Probation (ISP) program, CORE II and a Prosecutorial Pre-Diversion Program (Operation Diversion) were implemented. Operation Diversion enjoyed high treatment retention rates through the voluntary referral phase, and ended treatment referrals July 1st, 2017 as funding for this program ended. ISP and CORE II remain in operation. Outcomes for all of these programs may be viewed in the JRI section of the area plan attachment. Additional funding sources for these programs include County General Fund, Federal Medicaid dollars, City dollars, and one-time CCJJ grant dollars.

### **Application-based Funding**

Beginning July 1st, 2017, utilizing the application-based dollars, ISP was expanded, additional Drug Court residential capacity was funded, and a new Unified Police Department (UPD)/University of Utah Neuropsychiatric Institute (UNI) Pilot was started, co-locating a licensed mental health professional with a UPD officer to respond to mental health crises in the community.

Please find program descriptions below for FY19, and associated outcome measures attached in the JRI section of the area plan attachments.

## **FY19 Justice Reinvestment Initiative Programs**

## **Intensive Supervision Probation**

DBHS continues to partner with the Sheriff's Office and Criminal Justice Services (CJS) on the ISP program. This program targets high-risk individuals sentenced to county probation at CJS. Clients are evaluated using the LS/CMI risk tool, along with an ASAM assessment to determine appropriate level of supervision and care. They are supervised in the community by deputies from the Sheriff's Office and receive intensive case management services through CJS. With the assistance of JRI funds, DBHS provides three dedicated assessment workers, two of which are seated at CJS with the officers and case managers, as well as prioritized access to treatment services, and five dedicated social detox beds at VOA. Through this model there has been an increase in the number of clients who present for an assessment and treatment. We have also seen drastic reductions in the wait times associated with accessing treatment and lower attrition rates when compared to the overall system. As this program has evolved, ATR services, access to evidence-based MAT, and recovery support services were added in 2016 and 2017 [through a new contract with Utah Support Advocates for Recovery Awareness (USARA)]. Since 2015, over 61% of all clients have been referred due to drug-related offenses; over 99% have struggled from moderate or greater SUD. Additionally, 34.2% of all clients have identified opiates as a primary substance of abuse (30% of all males and 41.9% of all females).

In March 2016 this program was presented to the County Council and received unanimous support for an increase in county funds (\$2.3 million overall, \$790,000 for community treatment) to grow the program. The additional county funds extended are not included in this budget. ISP received the 2016 National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Award and was selected to present at the national Adult Probation and Parole Association Conference in Cleveland, OH in August 2016. In April 2017, ISP received the Sheriff's Office Distinguished Unit award.

In July 2017, ISP was awarded an additional \$1.4M in new JRI funds from the Justice Reinvestment Committee. Leveraging these new state funds, ISP was able to fund the second licensed mental health professional to provide additional clinical assessments. The program also was able to expand treatment capacity, funding an active caseload of 280 clients. By utilizing county funds, ISP was able to expand supervision and case management capacity as well (hiring 2 additional case managers and 3 Sheriff's Office deputies).

In expanding the program to the new capacity, over 150 new clients were enrolled into ISP in a six-month period, up from the original program capacity of 180 clients. Since inception, ISP has now surpassed 600 referrals. The result of this rapid expansion led to a large number of phase one clients needing the highest levels of case management, supervision, and treatment. Through March 2018, there are 295 participants and 100 graduates. 86.7% of all clients referred into ISP have been assessed for treatment, 73.1% have remained actively engaged in treatment, and graduates enjoy a 43.6% reduction in risk scores.

DBHS does not anticipate additional unique clients served on top of the previous fiscal year's estimates because no additional funds were allocated for FY19.

Please refer to the attached JRI slides for outcomes and demographic information.

## **Drug Court Treatment Expansion**

Beginning July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017, an additional \$500,000 was made available to Drug Court utilizing a fund code that accelerates access to treatment slots. Historically, the waiting list for Drug Court participants to admit to a residential program was long, creating frustration with the court and teams, and was the impetus in prioritizing dollars for this population. During this same year, the Utah Department of Health implemented the Targeted Adult Medicaid (TAM) program providing new Medicaid funding for non-parenting Drug Court participants earning less than 5% of the FPL. These new funding streams enabled Salt Lake County providers to grow in a very large way, approximately doubling the residential treatment capacity in the county.

The Division of Behavioral Health Services (DBHS) requires providers to utilize TAM prior to accessing JRI funding. As a result, the Division is experiencing an underutilization of JRI dollars. As an example, the average monthly spend of Drug Court funding went from approximately \$75,000 in October 2017, to approximately \$15,000 in December 2017.

The anticipated demand for JRI Drug Court funding remains an unknown for FY19, as the county aggressively enrolls participants into TAM, and as the system adjusts to this new funding stream. DBHS will be working to determine the average number of eligible participants, gain an understanding of the impacts of a limited one-year benefit (ending eligibility for those who gain employment after that one year period), the availability of workforce within our provider system, and other factors involved.

For those reasons and based on the commitment made to the court, DBHS will continue with the \$500,000 budgeted for FY19, monitor utilization and work closely with state leadership to adjust as needed going forward.

Should the full \$500,000 be utilized, it is anticipated this would fund treatment for up to 75 clients. The majority of clients will be receiving residential treatment, however Criminal Justice Services requested allowing the funds to be utilized for outpatient treatment as well. On a conservative estimate for residential care only, these funds would cover eleven beds and approximately 33 clients, assuming a four month average residential length of stay.

Please refer to the attached JRI slides for additional demographics on this population.

DBHS does not anticipate additional unique clients served on top of the previous fiscal year's estimates because no additional funds were allocated for this Drug Court treatment expansion for FY19.

## CORE 2

DBHS continues to utilize FY16 formula-based JRI funding as seed dollars for CORE 2. This is a Valley Behavioral Health 16-bed dual-diagnosis residential facility for women, focusing on medium/high risk and medium/high need participants with supportive housing attached upon discharge. This program was implemented due to community requests and impressive outcomes seen previously with the CORE program for men. This program coordinates closely with multiple criminal justice stakeholders and quickly developed a substantial wait list. Based on data collected from the partial implementation year in FY16, the complete program year in FY17, and the partial year in FY18, we anticipate serving 65 females in CORE 2 in FY19. Approximately 75% of the participants in the program each fiscal year have been new clients, with about 25% remaining in services from the previous fiscal year.

DBHS does not anticipate additional unique clients served on top of the previous fiscal year's estimates because no additional funds were allocated for FY19.

Please refer to the attached JRI slides for information on outcomes.

### **UPD/UNI Mental Health Unit Pilot**

After receiving the JRI application-based dollars in July 2017, pilot stakeholders began the process of writing and finalizing contracts and MOU's, and assigning a licensed clinician employed by UNI. The program became operational in November of that year (with JRI dollars supporting the cost of one clinician).

Through this pilot, a licensed mental health therapist is housed within the UPD offices, co-responds with law enforcement to mental health crises within the community, and provides individualized follow-up. This program serves the cities of Taylorsville, Kearns, Magna, Riverton, Holladay, Millcreek, Midvale, Canyons, Herriman, and White City.

The objectives of this pilot are to:

- Assist with the de-escalation of volatile situations, reducing the potential for violence during police contacts
- Provide mental health consumers and their families with linkages to services and supports
- Serve consumers in the least restrictive setting, diverting from jail and hospitalization as appropriate
- Reduce repeated law enforcement responses to the same location, and
- Free up patrol officers to respond to other calls.

Through additional county dollars, the Mental Health Unit is made up of one sergeant, one detective, and nine secondary officers in each precinct that work with the unit one to two days a month. The unit also utilizes interns from the University of Utah in assembling the program database.

This effort enjoys a commitment to problem solving and a fruitful collaboration between law enforcement, DBHS, the University of Utah Neuropsychiatric Institute and the greater community of Salt Lake County.

Given the delay in implementation, DBHS has only four months of data to estimate FY19 client counts. Based on recent trends, DBHS would anticipate serving approximately 430 unique clients in FY19. Without any additional funds in FY19 this would not represent a change in estimates of unique clients served from the prior year.

## **Additional Programming**

To support Operation Rio Grande (ORG), DBHS expanded its contract with the University of Utah Assessment and Referral Services to provide for the hiring of one assessment worker. This licensed clinician will go into the jail to perform assessments for ORG Drug Court candidates on an ongoing basis as they become identified. It is estimated that 9 assessments will occur a week. This would equate to approximately 450 assessments a year. Please bear in mind there may be substantial duplication in these numbers as clients assessed may also receive treatment with JRI Drug Court funding. This estimate may also vary depending on ebbs and flows of Drug Court rosters.

### **Outcome Measures**

DBHS has developed multiple outcome measures for the programs listed above. Please reference the JRI section in the area plan attachments for detailed information on these measures.

Additionally, DBHS enjoys a data sharing agreement with the Salt Lake County Jail, and receives support from Salt Lake County Information Services in accessing this data. A data analyst was hired in December of 2017, and is actively working to match program cohorts with jail data to analyze reductions in new-charge bookings, and length of stay in the Salt Lake County jail due to these bookings. Preliminary results have been compiled and DBHS works now towards validation efforts with jail staff, Information Services staff, and the Criminal Justice Advisory Council staff prior to releasing this data. Initial data gathering has revealed additional data tables that would assist in this process, so DBHS will be working towards expanding the data sharing agreement to incorporate these tables. DBHS would like to recognize the efforts of these stakeholders, without whom these efforts would not have been possible. Lastly, DBHS recently gained access to court data, which will allow analysis in the future regarding convictions as well.

#### **Budget:**

JRI programs serve individuals with both mental health and substance use disorders. Budgets for these programs are separated appropriately between the MH and SUD Area Plans.

## Identify training and/or technical assistance needs.

Desired trainings include EPICS (Effective Practices in Community Supervision), trauma informed training & effective practices in working with the seriously and persistently mentally ill population.

## 18) Drug Offender Reform Act

| Form B - FY19 Amount<br>Budgeted:                    | \$1,293,189 |  |
|--|-------------|--|
| Form B - Amount<br>Budgeted in FY18 Area<br>Plan     | \$1,213,564 |  |
| Form B - Actual FY17 Expenditures Reported by Locals | \$1,344,182 |  |

**Local DORA Planning and Implementation Team:** List the names and affiliations of the members of your Local DORA Planning and Implementation Team. Required team members include: Presiding Judge/Trial Court Executive (or designee), Regional AP&P Director (or designee), District/County

Attorney (or designee), and Local Substance Abuse Authority Agency Director (or designee). Other members may be added to the team at the local area's discretion and may include: Sheriff/Jail, Defense Attorney, and others as needed.

Peyton Smith, Third District Court Administrator

Desmond Lomax, AP&P Director, Community Programming Unit

Mitch Park/Blake Nakamura, SLCo District Attorney

Tim Whalen, SLCo Division of Behavioral Health Services

Mark Augustine, Salt Lake Legal Defender's Association

Matt Dumont, Chief Deputy, SLCo Sheriff's Department

Kelly Lundberg, PhD, Director University of Utah/Assessment and Referral Services

Others as necessary depending on issues.

Individuals Served in DORA-Funded Treatment: How many individuals will you serve in DORA funded treatment in SFY 2019? How many individuals currently in DORA-funded treatment services do you anticipate will be carried over into SFY 2019 from SFY 2018 (e.g., will still be in DORA-funded treatment on July 1, 2018)?

We estimate that we will serve 190 DORA clients in FY19. We believe that in excess of 50% of those currently in DORA treatment will still be in treatment on July 1, 2018.

**Continuum of Treatment Services:** Describe the continuum of substance use disorder treatment and recovery services that will be made available to DORA participants in SFY 2019, including locally provided services and those you may contract for in other areas of the state (Should include assessment and drug testing, if applicable to your plan).

The full continuum of SUD treatment and recovery services are available to DORA clients as described within the area plan.

**Evidence Based Treatment:** Please describe the evidence-based treatment services you will provide, including how you will incorporate these principles into your DORA-funded treatment services.

All DBHS DORA treatment providers must go through a rigorous selection process to ensure they have the capacity and experience to work with offenders in the DORA program. In the selection process for contracted providers, all agencies must demonstrate that they adhere to evidence-based practices that are appropriate for a forensics population. All of the DORA treatment agencies have great collegial relationships with Region III AP&P, and use appropriate treatment intervention suited to the needs of the offenders (MI, CBT, MAT, etc.).

ARS has been contracted to perform the assessment services and case coordination with AP&P. ARS has a 10 year history of working with court/criminal justice involved individuals, has a great working relationship with the courts and AP&P, and has access to both the PSI and BCI information in order to assess the criminogenic needs of each DORA client. Additionally, ARS is very familiar with the DBHS DORA treatment system and knows all the agencies very well and can make a decision of the "right fit" for each offender.

# FORM C - SUBSTANCE USE PREVENTION NARRATIVE (te

Local Authority: Salt Lake County

## Instructions:

The next sections help you create an overview of the *entire* plan. Please remember that the audience for this plan is your community: Your county commissioners, coalitions, cities. Write this to explain what the LSAA will be doing. Answer the questions for each step - Assessment, Capacity building, Planning, Implementation and Evaluation.

## **Executive Summary**

In this section, please write an overview or executive summary of the entire plan. Spend one paragraph on each step – Assessment, Capacity building, Planning, Implementation, and Evaluation. Explain how you prioritized – what data, WHO LOOKED AT THE DATA. Then what needed to be enhanced, built or trained. How did you write the plan? Who was involved? What will be and who will implement strategies? Who will assist with evaluation? This section is meant to be a brief but informative overview that you could share with key stakeholders.

This report is a summary of the Salt Lake County SUD (Substance Use Disorder) Prevention program planning process. The SUD Prevention program is housed within Community Health in the Salt Lake County Health Department. This document will provide a description of the SUD Prevention program.

The State of Utah, as required by state law (Title 17-43-201 et. Seq. of the Utah Code), is one of 23 states within the U.S. to deliver its public substance abuse services through county government. Salt Lake County has been providing substance abuse treatment and prevention services on behalf of the citizens of Salt Lake County for more than 30 years. Salt Lake County, like many large urban county and governmental agencies across the U.S., delivers services in the private sector through a system of providers contracted based on a public-private partnership model.

Assessing the SUD Prevention needs within Salt Lake County includes the review of several factors. We review available data, the population of the county, the diversity of the many communities within Salt Lake County and the cycle of purchasing prevention programing through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process every three years. The RFP process allows Salt Lake County to use the expertise of a pool of qualified providers and drive costs down through the competitive bid process. We are currently in the third year of this three-year cycle.

After reviewing the data and receiving input from our community partners, several issues of concern were identified by the community partners which are detailed in this report. Although we pay close attention to specific drugs being abused within the community such as alcohol, tobacco, E-cigarettes, marijuana and prescription drugs, research is clear that attitudes and behaviors are changed by influencing risk and protective factors. Responsible prevention is to use Best Practice Substance Use Disorder Prevention to lower risk factors and increase protective factors. The Best Practice Research Based Prevention programs we fund are proven by research to decrease risk factors and increase protective factors. In addition, when we implement best practice substance abuse prevention programs, the research states we are also reducing risk factors and increasing protective factors connected with delinquency, violence, teen pregnancy, depression and anxiety.

Salt Lake County currently provides a comprehensive array of prevention services ranging from early pregnancy programs to increased education for the elderly. We use tested, effective, best practice evidence based programs and we encourage other community-based organizations in our county to align with evidence-based community programs and processes such as Communities that Care (CTC) and Community Anti-Drug Coalitions of America

(CADCA) models. Salt Lake County believes these proven community centered prevention models are critical elements of reaching the goal of reducing substance use and improving the quality of life for the citizens of Salt Lake County.

Salt Lake County builds community readiness and community capacity by educating and encouraging our communities to learn about and support Science Base Prevention. We do this by training coalitions, the community, and individuals in prevention science. We also look for opportunities to educate and network with the community. We actively seek out communities, entities, and individuals that are prevention ready and facilitate movement towards community-centered evidence-based prevention practices. Each year our prevention staff gives several prevention presentations as a way of raising readiness by discussing prevention. The ultimate aim of Capacity Building is to prevent the misuse of drugs by educating all members of the community in the science of prevention. When individuals and communities understand the science of prevention, they influence the process within their communities as it relates to funding and providing science based prevention.

Before a program is implemented, extensive planning is involved so that we know the strategies that are already being provided in the community. The planning details include program goals, objectives, tracking of outcomes, strategies to reach goals, and timelines for outcomes. All our programs are implemented with logic models that detail who will receive services, when, how, dosage and what the expected results will be. Program evaluation occurs on two levels. First, the Salt Lake County SUD Prevention staff audit each provider yearly to ensure contracted programs are being provided according to the contract and with fidelity. We also track available community surveys that document community behavior.

Although it can be difficult to ascertain if our programs are effective community wide, our review of the pre and post tests, community surveys, and other data document our success. Best Practice Programs that are administered to fidelity are proven to change attitudes and behaviors. The Student Health and Risk Prevention (SHARP) Survey is our primary tool for knowing youth usage rates within the County and the State. This tool shows our strategies are effective in not only having the lowest usage rates in the nation, but in our efforts to continue driving down the use of drugs.

We recognize there are many factors that contribute to usage rates. When comparing data from Salt Lake County and the U.S., we can see our prevention efforts are successful. Research documents when prevention is administered according to Best Practice Standards, many substance use problems can be prevented.

## 1) Assessment

The assessment was completed using the Student Health and Risk Prevention survey and publicly available data such as hospital stays, death and injury data for our communities. With the support of XFACTOR coalition, the following risk and protective factors were prioritized: X in Community A, Y in Community A and B, Z in Community C. The problem behaviors prioritized are Underage Drinking, Marijuana use and E-Cigs.

## Things to Consider/Include:

Methodology/what resources did you look at? What did it tell you?

Who was involved in looking at data?

How did you come up with the prioritization?

Resource Assessment? What is already going on in your community? What are gaps in services? A full assessment needs to be completed every 3 years with updates annually. Please identify what the coalitions and LSAAs did for this fiscal year.

Assessing the Substance Use Disorder Prevention need within Salt Lake County is driven by several factors which include available data, the population of the county, the diversity of the many communities within Salt Lake County and the cycle of purchasing prevention programing through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process every three years. The main data sources reviewed are the Student Health and Risk Prevention (SHARP) Survey, the Utah Indicator Based Information System for Public Health (IBIS), and the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). Utah Statewide Substance Abuse Epidemiology Profile Report. The United States Census Bureau projects the population of Salt Lake County to be approximately 1.2 million people. The population is housed within about 20 different communities. Five of the communities have coalitions that are specific to Substance Use

Disorder Prevention. While it is possible to find needs that are shared by each community, differences also exist due to the size of the county. As a result, conclusions are made for the county but individual communities also conduct assessments with our support and technical assistance. Salt Lake County purchases prevention services through a RFP process. This allows the County to use the expertise of a pool of qualified providers and drive costs down through the competitive bid process. The providers, as a part of their proposal, also assess the needs within the community and propose ways of filling the needs.

The data for the assessment was reviewed by Salt Lake County SUD Prevention staff, community partners, coalitions, and our network of providers. The SHARP's data analysis was completed by Bach Harrison.

After reviewing the data and receiving input from our community partners, several issues of concern were identified by all the community partners. Alcohol use among sixth, eighth, tenth, and twelfth graders in Salt Lake County has seen consistent decreases in the trend data over the past ten years, but the past report shows the trend down has leveled off. It is significant to note the alcohol use decrease is being seen while total alcohol sales and consumption in the State of Utah is increasing significantly. Salt Lake County's 30-day alcohol consumption among youth is consistently higher than the State of Utah numbers, though the gap between the County and the State has been narrowing over the past several years.

Overall, 30-day substance use in Salt Lake County remains well below the national numbers and slightly higher than State of Utah averages. Over the past decade, many substances have seen consistently low usage and some have seen consistent decreases. A select few areas have seen some modest spikes with the most alarming being the increase in E-cigarette use.

Marijuana use among sixth, eighth, tenth, and twelfth graders in Salt Lake County has seen consistent minor increases in recent history. However, marijuana use was slightly down in 2015 and in 2017 it went slightly up.

Prescription drug use among sixth, eighth, tenth, and twelfth graders has remains relatively stagnant. Current 30-day usage among the above listed grades in Salt Lake County is 2.6% which is comparable to usage for the same grades statewide.

We have seen a dramatic rise in nicotine use by adolescents associated with the introduction of E-cigarettes. Data on youth use of E-cigarettes first became available in 2011 and since that time all grade use has skyrocketed more than 360%. Usage rates of E-cigarettes are much higher than that of conventional cigarettes.

Although we pay close attention to the specific drugs being abused within the community, research is clear that attitudes toward drug misuse and behaviors are changed by influencing risk and protective factors. Best practice Substance Use Disorder Prevention is to lower risk factors and increase protective factors. It is clear four risk factors are concerningly high and four protective factor are weak.

Risk Factors identified as being high and needing to be reduced include:

- Parental Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior (Family Domain)
- Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior (Peer Individual Domain)
- Early Initiation of Drug Use (Peer Individual Domain)
- Perceived Risk of Drug Use (Peer Individual Domain).

Protective Factors identified as low and needing to be strengthened include:

- Rewards for Prosocial Involvement (Community Domain)
- Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement (Family Domain)
- Family Attachment (Family Domain) and
- Rewards for Prosocial Involvement (Family Domain).

Using the Salt Lake County Prevention Services Plan and updating priorities with data such as the SHARP survey, Salt Lake County will match our funding and service delivery to those local priorities. With increased data from the Health Department (HD) including "community health indicators", Salt Lake County may re-prioritize or add additional priorities to our plan such as prescription drug overdose prevention. Salt Lake County will continue to prioritize evidence-based services being provided with fidelity. Salt Lake County supports the State's directive in having 90% of all services tested as evidence-based.

Salt Lake County currently provides a comprehensive array of prevention services ranging from early pregnancy programs to increased education for the elderly. We use tested, effective, best practice evidence based programs

as we encourage other community-based organizations in our county to align with evidence-based community programs and processes such as Communities that Care (CTC) and Community Anti-Drug Coalitions of America (CADCA) models. Salt Lake County believes these proven community centered prevention models are critical pieces toward the goal of reducing substance use and improving the quality of life for the citizens of Salt Lake County. We build community readiness and community capacity by encouraging our communities to learn about and support science based prevention. Salt Lake County will continue to actively seek out communities, entities, and individuals that are prevention ready and will facilitate movement towards community-centered evidence-based prevention practices. Salt Lake County supports current prevention coalitions and all the current healthy city coalitions. Salt Lake County will participate in establishing at least one new Communities That Care coalition coalition in FY2019.

## 2) Capacity Building

In order to address the risk and protective factors and the overall problem behaviors, XFACTOR highlighted some training needs and program gaps. The plan will detail how LSAA will support the capacity building during FY2018-2020.

## Things to Consider/Include:

Did you need to do any training to prepare you/coalition(s) for assessment? After assessment, did the group feel that additional training was necessary? What about increasing awareness of issue?

What capacity building activities do you anticipate for the duration of the plan (conferences, trainings, webinars)

Training is an ongoing part of Capacity Building. When individuals and communities understand the science of prevention they influence the process within their communities as it relates to funding and how prevention is being conducted. Salt Lake County continually looks for ways to involve our communities and stakeholders in as many trainings as possible. Trainings that are planned for FY2019 include SAPST (Substance Abuse Prevention Skills Training), CADCA (Community Anti-Drug Coalitions of America) Academy, Bryce Summit, NPN (National Prevention Network), CADCA Leadership Form, webinars, coalition meetings, and monthly PSN meetings. Contracted providers are required to attend the monthly PSN (Prevention Services Network) meetings which include discussions of pertinent issues related to prevention contracts as well as ongoing trainings.

Coalitions are continually training individuals in prevention science, increasing stakeholder awareness, strengthening collaborations efforts, and to prepared a prevention workforce. We also look for opportunities to educate and network with the community. Each year our prevention staff gives several prevention presentations as a way of raising readiness by discussing prevention. The ultimate aim of Capacity Building is to prevent the misuse of drugs by educating all members of the community to the science of prevention.

One addition major changes to our prevention services for FY 2019 is a Drug Free Communities grant awarded to Kearns Evidence2Success Community Coalition. This funding requires a full-time coalition coordinator and provides four years of funding for that position. This coordinator has been hired and is working in the community.

## 3) Planning

The plan was written by Mary, a member of the XFACTOR Coalition. The contributors included School District, Law Enforcement, Mental health Agency, Hospital, Private Business, Parent, etc. It was developed after a needs assessment, resource assessment and gaps assessment was completed.

## Things to Consider/Include:

Write in a logical format or In a narrative. Logical Format is:

Goal: 1

Objective: 1.1 Measures/outcomes

Strategies:

## Timeline:

Responsible/Collaboration:

What strategies were selected or identified? Are these already being implemented by other agencies? Or will they be implemented using Block grant funding? Are there other funding available to provide activities/programs, such as NAMI, PFS, DFC? Are there programs that communities want to implement but do not have resources (funding, human, political) to do so? What agencies and/or people assisted with this plan?

Several strategies or programs were presented to Salt Lake County by agencies within the county through our RFP process. Salt Lake County and a committee of our community partners reviewed several proposals. Contracts were awarded to 18 agencies to provide several programs. A list of the agencies and programs is documented below.

The programs are being funded by our Federal Block Grant and State General Fund. We are also working with several coalitions within the county. Each of the coalitions are unique and are in various stages of formation. We prioritize providing them with support which includes training to increase their knowledge of prevention principles and training to teach them how to build effective coalitions. We are very careful to not take ownership of the coalitions. If local communities do not have ownership of their coalition, they are at high risk to lose community buy in, support, and sustainability.

Prevention Funded Agencies and Short Program Descriptions:

#### SALT LAKE COUNTY AGING SERVICES

## LIVING WELL WITH A CHRONIC CONDITION (CDSMP)

Focusing on skill development and skill enhancement in the areas of coping with stress and grief, dealing with multiple medications, and other problems which might impact a senior's ability to maintain a lifestyle free of substance use, abuse, and misuse. Aging Services also holds community awareness activities and chronic disease self-management classes.

#### **Enhance Wellness**

Personal health coaching for adults 60 years of age or older

#### ASIAN ASSOCIATION OF UTAH

## PARENTING WISELY

This program is designed to increase parents' skills in working with children's problem behaviors, negotiate with children on conflict situations to achieve satisfactory results for both parties, mediate sibling rivalry, learn constructive skills that would reduce children's involvement with drugs, and increase parental confidence. LIFE SKILLS

The LST program addresses many risk and protective factors one of the most important being the skills to resist pro drug influences which can help perceived risk of drug use while curbing early initiation of drug use. YELL

The YELL program has lessons on teamwork, decision making, and what makes a good leader. DARE TO BE YOU

The DTBY program consists of separate curriculums for parents and the 2-5 year old age groups. The concepts learned by the parents include the developmental stages of children, problem-solving, communicative alternative to punishment, role modeling, decision-making, empathy, and esteem for self and others. Parents are taught the drawbacks of "laissez-faire" and "authoritarian" parenting models, which many have used in their own countries; they are taught how to parent intelligently and warmly while complying with US laws, and playful and positive interaction is superior to being a harsh rule enforcer.

SPRING PROGRAM AT ROSE PARK, The Asian Association also provides a spring program for minority youth at Rose Park Jr. High, 5 days per week for 2 weeks, to enhance study skills, provide tutoring, stress and anger management information, conflict resolution, problem solving, etc.

## **BIG BROTHERS BIG SISTERS**

Mentoring At-Risk Youth: The purpose of the Big Brothers Big Sisters program is to provide positive mentor relationships for children. Once a match is agreed upon, weekly activities occur between the volunteer and the youth. The mentor relationship is monitored and supported by a professional caseworker staff member for the duration of the relationship lasting up to 12 years through our agency.

### MENTORING AT-RISK YOUTH REFUGEE POPULATIONS

Same as above but focused on Refugee populations

## BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB GREATER SALT LAKE

## PROTECTING YOU, PROTECTING, ME:

An evidence-based alcohol use prevention curriculum that provides a series of science and health-based lessons that teach children how to protect themselves and make informed decisions. PY/PM helps reach children before they have fully shaped their attitudes and opinions about alcohol use by youth, and focuses on the effects of alcohol on the developing brain during the first 21 years of life.

### KEEPIN' IT REAL:

An evidence-based, multicultural substance use prevention program designed to help students assess the risks associated with substance abuse, enhanced decision making and resistance strategies, improve antidrug normative beliefs and attitudes, and reduce substance use.

### CENTRO DE LA FAMILIA

Nuevo Dia (New Day) is a 12-month program conceptualized into three major components: life skills, education, and advocacy. Mothers and Daughter- based services. The program is Strengthening Latino Families.

## CORNERSTONE COUNSELING CENTER

(VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA)

#### **ALL STARS**

Provides social skills training and drug prevention education for high risk classrooms in grades six, seven, and eight.

LIVING SKILLS involves group social skills training for students, grades two through five, primarily in high-risk schools. Students showing at-risk behaviors are identified by teachers for program participation. Students meet weekly for 10-12 one-hour sessions in groups of six to eight. Lessons are designed to reduce identified risk VOICES The VOICES curriculum is for at risk junior high school boys and girls who participate in 10 sessions focusing on gender specific skill building to deal with the unique risk factors and concerns youth face at this time in their lives.

FAMILIES PLUS provides services to at-risk youth participating in school-based extended day care programs (Latchkey), as well as selected families of these youth, with the intent of intervening early in both the family and social domains to prevent substance abuse.

LIFE SKILLS is a classroom based prevention program which teaches students personal and social skills

#### GRANDFAMILIES

For CARE GIVERS and RELATIVES: Through the Children's Service Society of Utah Grand families helps relatives who have custodial care of children because their biological parents are unable or unwilling to parent due to factors related to substance abuse. Services include support groups and "Parenting the Challenging Child" classes.

### GRANITE SCHOOL DISTRICT

DRUG OFFENDER'S CLASSROOM is provided to students who have violated the Safe and Drug Free Schools policy on 2nd, 3rd or severe offenses. Students are taught to develop personal choices that enhance future success and given training involving skill building, self-efficacy, peer resistance, and conflict resolution.

#### HOUSING AUTHORITY

TOO GOOD FOR DRUGS AND VIOLENCE teaches kids social skills & problem solving while building resiliency PARENTS AS TEACHERS (PAT) is a model program for teens and parents designed to delay onset of drug use and preventing high risk behaviors. This program includes in-home visits and follow-ups.

LEADERSHIP AND RESILIANCY (LAR) a "Proven" mentoring program for kids in public housing with a goal to improve social skills performance, to increase interpersonal competence, problem-solving skills and resiliency.

## URBAN INDIAN CENTER

#### STRENGTHENING FAMILIES

For parents and youth from American Indian descent.

## NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES

MIDVALE UNITED- coalition in Midvale that implements an active youth program called SPORT and Botvin Life Skills.

### NEIGHBORHOOD ACTION COALITION

YOUTHWORKS Kids build affordable housing for their local communities through a paid employment experience. Youth are employed 20 hours per week and are required to maintain active school attendance. The youth also receive ATOD education, work and life skills training, social skill building, job preparation (interviewing & job application skills), etc.

#### PROJECT REALITY

COMMUNITIES EMPOWERING PARENTS mobilizes local neighborhoods and/or schools to empower parents by providing parenting skills training in a group setting. School based programs are provided at elementary school sites identified in collaboration with each district's prevention specialist. Community based programs target various ethnic groups with specialized services. Parents are trained in communication skills, behavior modification techniques, problem solving, and negotiation skills. Children are taught living skills such as goal setting, building positive relationships, and emotional management strategies.

## SALT LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT

### PRIME FOR LIFE:

Focuses on teaching children the power of choice and how they can prevent problems by making low-risk choices. The program also focuses on education around the physical and psychological risks of substance use.

## SPY HOP PRODUCTIONS

LIFE SKILLS & VOCATIONAL MENTORING / TRAINING is offered in an after school program in the multimedia arts providing hands on experience in video production, digital photography, and web based mediums. In addition, student interns receive ATOD information and life skill training.

## SOUTH SALT LAKE DRUG FREE YOUTH

STRENGTHENING FAMILIES for high risk South Salt Lake families and communities.

### TOO GOOD FOR DRUGS & VIOLENCE

A school-based prevention program for kindergarten through 12th grade that builds on students' resiliency by teaching them how to be socially competent and autonomous problem solvers.

## POSITIVE ACTION PROGRAM

A comprehensive coherent program that has components for all parts of the school, the family, and the community. It works on many levels of the school—from the individual to the classroom to the entire school system. It addresses all areas of the self: the physical, intellectual, and social/emotional. It is both a content area and a teaching method. Within its curriculum, it teaches standards of achievement in every content subject area directly and applied

## VALLEY MENTAL HEALTH

## TOO GOOD FOR DRUGS AND VIOLENCE

Builds skills with the intention of prevention ATOD use and promoting healthy decision-making and positive, healthy youth development.

### 123 MAGIC

Prevention practice that combines elements of family systems theory, cognitive therapy, behavior modification, and some elements that are unique to the program.

#### PARTNERS FOR A HEALTHY BABY

Comprehensively addresses issues of child development within the context of the multifaceted needs of expecting and parenting families.

## YOUTH SERVICES

## TOO SMART TO START

Teach refusal skills and techniques, with attention to social incentives, attitudes, and underlying perceptions, and positive decision making skills, as well as other life skills to youth.

### STRENGTHENING FAMILIES

Parenting and family skills training program to parents and their youth that will consist of weekly skill-building sessions.

#### TOO GOOD FOR DRUGS AND VIOLENCE

Teach refusal skills and techniques, with attention to social incentives, attitudes, and underlying perceptions, and positive decision making skills, as well as other life skills to youth.

## DISCOVERING POSSIBILITIES (GIRLS CIRCLE)

Stimulates critical thinking and moral reasoning through experiential activities and guided discussions. Based in the principals of motivational interviewing and strengths-based approaches that target resiliency and protective

factors.

Logic Models are attached as a file.

## 4) Implementation

Through the process, the following strategies were selected to impact the factors and negative outcomes related to substance use: Guiding Good choices, Strengthening Families, Mindful Schools, Personal Empowerment Program, Policy, Parents Empowered.

LSAA will provide direct service for PEP and SFP. XFACTOR will contract to provide GGC, Mindful Schools and Parents Empowered.

## Things to Consider/Include:

Please outline who or which agency will implement activities/programming identified in the plan.

Provide details on target population, where programming will be implemented (communities, schools). How many sessions?

\*\*Unlike in the Planning section (above), it is only required to share what activities/programming will be implemented with Block grant dollars. It is recommended that you add other funding streams as well (such as PFS, SPF Rx, but these do not count toward the 30% of the Block grant).

Implementation of programs will be done according to the action plans and fidelity. Information on the target population of services, the location of the services and the number of individuals being serviced is in the attached Logic Models. Salt Lake County is currently working with three CTC or similar coalitions that include Draper, Kearns, and South Salt Lake. There are four coalitions in the beginning stages that include Midvale, Murray, Salt Lake City, and Americans Indians. We hope during the next year these four coalitions will become CTC or CTC like coalitions. We attend several other nonspecific substance abuse coalitions meetings. We are providing them with training and support.

## 5) Evaluation

Evaluation is key to knowing if programs and strategies are successful. The LSAA and XFACTOR Coalition will work together to ensure that each strategy is evaluated and demonstrates the results needed to make COMMUNITY healthier.

## Things to Consider/Include:

What do you do to ensure that the programming offered is

- 1) implemented with fidelity
- 2) appropriate and effective for the community
- 3) seeing changes in factors and outcomes

Program evaluation occurs on two levels. First, evaluation is written into the contract between Salt Lake County and its providers. The providers are contracted to administer Best Practice programs to fidelity and according to program design. The contract also requires the providers to include program evaluations. This is often done with pre and post testing. Program evaluations are often subcontracted out by our providers. Second, our office conducts an annual audit of each program to ensure programs are being administered to fidelity. We check to see if staff are trained and the programs are implemented as designed. We attend a session of the program to view it as it is being taught. We monitor to make sure the dosage in each training is correct. We monitor the number of sessions, the length of sessions, the frequency of delivery, the quality of delivery, the presenter's expertise and enthusiasm in conveying the material, the participant involvement, the attendance, and the active engagement of participants. Best Practice Programs that are administered to fidelity are proven to change attitudes and behaviors.

| 6) | Create a Logic Model for each program or strategy. |
|----|--|
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

## 1. Logic Model

| Program Name          | 9    |         |                | Cost of Program | m          | Evidence Base | ed: Yes or No |  |  |
|-----------------------|------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
|                       |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |
| Agency                |      |         |                | Tier Level:     |            |               |               |  |  |
|                       |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |
|                       | Goal | Factors | Focus Popu     | lation: U/S/I   | Strategies | Outco         | omes          |  |  |
|                       |      |         | Universal/Sele | ctive/Indicated |            | Short         | Long          |  |  |
| Logic                 |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |
| Measures &<br>Sources |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |

## 2. Logic Model

| Program Name          | •    |         |                | Cost of Progra  | m          | Evidence Based: Yes or No |      |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------------|------|--|--|--|
|                       |      |         |                |                 |            |                           |      |  |  |  |
| Agency                |      |         |                | Tier Level:     |            |                           |      |  |  |  |
|                       |      |         |                |                 |            |                           |      |  |  |  |
|                       | Goal | Factors | Focus Popu     | lation: U/S/I   | Strategies | Outco                     | omes |  |  |  |
|                       |      |         | Universal/Sele | ctive/Indicated |            | Short                     | Long |  |  |  |
| Logic                 |      |         |                |                 |            |                           |      |  |  |  |
| Measures &<br>Sources |      |         |                |                 |            |                           |      |  |  |  |

## 3. Logic Model

| Program Name       | 9    |         |                | Cost of Program | m          | Evidence Base | d: Yes or No |  |  |
|--------------------|------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
|                    |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |
| Agency             |      |         |                | Tier Level:     |            |               |              |  |  |
|                    |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |
|                    | Goal | Factors | Focus Popu     | lation: U/S/I   | Strategies | Outco         | omes         |  |  |
|                    |      |         | Universal/Sele | ctive/Indicated |            | Short         | Long         |  |  |
| Logic              |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |
| Measures & Sources |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |

## 4. Logic Model

| Program Name       | )    |         |                | Cost of Progra  | m          | Evidence Base | ed: Yes or No |  |  |  |
|--------------------|------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|                    |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |  |
| Agency             |      |         |                | Tier Level:     |            |               |               |  |  |  |
|                    |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |  |
|                    | Goal | Factors | Focus Popu     | lation: U/S/I   | Strategies | Outco         | omes          |  |  |  |
|                    |      |         | Universal/Sele | ctive/Indicated |            | Short         | Long          |  |  |  |
| Logic              |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |  |
| Measures & Sources |      |         |                |                 |            |               |               |  |  |  |

## 5. Logic Model

| Program Name Cost of Program Evidence Based: | Yes or No |  |
|--|-----------|--|
|--|-----------|--|

| Agency                |      |         |                | Tier Level:     |            |       |      |
|-----------------------|------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------|------|
|                       |      |         |                |                 |            |       |      |
|                       | Goal | Factors | Focus Popu     | lation: U/S/I   | Strategies | Outco | omes |
|                       |      |         | Universal/Sele | ctive/Indicated |            | Short | Long |
| Logic                 |      |         |                |                 |            |       |      |
| Measures &<br>Sources |      |         |                |                 |            |       |      |

## 6. Logic Model

| Program Name       | •    |         |                | Cost of Progra  | m          | Evidence Base | d: Yes or No |  |  |  |
|--------------------|------|---------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|
|                    |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |  |
| Agency             |      |         |                | Tier Level:     |            |               |              |  |  |  |
|                    |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |  |
|                    | Goal | Factors | Focus Popu     | lation: U/S/I   | Strategies | Outco         | omes         |  |  |  |
|                    |      |         | Universal/Sele | ctive/Indicated |            | Short         | Long         |  |  |  |
| Logic              |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |  |
| Measures & Sources |      |         |                |                 |            |               |              |  |  |  |

| FY19 Mental Health Area Plan & Budget   |                       |  |                           | Local Authority:              | Salt Lake County           | Behavioral Heal | th  |  |                        | Form A                     |  |                       |   |                                |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---|--|------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| <b>3</b>  |                       |  |                           |                               |                            |                 |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       |   |                                |  |
|   |                       | State General Fund                               |                           | County                        | Funds                      |                 |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       |   |                                |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Revenue  | State General<br>Fund | State General<br>Fund used for<br>Medicaid Match | \$2.7 million<br>Unfunded | NOTused for<br>Medicaid Match | Used for<br>Medicaid Match | Net<br>Medicaid | Mental Health<br>Block Grant<br>(Formula) | 10% Set Aside<br>Federal - Early<br>Intervention | Other<br>State/Federal | Third Party Collections    | Client<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay, fees) | Other Revenue         | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Revenue                |                                |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Revenue by Source  | \$1,576,743           |  | \$547.146                 | \$3.784.837                   | \$4.000.241                | \$44.178.302    | ()  |  | \$120,000              | \$760,000                  |  | \$85,000              | \$68.145.283                              |                                |  |
|   | 01,010,110            | 0.0,000,000                                      | 40.11,1.10                |                               | 2.,000,200                 | Q.1,1.0,000     | 0000,027                                  |  | 7.23,555               |                            |  | 000,000               | 700,10,200                                |                                |  |
|   |                       | State General Fund                               |                           | County                        | Funds                      |                 |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       |   |                                |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Expenditures Budget  | State General<br>Fund | State General<br>Fund used for<br>Medicaid Match | \$2.7 million<br>Unfunded | NOTused for<br>Medicaid Match | Used for<br>Medicaid Match | Net<br>Medicaid | Mental Health<br>Block Grant<br>(Formula) | 10% Set Aside<br>Federal - Early<br>Intervention | Other<br>State/Federal | Third Party<br>Collections | Client<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay, fees) | Other                 | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Expenditures<br>Budget | Total<br>Clients Served        | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Cost/Client<br>Served |
| Inpatient Care (170)  | 1 dild                | \$1,923,829                                      | Omanded                   | \$427.186                     | \$627.817                  | \$6,933,559     | (   | mervention                                       | State Tederal          | \$228.845                  |  | Expenditures          | \$10.141.236                              |                                |  |
| Residential Care (171 & 173)  | \$160,286             | . , . ,  |                           | \$200.557                     | \$535,736                  | ,,              |   |  |                        | 9220,043                   |  |                       | \$8,454,872                               | 770                            | - ,                                      |
| Outpatient Care (22-24 and 30-50)   | \$304,182             |  | \$384,506                 | \$270,774                     | \$1,270,088                |                 |   |  | \$90,000               | \$462,958                  |  |                       | \$21,234,063                              | 15270                          | ,  |
| 24-Hour Crisis Care (outpatient based service with emergency ind = yes)   | \$206,389             |  | \$20,712                  | \$451,514                     | \$442,184                  | \$4,883,432     |   |  | , , , , , ,            | ,                          |  |                       | \$7,359,218                               | 850                            |  |
| Psychotropic Medication Management (61 & 62)  | \$71,088              | \$573,312  | \$78,687                  | \$9,274                       | \$187,093                  | \$2,066,240     | \$180,462                                 |  | \$30,000               | \$68,197                   |  |                       | \$3,264,353                               | 6770                           | \$482.                                   |
| Psychoeducation Services (Vocational 80) Psychosocial<br>Rehabilitation (Skills Dev. 100)   | \$244,399             | \$1,428,546                                      |                           | \$172,486                     | \$466,187                  | \$5,148,539     |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$7,460,157                               | 2700                           | \$2,763                                  |
| Case Management (120 & 130)   | \$127,987             | \$979,454  |                           | \$39,822                      | \$319,633                  | \$3,529,992     | \$1,229                                   |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$4,998,117                               | 4425                           | \$1,129                                  |
| Community Supports, including - Housing (174) (Adult) - Respite services (150) (Child/Youth)  | \$68,501              | \$188,315  |                           | \$765,181                     | \$61,454                   | \$678,693       |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$1,762,144                               | 540                            | \$3,263                                  |
| Peer Support Services (140):  - Adult Peer Specialist  - Family Support Services (FRF Database)   | \$382,662             | \$89,105   |                           | \$250,000                     | \$29,078                   | \$321,138       | \$120,450                                 |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$1,192,433                               | 1695                           | \$703.                                   |
| Consultation and education services, including case consultation, collaboration with other county service agencies, public education and public information | \$11,249              | \$164,520  | \$21,441                  | \$127,906                     | \$53,689                   | \$592,939       |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$971,744                                 |                                |  |
| Services to persons incarcerated in a county jail or other county correctional facility   |                       |  | \$41,800                  | \$61,338                      |                            |                 |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$103,138                                 | 140                            | \$736.                                   |
| Adult Outplacement (USH Liaison)  |                       |  |                           | \$559,245                     |                            |                 |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       | \$559,245                                 | 95                             |  |
| Other Non-mandated MH Services  |                       | \$22,311   |                           | \$449,554                     | \$7,282                    | ,               |   |  |                        |                            |  | \$85,000              | \$644,563                                 | 544                            | \$1,184                                  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Expenditures Budget  | \$1,576,743           | \$12,257,987                                     | \$547,146                 | \$3,784,837                   | \$4,000,241                | \$44,178,302    | \$835,027                                 | \$0  | \$120,000              | \$760,000                  | \$0  | \$85,000              | \$68,145,283                              |                                |  |
|   |                       |  |                           |                               |                            |                 |   |  |                        |                            |  |                       |   |                                |  |
| Y2019 Mental Health Expenditures Budget   | State General Fund    | State General<br>Fund used for<br>Medicaid Match | \$2.7 million<br>Unfunded | NOTused for<br>Medicaid Match | Used for<br>Medicaid Match | Net<br>Medicaid | Mental Health<br>Block Grant<br>(Formula) | 10% Set Aside<br>Federal - Early<br>Intervention | Other<br>State/Federal | Third Party<br>Collections | Cleint<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay, fees) | Other<br>Expenditures | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Expenditures<br>Budget | Total FY2019<br>Clients Served | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Cost/Clien<br>Served  |
| ADULT   | \$485,237             | \$7,817,056                                      | \$547,146                 | \$3,578,528                   | \$2,400,145                | \$25,979,741    | \$659,646                                 |  |                        | \$456,000                  |  |                       | \$41,923,499                              | 9700                           | \$4,322                                  |
| YOUTH/CHILDREN  | \$1,091,506           | \$4,440,931                                      |                           | \$206,309                     | \$1,600,096                | \$18,198,561    | \$175,381                                 |  | \$120,000              | \$304,000                  |  | \$85,000              | \$26,221,784                              | 6500                           | \$4,034                                  |
| Total FY2019 Mental Health Expenditures   | \$1,576,743           | \$12,257,987                                     | \$547,146                 | \$3,784,837                   | \$4,000,241                | \$44,178,302    | \$835,027                                 | SO   | \$120,000              | \$760,000                  | \$0  | \$85,000              | \$68,145,283                              | 16200                          | \$4,200                                  |

| FY19 Proposed Cos                   | t & Clients Serve         | d by Population        |                                   | Local Aut                       | hority: Salt Lake Cour | ty Behavioral Hea | th | Form A |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----|--------|
| n 1                                 |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Budget and Clients Served I         | Data to Accompany Area    | Plan Narrative         |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        | FY2019                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        | Expected                          |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        | Cost/Client                       |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| MH Budgets                          |                           | Clients Served         | Served                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Inpatient Care Budget               |                           | 200                    | 044400                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$5,904,025 ADULT                   | LOT ITEM                  | 390                    | \$15,139                          |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$4,237,211 CHILD/                  | YOUTH                     | 310                    | \$13,668                          |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| n 11 210 n 1 .                      |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Residential Care Budget             |                           |                        | 044.400                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$8,171,230 ADULT                   | LOVERNA                   | 715                    | \$11,428                          |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$283,642 CHILD/                    | YOUTH                     | 55                     | \$5,157                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Outpatient Care Budget              |                           | 0.050                  | 0040                              |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$8,494,549 ADULT                   | LOT ITEM                  | 8,870                  | \$958                             |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$12,739,514 CHILD/                 | YOUTH                     | 6,400                  | \$1,991                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| MH 6:: 6 P.1                        |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| 24-Hour Crisis Care Budget          |                           | 700                    | 00.000                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$6,497,783 ADULT                   | VOLITH                    | 700<br>150             | \$9,283                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$861,435 CHILD/                    | IOUIN                     | 150                    | \$5,743                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Davida de cario D.C. 15 15 15 15 15 |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Psychotropic Medication Ma          | magement Budget           | 5.360                  | 0.402                             |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$2,639,371 ADULT                   | VOLITH                    | 5,360                  | \$492<br>\$443                    |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$624,982 CHILD/                    | TOUTH                     | 1,410                  | \$443                             |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Davida davidi 18 1                  | and Daket West 199        | 1                      |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Psychoeducation and Psycho          | sociai Kenabilitation Bu  |                        | 01.000                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$2,143,560 ADULT                   | ZOLITH                    | 1,650                  | \$1,299                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$5,316,597 CHILD/                  | TOUTH                     | 1,050                  | \$5,063                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Case Management Budget              |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           | 2.025                  | 61.614                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$4,719,540 ADULT                   | TOT ITEM                  | 2,925                  | \$1,614                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$278,577 CHILD/                    | YOUTH                     | 1,500                  | \$186                             |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Community Supports Budge            |                           | 20.5                   | 00.004                            |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$824,141 ADULT                     |                           | 295                    | \$2,794                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$938,003 CHILD/                    | YOUTH (Respite)           | 245                    | \$3,829                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Peer Support Services Budg          | et                        | 4.000                  | 0000                              |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$429,640 ADULT                     | TOTAL COLUMN              | 1,270                  | \$338                             |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$/62,/93 CHILD/                    | YOUTH (includes FRF)      | 425                    | \$1,795                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Consultation & Education S          | ervices Budget            |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$922,326 ADULT                     | LOVIEW                    |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$49,418 CHILD/                     | YOUTH                     |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Services to Incarcerated Per        |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$103,138 ADULT                     | Jail Services             | 140                    | \$737                             |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| 0.1                                 |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Outplacement Budget                 |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$559,245 ADULT                     |                           | 95                     | \$5,887                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Other Non-mandated Servic           | es Budget                 |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$514,951 ADULT                     | ZOLITH                    | 510                    | \$1,010                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$129,612 CHILD/                    | YOUTH                     | 34                     | \$3,812                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   | _                               |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Summary                             |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Γotals                              |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$41,923,499 Total Ad               | ult                       |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$26,221,784 Total Ch               | ildren/Youth              |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     | s served data reported ab | ove, please breakout t | he following information regardir | g unfunded (duplicated from abo | ve)                    |                   |    |        |
| Unfunded (\$2.7 million)            |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$547,146 ADULT                     |                           | 510                    | \$1,073                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| CHILD/                              | YOUTH                     |                        | #DIV/0!                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| Unfunded (all other)                |                           |                        |                                   |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
| \$4,723,411 ADULT                   |                           | 3,490                  | \$1,353                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |
|                                     | YOUTH                     | 880                    | \$1,771                           |                                 |                        |                   |    |        |

| FY19 Mental Health Early Intervention    | n Plan & Budget       |            | I                             | Local Authority:           | Salt Lake County | Behavioral Healt           | h  |                       |   | Form A2                 |  |
|--|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
|  | State Gen             | neral Fund | County                        | Funds                      |                  |                            |  |                       |   |                         |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Revenue             | State General<br>Fund |            | NOTused for<br>Medicaid Match | Used for<br>Medicaid Match |                  | Third Party<br>Collections | Client<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay, fees) | Other Revenue         | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Revenue                |                         |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Revenue by Source   | \$1,091,506           |            | \$206,309                     | \$553,282                  | \$1,413,565      |                            |  | \$130,481             | \$3,395,143                               |                         |  |
|  | State Gen             | neral Fund | County                        | Funds                      |                  |                            |  |                       |   |                         |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Expenditures Budget | State General         |            | NOTused for<br>Medicaid Match | Used for<br>Medicaid Match |                  | Third Party<br>Collections | Client<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay, fees) | Other<br>Expenditures | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Expenditures<br>Budget | Total<br>Clients Served | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Cost/Client<br>Served |
| MCOT 24-Hour Crisis Care-CLINICAL        | \$277,837             |            |                               | \$184,775                  | \$421,046        |                            |  |                       | \$883,658                                 | 570                     | \$1,550                                  |
| MCOT 24-Hour Crisis Care-ADMIN           | \$12,225              |            |                               | \$8,130                    | \$18,256         |                            |  |                       | \$38,611                                  |                         |  |
| FRF-CLINICAL                             | \$492,347             |            | \$197,614                     |                            |                  |                            |  | \$10,039              | \$700,000                                 | 550                     | \$1,272                                  |
| FRF-ADMIN                                | \$21,663              |            | \$8,695                       |                            |                  |                            |  | \$442                 | \$30,800                                  |                         |  |
| School Based Behavioral Health-CLINICAL  | \$275,320             |            |                               | \$345,189                  | \$933,202        |                            |  | \$114,943             | \$1,668,654                               | 320                     | \$5,214                                  |
| School Based Behavioral Health-ADMIN     | \$12,114              |            |                               | \$15,188                   | \$41,061         |                            |  | \$5,057               | \$73,420                                  |                         |  |
| FY2019 Mental Health Expenditures Budget | \$1,091,506           | \$0        | \$206,309                     | \$553,282                  | \$1,413,565      | \$0                        | \$0  | \$130,481             | \$3,395,143                               | 1440                    | \$8,037                                  |

| FY19 Substance Use Disorder Treatmen   | t Area Plan Bu                                   | ıdaet  |   | Local Authority:                              | Salt Lake County    | / Rehavioral Healt        | th                                     |                        | Form B                                      |   |  |                                 |                               |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------|---------------------------|--|------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Tro Gubblanco Goo Bisordor Trodunon  | Alou I lan Be                                    | lugot  |   | Local Authority.                              | Sait Lake County    | / Dellavioral Fleati      |  |                        |   |   |  |                                 |                               |  |
| FY2019 Substance Use Disorder Treatment<br>Revenue   | State Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | State Funds<br>used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>Used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | Federal<br>Medicaid | SAPT Treatment<br>Revenue | SAPT Women's<br>Treatment Set<br>aside | Other<br>State/Federal | 3rd Party<br>Collections<br>(eg, insurance) | Client<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay,<br>fees) | Other<br>Revenue<br>(gifts,<br>donations,<br>reserves etc) | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Revenue      |                               |  |
| Drug Court   | 946,727  | 21,000                                       | 1,325,814   | 337,862                                       | 837,341             | 199,368                   | -                                      | -                      | 25  | 85,000  | -  | \$3,753,137                     |                               |  |
| Drug Offender Reform Act   | 1,090,945  | -  | -   | 47,128  | 109,966             | -                         | -                                      | -                      | 150   | 45,000  | -  | \$1,293,189                     |                               |  |
| JRI  | 2,557,834  | -  | 870,000   | -   | -                   | -                         | -                                      | -                      | 500   | 35,000  | 2,173,000  | \$5,636,334                     |                               |  |
| Local Treatment Services   | 2,298,610  | 1,684,395                                    | 2,524,594   | 175,406                                       | 3,291,640           | 3,165,682                 | 840,109                                | 3,754,243              | 55,000                                      | 375,000   | 430,000  | \$18,594,679                    |                               |  |
| Total FY2019 Substance Use Disorder Treatment Revenue  | \$6,894,116                                      | \$1,705,395                                  | \$4,720,408                                       | \$560,396                                     | \$4,238,947         | \$3,365,050               | \$840,109                              | \$3,754,243            | \$55,675                                    | \$540,000   | \$2,603,000  | \$29,277,339                    |                               |  |
| FY2019 Substance Use Disorder Treatment<br>Expenditures Budget by Level of Care                            | State Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match                | County Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>Used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | Federal<br>Medicaid | SAPT Treatment<br>Revenue | aside                                  | Other<br>State/Federal | 3rd Party<br>Collections<br>(eg, insurance) | Client<br>Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay,<br>fees) | Other<br>Revenue   | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Expenditures | Total FY2019<br>Client Served | Total FY201:<br>Cost/ Client<br>Served |
| Screening and Assessment Only  | 216,201  | 28,492                                       | 299,086   | 4,182   | 60,695              | 170,679                   | 44,703                                 | 339,451                | 1,678                                       | 84,783  | -  | \$1,249,950                     | 2,678                         | \$467                                  |
| Detoxification: ASAM IV-D or III.7-D) (ASAM III.2-D)<br>ASAM I-D or II-D)                                  | 304,464  | -  | 295,002   | -   | -                   | 598,361                   | 157,438                                | 480,000                | -   | -   | 1,029,000  | \$2,864,265                     | 2,300                         | \$1,245                                |
| Residential Services<br>(ASAM III.7, III.5, III.1 III.3 1II.1 or III.3)                                    | 3,529,190  | 645,107                                      | 1,367,865   | 237,193                                       | 1,653,116           | 1,078,016                 | 266,148                                | 94,223                 | 8,043                                       | 219,150   | 1,027,016  | \$10,125,067                    | 1,007                         | \$10,055                               |
| Outpatient: Contracts with Opioid Treatment<br>Providers (Methadone: ASAM I)                               | 230,205  | 68,128                                       | 209,353   | 8,991   | 143,204             | 226,841                   | 59,685                                 | 741,065                | -   | 135,141   | -  | \$1,822,613                     | 1,720                         | \$1,060                                |
| Office based Opiod Treatment (Buprenorphine,<br>Vivitrol, Naloxone and prescriber cost)) Non-<br>Methadone | -  | -  | -   | -   | -                   | -                         | -                                      | 1,166,666              | -   | -   | -  | \$1,166,666                     | 350                           | \$3,333                                |
| Outpatient: Non-Methadone (ASAM I)   | 1,072,647  | 461,354                                      | 1,004,999   | 87,049  | 1,020,344           | 592,787                   | 137,107                                | 38,320                 | 31,367                                      | 86,938  | 242,807  | \$4,775,719                     | 4,137                         | \$1,154                                |
| Intensive Outpatient<br>(ASAM II.5 or II.1)  | 1,394,310  | 502,314                                      | 954,218   | 222,981                                       | 1,361,588           | 698,366                   | 175,028                                | 283,006                | 14,587                                      | 13,988  | 304,177  | \$5,924,563                     | 2,173                         | \$2,726                                |
| Recovery Support (includes housing, peer support, case management and other non-clinical )                 | 147,099  | -  | 589,885   | -   | -                   | -                         | -                                      | 611,512                | -   | -   | -  | \$1,348,496                     | 1,021                         | \$1,321                                |
| FY2019 Substance Use Disorder Treatment Expenditures Budget  | \$6,894,116                                      | \$1,705,395                                  | \$4,720,408                                       | \$560,396                                     | \$4,238,947         | \$3,365,050               | \$840,109                              | \$3,754,243            | \$55,675                                    | \$540,000   | \$2,603,000  | \$29,277,339                    | 12,708                        | \$2,304                                |
| FY2019 Substance Use Disorder Treatment<br>Expenditures Budget By Population                               | State Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | State Funds<br>used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>Used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | Federal<br>Medicaid | SAPT Treatment<br>Revenue | SAPT Women's<br>Treatment Set<br>aside | Other<br>State/Federal | 3rd Party<br>Collections<br>(eg, insurance) | Client Collections (eg, co-pays, private pay, fees)             | Other<br>Revenue   | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Expenditures |                               |  |
| Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent<br>Children, (Please include pregnant women under<br>age of 18)    | 1,310,841  | 969,284                                      | 755,433   | 318,509                                       | 2,409,261           | 77,492                    | 840,109                                | ) -                    | 10,160                                      | 374,031   | 312,682  | \$7,377,802                     |                               |  |
| All Other Women (18+)  | 582,865  | 176,413                                      | 670,043   | 57,969  | 438,494             | 384,928                   | -                                      | 1,147,588              | 17,134                                      | 115,566   | 203,228  | \$3,794,228                     |                               |  |
| Men (18+)  | 4,720,847  | 122,145                                      | 3,101,393   | 40,137  | 303,605             | 2,617,033                 | -                                      | 2,606,655              | 7,071                                       | 41,982  | 2,087,090  | \$15,647,958                    |                               |  |
| Youth (12- 17) (Not Including pregnant women or women with dependent children)                             | 279,563  | 437,553                                      | 193,539   | 143,781                                       | 1,087,587           | 285,597                   | -                                      | -                      | 21,310                                      | 8,421   | -  | \$2,457,351                     |                               |  |
| Total FY2019 Substance Use Disorder Expenditures Budget by Population Served                               | \$6,894,116                                      | \$1,705,395                                  | \$4.720.408                                       | \$560,396                                     | \$4,238,947         | \$3,365,050               | \$840,109                              | \$3,754,243            | \$55,675                                    | \$540,000   | \$2,603,000  | \$29,277,339                    |                               |  |

| FY19 Drug Offender Reform Act & Drug   | Court Expend                          | itures               |                      | Local Authority:       | Salt Lake County                | Behavioral Health |  | Form B1 |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------|
| FY2019 DORA and Drug Court Expenditures<br>Budget by Level of Care                         | Drug Offender<br>Reform Act<br>(DORA) | Felony Drug<br>Court | Family Drug<br>Court | Juvenile Drug<br>Court | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Expenditures |                   |  |         |
| Detoxification: ASAM IV-D or III.7-D) (ASAM III.2-D)<br>ASAM I-D or II-D)                  | 32,436                                | -                    | -                    | -                      | \$32,436                        |                   |  |         |
| Residential Services (ASAM III.7, III.5, III.1 III.3 1II.1 or III.3)                       | 608,282                               | 393,494              | 674,951              | 64,327                 | \$1,741,054                     |                   |  |         |
| Outpatient (Methadone: ASAM I)   | 839                                   | 2,205                | 9,209                | -                      | \$12,253                        |                   |  |         |
| Outpatient<br>(Non-Methadone: ASAM I)  | 170,788                               | 827,692              | 57,299               | 34,414                 | \$1,090,193                     |                   |  |         |
| Intensive Outpatient (ASAM II.5 or II.1)   | 448,284                               | 465,493              | 360,527              | 119,848                | \$1,394,152                     |                   |  |         |
| Recovery Support (includes housing, peer support, case management and other non-clinical ) | -                                     | 736,984              | -                    | -                      | \$736,984                       |                   |  |         |
| Other (Screening & Assessment, Drug testing, MAT)  | 32,560                                | 4,083                | 2,154                | 457                    | \$39,254                        |                   |  |         |
| FY2019 DORA and Drug Court<br>Expenditures Budget  | \$1,293,189                           | \$2,429,951          | \$1,104,140          | \$219,046              | \$5,046,326                     |                   |  |         |

| FY19 Substance Abuse Prevention Are                   | a Diam 9 Dudas                                   |  |   |   |                            |                               |  |  | Form C    |   |  |  |                 |   |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-----------|---|--|--|-----------------|---|
| F 119 Substance Abuse Prevention Are                  | a Pian & Budge                                   | ) L  |   | Local Authority:                              | Salt Lake Count            | y Behavioral Heal             | tn                                       |  | Forme     |   |  |  |                 |   |
|   | State  | Funds  | County  | Funds   |                            |                               |  |  |           |   |  |  |                 |   |
| FY2019 Substance Abuse Prevention Revenue             | NOT used for<br>Medicaid                         |  | NOT used for<br>Medicaid                          | County Funds<br>Used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | Federal<br>Medicaid        | SAPT<br>Prevention<br>Revenue | Partnerships for<br>Success PFS<br>Grant | Other Federal<br>(TANF,<br>Discretionary<br>Grants, etc) | 3rd Party | Collections<br>(eg, co-pays,<br>private pay,        | Other<br>Revenue<br>(gifts,<br>donations,<br>reserves etc) | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Revenue               |                 |   |
| FY2019 Substance Abuse<br>Prevention Revenue          | \$154,339  |  | \$278,044   |   |                            | \$1,716,768                   | \$65,723                                 | \$125,000  |           |   |  | \$2,339,874                              |                 |   |
|   | State  | Funds  | County  | Funds   |                            |                               |  |  |           |   |  |  |                 |   |
| FY2019 Substance Abuse Prevention Expenditures Budget | State Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | State Funds<br>used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>NOT used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | County Funds<br>Used for<br>Medicaid<br>Match | Federal<br>Medicaid        | SAPT<br>Prevention<br>Revenue | Partnerships for<br>Success PFS<br>Grant | Other Federal<br>(TANF,<br>Discretionary<br>Grants, etc) | 3rd Party | Client Collections (eg, co-pays, private pay, fees) | Other<br>Revenue<br>(gifts,<br>donations,<br>reserves etc) | Projected<br>number of<br>clients served | TOTAL<br>FY2019 | TOTAL<br>FY2019<br>Evidence-base<br>Program<br>Expenditures |
| Universal Direct                                      | \$8,481  |  | \$25,000  |   |                            | \$95,888                      | \$65,723                                 | \$125,000  |           |   |  | 6000                                     | \$320,092       | \$310,0   |
| Universal Indirect                                    |  |  | \$4,000   |   |                            |                               |  |  |           |   |  |  | \$4,000         |   |
| Selective Services                                    | \$109,217  |  | \$155,408   |   |                            | \$1,147,242                   |  |  |           |   |  | 13000                                    | \$1,411,867     | \$1,011,8   |
| Indicated Services                                    | \$45,090   |  | \$93,636  |   |                            | \$473,638                     |  |  |           |   |  | 3000                                     | \$612,364       | \$590,3   |
| FY2019 Substance Abuse Prevention Expenditures Budget | \$162,788  | \$0  | \$278,044   | \$0   | \$0                        | \$1,716,768                   | \$65,723                                 | \$125,000  | \$0       | \$0   | \$0  | \$22,000                                 | \$2,348,323     | \$1,912,3   |
| SAPT Prevention Set Aside                             | Information<br>Dissemination                     | Education                                    |   | Problem<br>Identification<br>& Referral       | Community<br>Based Process | Environmental                 | Total                                    |  |           |   |  |  |                 |   |

# Program: Adolescent Capacity and Empowerment Program (ACE) Date: FY18

| Program Name: Ad (ACE)  | <b>Program Name:</b> Adolescent Capacity and Empowerment Program (ACE)                         | npowerment Program   | Evidence Based? Yes                | s-Tier 2                             | FY2018   |                       |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| <b>Provider Name:</b> The Refugee Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | <b>Provider Name:</b> The Refugee and Immigrant Center: Asian<br>Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | grant Center: Asian  |                                    |                                      |  |                       |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County  | unty   |  |                                    |                                      |  |                       |
|   | Goal   | Factors  | Focus Population                   | Strategies                           | Outcomes<br>Short                                      | Long                  |
|   |  |  | U S Indicated                      |                                      |  |                       |
| Logic   | Reduce 30 day ATOD use among   | Risk Factors: - Attitudes Favorable to   | Refugee and<br>Immigrant Youth     | Life Skills<br>Curriculum:           | 5% Improved GPA and School Attendance:                 | 2%<br>reduced         |
|   | refugee and immigrant youth  | Antisocial Behavior -Perceived risk of drug  | ages 12-18 living within Salt Lake | CBT and<br>Motivational              | 10% improvement in external                            | 30 day<br>ATOD use    |
|   |  | Protective Factors: - Opportunities/Rewards  | County                             | Interviewing Techniques; GPA         | supports<br>(prosocial<br>involvement) in              | from<br>2013-         |
|   |  | for Prosocial<br>Involvement   |                                    | and Attendance records               | DAP scores; 3% improvement on LST outcome              | 2023<br>SHARP         |
|   |  |  |                                    |                                      | testing  | Data                  |
| Measures and<br>Sources   | 2013 SHARP Data  | Developmental Assets Profile (DAP) Testing; Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ); | Registration Forms<br>Role Sheets  | DAP testing; Monthly Case Management | Post DAP<br>Testing; Post<br>SDQ testing;<br>Quarterly | 2023<br>SHARP<br>Data |
|   |  | Curriculum   |                                    |                                      |  |                       |

## Program: Family Strengthening: Dare to Be You (DTBY) Date: FY18

| Program Name: Family Strengthening: Dare to Be You (DT Provider Name: The Refugee and Immigrant Center: Asian Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | nily Strengthening: E<br>Refugee and Immig<br>(RIC-AAU) | Program Name: Family Strengthening: Dare to Be You (DTBY) Provider Name: The Refugee and Immigrant Center: Asian Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | Evidence Based YES -N<br>Yearly Cost - \$75,000 | YES -National Registry<br>000   | FY2018              |                             |
|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| LSAA; Salt Lake County  | nty   |  |   |                                 | 5                   |                             |
|   | Goal  | Factors  | Focus Population                                | Strategies                      | Outcomes Short Long | rā                          |
|   |   |  | U SELECTIVE I                                   |                                 |                     | (                           |
| Logic   | Reduce 30 day   | Risk:  | 75 Refugee and                                  | DARE to Be                      | - 3% improvement    | Reduced                     |
|   | ATOD use<br>among refugee<br>and immigrant              | <ul> <li>Parental Attitudes</li> <li>Favorable to Antisocial</li> <li>Behavior</li> <li>Perceived Risk of Drug</li> </ul>                      | Immigrant Parents with their children ages 2-5  | You<br>(DTBY) –<br>NREPP Model; |                     | 30 day<br>ATOD use<br>by 1% |
|   | youth   | Use Protective:  |   | appropriate                     | drug use            | from<br>2013-               |
|   |   | - Rewards for Prosocial  |   | for<br>participants             |                     | SHARP                       |
|   |   | HACINGILI  |   |                                 |                     | surveys                     |
| Measures and Sources  | 2013 SHARP<br>Data                                      | DTBY Pre/Post Test 2013 Sharp  | Attendance Records/Rosters                      | DTBY Pre/Post Test              | Short –<br>Evidence | Reduce<br>30 day            |
|   |   |  |   |                                 | Вү                  | ATOD                        |
|   |   |  |   |                                 | Pre/Post test       | use; 2023                   |
|   |   |  |   |                                 |                     | Simove                      |
|   |   |  |   |                                 |                     | ourveys                     |
|   |   |  |   |                                 |                     |                             |

# Program: Parenting Support and Information: Parenting Wisely Date: FY18

| Program Name: Parenting Support Provider Name: The Refugee : Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | Program Name: Parenting Support and Information: Parenting Wisely Provider Name: The Refugee and Immigrant Center: Asian Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | nation: Parenting Wisely ant Center: Asian       | Evidence Based YES<br>Yearly Cost - \$15,000 | YES -National Registry            | FY2018                             |                   |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| LSAA; Salt Lake County   | inty   |  |  | •                                 |                                    |                   |
|  | Goal   | Factors  | Focus Population                             | Strategies                        | Outcomes Short Long                |                   |
|  |  |  | U S Indicated                                |                                   |                                    |                   |
| Logic  | Reduce 30 day  | Risk Factor:                                     | Parents of refugee                           | NREPP Parenting                   | 3% improvement                     | Pod               |
|  | ATOD Use   | - Perceived Kisk of Drug Use - Parental Attitude | or immigrants<br>youth aged 12-18            | Wisely Curriculum                 | parent-child conflict and parental | 30 day<br>ATOD by |
|  |  | Favorable to Antisocial                          |  |                                   | attitude favorable                 | 1% from           |
|  |  |  |  |                                   | behavior                           | 2023              |
|  |  | Protective Factors:                              |  |                                   |                                    | SHARP             |
|  |  | - Opportunities for prosocial involvement        |  |                                   |                                    |                   |
| Measures and Sources   | Parenting Wisely Curriculum;   | Parent Knowledge<br>Pre/Post Test                | Registration Forms<br>Role Sheets            | Parent Knowledge<br>Pre/Post Test | 3%<br>improvement in               | SHARP<br>2023     |
|  | Pre/Post Parent<br>Knowledge Tests   |  |  |                                   | Parenting<br>Wisely Pre/Post       | Data              |
|  |  |  |  |                                   | Measure                            |                   |
|  |  |  |  |                                   |                                    |                   |

# Program: Social Empowerment Academic Summer (SEAS) Program Date: FY18

| immigrant<br>youth<br>2023<br>SHARP             |  | Program (BEP)   |   |  | regular academic<br>curriculum   |   |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| among<br>refugee<br>and                         | reading<br>scores by<br>3%   | lne QIA determines language proficiency; Behavioral Education   |   |  | curriculum/LifeSkills Training Curriculum in addition to                             |   |
| ATOD use  | pre/posttest<br>math and   | Assessment (QIA).   | Forms/Registrations   | Pre/Post Tests   | programming; DTBY  | Sources   |
| Reduced   | Improve  | Math Testing;   | Intake  | Math and Reading   | Out of School Time   | Measures and  |
| 1% Reduced 30 day ATOD Use from SHARP 2013-2023 | - 3% Improvement academic pre/post test scores measured through QIA, BEP, and Math testing - Access to community through field trips | Math and Reading instruction from Licensed Educators; ATOD Prevention/Enrichment Education — offered at school with high refugee and immigrant population | Refugee and Immigrant Youth ages 5-14 from Granite and Salt Lake City School District | Risk: - Attitudes Favorable to Antisocial Behavior - Perceived Risk of Drug Use Protective: - Opportunities for Pro- Social Involvement - Rewards for Pro-Social Involvement | Reduce 30 day<br>ATOD use among<br>refugee and<br>immigrant youth                    | Logic   |
| Long  | Outcomes<br>Short  | Strategies  | Focus Population  U SELECTIVE   | Factors  | Goal   |   |
|   | 2.   |   |   |  | ounty  | LSAA; Salt Lake County                                  |
|   |  | 00  | Yearly Cost - \$60,000  |  | ah (RIC-AAU)   | Association of Utah (RIC-AAU)                           |
|   | on registry  | - Yes -Researched based, not on registry  | Evidence Based - Yes  | rant Center: Asian   | Program Name: SEAS Program<br>Provider Name: The Refugee and Immigrant Center: Asian | Program Name: SEAS Program Provider Name: The Refugee a |

## Program: Leaders and Counselors in Training (LCIT) Date: FY18

| Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | Provider Name: The Refugee and Immigrant Center: Asian Association of Utah (RIC-AAU) | ant Center: Asian   | Yearly Cost - \$20,000                       | 00  |   |   |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| LSAA; Salt Lake County        | inty   |   |  |   |   |   |
|                               | Goal   | Factors   | Focus Population                             | Strategies  | Outcomes Short Long   | - T   |
|                               |  |   | U SELECTIVE I                                |   |   |   |
| Logic                         | Reduce 30 day ATOD use among refugee and immigrant youth                             | Risk: - Attitudes favorable to Antisocial Behavior - Perceived Risk of Drug Use           | Refugee and<br>Immigrant Youth<br>ages 12-18 | YELL<br>Curriculum;<br>Academic<br>Assistance;<br>offered at RIC- | - 5% improvement results of Assets on DAP testing (measuring - 5% overall increase in GPA and school attendance | Reduced 30 day ATOD Use by 1% from 2013 to 2023 |
|                               |  | Protective: - Opportunities for prosocial involvement - Rewards for prosocial Involvement |  | AAU main site and within the community as appropriate             |   | SHARP Data in minority populations              |
| Measures and                  | 2013 SHARP Data  | Developmental   | Registration and                             | Pre/Post DAP  | - 5%  | 2023  |
| Sources                       |  | Asset Profile (DAP)   | Intake Forms;                                | testing   | improvement   | SHARP   |
|                               |  | Pre and Post  | Roll Sheets                                  | Track GPA   | on DAP  | Data  |
|                               |  | Assessment  |  | Track School  | Testing; pre  |   |
|                               |  |   |  | Attendance  | and post  |   |
|                               |  | GPA   |  |   | testing   |   |
|                               |  |   |  |   | - Grade   |   |
|                               |  | School Attendance   |  |   | Reports   |   |

| Measures & Sources                   | Logic   |    |                      | Provider Name: Sa                                      | Program Name: S                         |
|--------------------------------------|---|----|----------------------|--|---|
| 2012 IBIS<br>2015 TESS               | Reduce misuse of prescription drugs among older adults  |    | Goal                 | alt Lake County Agi                                    | Stanford Self-Management Programs       |
| SLCoAA Pre/post<br>test              | Rewards for prosocial involvement   |    | Factors              | Provider Name: Salt Lake County Aging & Adult Services | ement Programs                          |
| Participant                          | Persons 60  | U  | Fo                   |  |   |
| Participant Information Forms        | Persons 60 years of age and older   | IN | Focus Population     | Yearly C   | Evidence                                |
| Forms                                | and older   | I  | מכ                   | Yearly Cost: \$45,000                                  | Based: Yes                              |
| Attendance Records                   | management Programs referred to as Living Well with Chronic Conditions (4x), Tomando Control de su Salud(2x), Living Well with Diabetes (2x), conducted in senior centers, 6-weeks 1x/week, 2.5 hours |    | Strategies           | 0  | Evidence Based: Yes - National Registry |
| SLCoAA<br>Pre/Post Test<br>2016 TESS | Percent reporting on change in knowledge of perceived risk will improve 5% from baseline.   |    | Outc<br>Short        |  | FY2018                                  |
| 2020 IBIS<br>2020 TESS               | Misuse of reported drug interactions will decrease from 4.8% to 2.8%. And the rate of ER visits due to drug poisonings will be reduced from 9.7 to 7.7 per 10,000 Population by 2020                  |    | Outcomes<br>ort Long |  | 18                                      |

| ;                      |                          |   | 1                | _<br> -<br> -<br> -             |                                      |                        |                             |                       |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Program Name: 50       | elective Populatio       | Program Name: Selective Population, Mentoring Program & Refugee | & Refugee        | Evide                           | Evidence Based Yes                   | Yes -National Registry | FY2018                      |                       |
| Mentoring Program      | 3                        |   |                  | Yearly                          | Yearly Cost: \$55,000                |                        |                             |                       |
| Provider Name: Bi      | ig Brothers Big Sis      | Provider Name: Big Brothers Big Sisters of Utah (BBBSU)         |                  |                                 |                                      |                        |                             |                       |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | ounty                    |   |                  |                                 |                                      |                        |                             |                       |
|                        | Goal                     | Factors   | Focus Population | lation                          |                                      | Strategies             | Outcomes                    |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      |                        | Short Long                  |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  | S                               |                                      |                        |                             |                       |
| Logic                  | 1. Reduce 30             | <ol> <li>Favorable</li> </ol>                                   | Selective:       |                                 |                                      | Youth will meet        | SHARP DATA:                 | 1. Reduce 30 day      |
|                        | day alcohol<br>use among | attitudes<br>toward   | with 50 yold     | uth ages 6-i                    | (100) 50 Youth ages 6-17 matched     | with their mentor      | 1. Youth reporting          | alcohol use in        |
|                        | youth 6-17               | antisocial  | County Mei       | County Mentoring Programs       | grams                                | month for a            | attitudes                   | grade                 |
|                        | years old.               | behaviors   | (40) 20 Refu     | (40) 20 Refugee Youth ages 6-17 | ages 6-17                            | minimum of 12          | favorable to                | students from:        |
|                        |                          | 2. Lack of  | residing in S    | residing in Salt Lake County    | residing in Salt Lake County matched | months with a          | behaviors will              | to 1.0% hv            |
|                        |                          | to School   |                  |                                 |                                      | Brothers Big Sisters   | decrease from:              | 2023 (6 <sup>th</sup> |
|                        |                          | 3. Family   |                  |                                 |                                      | of Utah mentoring      | - 31.3% in 2013 to          | grade)                |
|                        |                          | Attachment  |                  |                                 |                                      | programs               | 30.2% in 2016,              | - 5.5% in 2013        |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | BBBSU professional     | and 28.1% by                | 2023 (8 <sup>th</sup> |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | staff will work with   | 2018 (6 <sup>th</sup> grade | grade)                |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | each child,            | students)                   | - 11.3% in            |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | and volunteer          | 29.1% in 2016,              | 2013 to 9.5%          |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | mentor to develop      | 28.5% in 2017,              | grade)                |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | individualized         | and 28% by 2018             |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | support plans for      | (8 <sup>th</sup> grade)     |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | each child (BBBSU      | - 34.1% in 2013 to          |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | Youth Outcome          | 33.8% in 2016,              |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | Development Plan-      | 33.4% in 2017,              |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | YODP)                  | and 33% by 2018             |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      | BBBSH professional     | (10 <sup>th</sup> grade)    |                       |
|                        |                          |   |                  |                                 |                                      |                        |                             |                       |

|  |  |  | positive youth outcomes   | contact with all continuing participants to ensure continuous individualized support to achieve    | staff will maintain monthly (or more frequent, if needed) contact with all first year program participants and at least quarterly |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| and 68% by 2018 (8 <sup>th</sup> grade) - 65.9% in 2013 to 66.5% in 2016, 67.3% in 2017, | 65.3% in 2017,<br>and 66% by 2018<br>(6 <sup>th</sup> grade)<br>- 65.8% in 2013 to<br>66.5% in 2016,<br>67.2% in 2017, | 3. Youth reporting positive family attachment will increase from: -64.1% in 2013 to 64.7% in 2016, | (8 <sup>th</sup> grade) - 36.5% in 2013 to 36% in 2016, 35.6% in 2015, and 35.1% by 2018 (10 <sup>th</sup> grade) | 30% by 2018 (6 <sup>th</sup> grade) - 37% in 2013 to 36.3% in 2016, 35.6% in 2015, and 35% by 2018 | 2. Youth reporting a lack of commitment to school will decrease from: - 32.8% in 2013 to 31.9% in 2016,                           |
|  |  |  |   |  |   |

| Measures & Sources  |  |
|---|--|
| 2013 SHARP<br>data  |  |
| -BBBSU's Youth<br>Outcomes Survey   |  |
| Participant Records managed through<br>BBBSU's program database- Agency<br>Information Management (AIM) |  |
| Case Management Records and resulting data from BBBSU's program database- Agency Information            |  |
| SHARP data-<br>Baseline from 2013<br>SHARP<br>BBBSU's Youth<br>Outcomes Survey                          | and 68.1% by 2018 (10 <sup>th</sup> grade)  YOS Data (ages9-17)  1. Favorable to Antisocial Behavior will decrease from: 16.8% in 2013 to 16.3% in 2016, 16% in 2017, and 15.7% in 2018  2. Educational Expectations will increase from: 69.8% in 2013 to 70.2% in 2013, and 71% in 2018  3. Parental Trust will increase from: 80.7% in 2013 to 81% in 2013 to 81% in 2017, and 82.1% in 2018 |
| 2023 SHARP data as compared to Baseline from 2013 SHARP   |  |

|   | Management (AIM) |  |
|---|------------------|--|
|   |                  |  |
|   |                  |  |
| ي |                  |  |

| Program Name:               | Indicated Populat      | Indicated Population, Community Based Mentoring         | Mentoring                        | Evide      | Evidence Based Yes                     |                      |                         |                              |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Program- Counselor Referred | or Reterred            |   |                                  | Year       | Yearly Cost: \$35,028                  |                      |                         |                              |
| Provider Name: E            | រិខ្លេ Brothers Big Si | Provider Name: Big Brothers Big Sisters of Utah (BBBSU) |                                  |            |  |                      |                         |                              |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County      | ounty                  |   |                                  |            |  |                      |                         |                              |
|                             | Goal                   | Factors   | Focus Population                 | ation      |  | Strategies           | Outcomes                |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            | - 1                                    |                      | Short                   | Long                         |
| Logic                       | 1. Reduce 30           | 1. Favorable  | Indicated:                       |            |  | Youth will meet      | SHARP DATA:             | 1. Reduce 30 day             |
|                             | day alcohol            | attitudes toward  | (60) 30 Yout                     | h ages 6-1 | (60) 30 Youth ages 6-17 years residing | with their mentor    | -                       | alcohol use in               |
|                             | vouth 6-17             | antisocial  | in Salt Lake                     | County and | in Salt Lake County and referred to    | 2-4 times per        | 4. routh reporting      | 6th, 8th & 10th              |
|                             | years old              | behaviors  2. Lack of                                   | matched with 30 volunteer mentor | h 30 volur | BBBSU through a counselor will be      | month for a          | favorable to            | grade                        |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | months with a        | antisocial              | - 1.7% in 2013               |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | mentor in Big        | decrease from:          | to 1.0% by                   |
|                             |                        | 3. rdillily   |                                  |            | _                                      | Brotners Big Sisters | - 31 3% in 2013 to      | 2023 (b                      |
|                             |                        | Attachment  |                                  |            |  | of Utah mentoring    | 30 2% in 2016           | grade)                       |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | programs             | 29.1% in 2017,          | - 5.5% in 2013<br>to 4.0% by |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | BBBSU professional   | and 28.1% by            | 2023 (8 <sup>th</sup>        |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | each child,          | students)               | grade)                       |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | parent/guardian,     | - 29.6% in 2013 to      | 2013 to 9.5%                 |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | and volunteer        | 29.1% in 2016,          | by 2023 (10 <sup>th</sup>    |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | mentor to develop    | 28.5% in 2017,          | grade)                       |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | individualized       | and 28% by 2018         |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | support plans for    | (8 <sup>th</sup> grade) |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | each child (BBBSU    | - 34.1% in 2013 to      |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | Youth Outcome        | 33.8% IN 2U16,          |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  | Development Plan-    | 33.4% in 2017,          |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  |                      | ana 33% by 2018         |                              |
|                             |                        |   |                                  |            |  |                      |                         |                              |

| Parental Trust will increase from: 80.7% in 2013 to 81% in 2016, 81.5% in 2017, and 82.1% in 2018 | 5. Educational Expectations will increase from: 69.8% in 2013 to 70.2% in 2016, 70.6% in 2017, and 71% in 2018 | YOS Data (ages9-17)  4. Favorable to Antisocial Behavior will decrease from: 16.8% in 2013 to 16.3% in 2016, 16% in 2017, and 15.7% in 2018 | 66.5% in 2016,<br>67.3% in 2017,<br>and 68.1% by<br>2018 (10 <sup>th</sup> grade) |
|---|--|---|---|
|   |  |   |   |

|   |                                     | Sources data                     | Measures & 20                       |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|   |                                     | ita                              | 2013 SHARP                          |
|   |                                     | Outcomes Survey                  | -BBBSU's Youth                      |
|   | Information Management (AIM)        | BBBSU's program database- Agency | Participant Records managed through |
| database- Agency<br>Information<br>Management (AIM) | resulting data from BBBSU's program | Records and                      | Case Management                     |
| BBBSU's Youth<br>Outcomes Survey                    | SHARP                               | Baseline from 2013               | SHARP data-                         |
|   | Baseline from<br>2013 SHARP         | as compared to                   | 2023 SHARP data                     |

## Area Plan Logic Model Utah – FY2018

|                                 |  |                              |  |                              | ľ                             |   |  |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Program Name: "Keepin' it REAL" | epin' it REAL"   |                              |  | Evidence Based:              | sed: (Y) N -National Registry |   | FY2018                                       |
| Provider Name: Boy:             | Provider Name: Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Salt Lake | ater Salt Lake               |  | Yearly Cost: \$21,654        | \$21,654                      |   |  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County          | nty  |                              |  |                              |                               |   |  |
|                                 | Goal   | Factors                      | Focus Population   | _                            | Strategies                    | Outcomes                                    |  |
|                                 |  |                              | U (S)  |                              |                               | Short Long                                  | δΦ   |
| Logic                           | Reduce underage<br>drinking, cigarette.                | Early Initiation of Drug Use | School age youth, ages 13 – 18, who are members, or recruited as | es 13 – 18, who<br>ruited as | min – 1x per week for         | Percent reporting Farly Initiation of       | Underage drinking will                       |
|                                 | and marijuana use.                                     | Perceived Risk of            | members, of Salt Lake City Boys &                                | ce City Boys &               | 10 weeks, 2x per year,        | Drug Use will                               | 2013 to 21% LTU in 2019,                     |
|                                 | Reduce underage  | Drug Use                     |  |                              | sites (Capitol West,          | 2013 to 15% in 2017,                        | Hispanic; 26% to 21%,                        |
|                                 | and marijuana use                                      |                              |  |                              | Lied, and Sugar House)        | all races; 33% to 28%, Hispanic: 20% to     | Black.                                       |
|                                 | among Hispanic and                                     |                              |  |                              |                               | 15%, Black.                                 | Underage cigarette use                       |
|                                 | Black youth.   |                              |  |                              |                               |   | will decrease from 15%                       |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               | Percent reporting                           | 2019 all races: 22% to                       |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               | Drug Use will                               | 17%, Hispanic; 17% to                        |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               | decrease from 37% in                        | 12% Black.                                   |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               | 2013 to 32% in 2017, all races: 50% to 45%. | Underage marijuana use                       |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               | Hispanic; 52% to                            | will decrease from 18%                       |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               | 47%, Black.                                 | LTU in 2013 to 13% LTU in                    |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               |   | 2019, all races; 27% to 22% Hispanic, 20% to |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               |   | 15%, Black.                                  |
| Measures &                      | 2013 SHARP Survey                                      | 2013 SHARP Survey            | Boys & Girls Club membership forms.                              | :mbership forms:             | Attendance records            | 2017 SHARP Survey                           | 2019 SHARP Survey                            |
| Sources                         |  |                              | Attendance data in electronic membership database.               | electronic<br>se.            |                               |   |  |
|                                 |  |                              |  |                              |                               |   |  |

## Area Plan Logic Model Utah – FY2018

| Program Name: "Pr      | Program Name: "Protecting You Protecting Me"           | ina Me"                         |  | Fyidence Based                           | Based: V N - National Registry       |   | EV2018   |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Provider Name: Boy     | Provider Name: Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Salt Lake | ater Salt Lake                  |  | Yearly Cost: \$21                        | ,654                                 |   |  |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      |   |  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | nty  |                                 |  |  |                                      |   |  |
|                        | Goal   | Factors                         | Focus Population   | ר  | Strategies                           | Outcomes                                  |  |
|                        |  | .,,,                            | С  | (S)                                      |                                      | Short Lo                                  | Long   |
| Logic                  | Reduce underage<br>drinking, cigarette,                | Early Initiation of<br>Drug Use | School age youth, ages $6-12$ , who are members, or recruited as members, of | ges 6 — 12, who are<br>ed as members, of | "Protecting You, Protecting Me" @ 60 | Percent reporting<br>Early Initiation of  | Underage drinking will decrease from 26% LTU in      |
|                        | and marijuana use.                                     | Perceived Risk of               | Salt Lake City Boys & Girls Clubs  | Girls Clubs.                             |                                      | Drug Use will decrease from 20%           | 2013 to 21% LTU in 2019, all races; 38% to 32%,      |
|                        | Reduce underage  | Drug Use                        |  |  | Boys & Girls Club sites              | in 2013 to 15% in                         | Hispanic; 26% to 21%,                                |
|                        | and marijuana use                                      |                                 |  |  | Sugar House)                         | to 28%, Hispanic;                         | Digital.   |
|                        | among Hispanic and<br>Black youth.                     |                                 |  |  |                                      | 20% to 15%, Black.                        | Underage cigarette use will decrease from 15% LTU in |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      | Percent reporting                         | 2013 to 10% LTU in 2019,                             |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      | Drug Use will                             | Hispanic; 17% to 12% Black.                          |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      | decrease from 37%                         | :  |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      | in 2013 to 32% in<br>2017, all races; 50% | will decrease from 18% LTU                           |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      | to 45%, Hispanic;                         | in 2013 to 13% LTU in 2019,                          |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      | 52% to 4/%, Black.                        | Hispanic, 20% to 15%,                                |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      |   | Black.   |
| Measures &             | 2013 SHARP Survey                                      | 2013 SHARP                      | Boys & Girls Club membership forms.  | embership forms.                         | Attendance records                   | 2017 SHARP Survey                         | 2019 SHARP Survey                                    |
| Sources                |  | Survey                          | Attendance data in electronic membership database.                           | electronic<br>se.                        |                                      |   |  |
|                        |  |                                 |  |  |                                      |   |  |

| Program Nam            | Program Name: Nuevo Dia Program                       | ;ram<br>   |   | Evidence Based  | ed Y -National Registry  | :ry FY2018   | 018  |
|------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Provider Nam           | Provider Name: Centro de la Familia de Utah           | milia de Utah                                      |   | Yearly Cost - \$59,000  | \$59,000   |  |  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | æ County  |  |   | •   |  |  |  |
|                        | Goal  | Factors  | Focus Population  | tion  | Strategies   | Outcomes   |  |
|                        |   |  | O S   |   |  | Short  | Long   |
| Logic                  | Reduce 30 day<br>underage drinking<br>in youth of all | *Early Initiation of the<br>Problem Behavior       | Eligible Latina students (ages 9 -12) and their mothers or parental figure in Rose Park Elementary. | Eligible Latina students (ages 9 -12)<br>and their mothers or parental figure<br>in Rose Park Elementary. | Strengthening Families<br>Program Curriculum at<br>Rose Park Elementary  | Results from child<br>Pre/Post Test will<br>show an increase in          | Reduce 30 day<br>underage drinking in<br>youth of all grades |
|                        | C   | *Favorable Attitudes<br>Toward Problem<br>Behavior | 15 youth will be s<br>every six months.   | 15 youth will be served in grades 3-6, every six months.  | 14 sessions based on curriculum; 2 additional sessions of guest speakers | peer-refusal skills to avoid adverse behavior, thus decreasing the early | from /% in 2013 to 5% in 2023.                               |
|                        |   |  |   |   | 1x a week, 4 hours   | initiation of the<br>problem behavior                                    |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   | activities other than just<br>life skills class) for 16                  | *Results from parent   |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   | of 5 months)   | demonstrate a<br>heightened  |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  | awareness of how their actions and                                       |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  | attitudes affect their   |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  | children – <b>favorable</b>  |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  | decreased in both  |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  | parents and children   |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|                        |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |

| Measures<br>& Sources                          |
|--|
| 2013 SHARP<br>Utah Report Card<br>Pew Hispanic |
| 2013 SHARP                                     |
| Attendance Records<br>Program Logs             |
| SHARP 2015 Attendance Records                  |
| Pre/Post Tests                                 |
| 2023 SHARP<br>Utah Report Card<br>Pew Hispanic |

| Program Name: 0        | Grandfamilies (GF) Kinship Care                 | nship Care   |                  | Evide                          | Evidence Based Y N -Tier 3 |                        | FY2018                        |                             |
|------------------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Provider Name: Ch      | Provider Name: Children's Service Society (CSS) | ety (CSS)    |                  | Yearh                          | Yearly Cost: \$27,744.00   | 744.00                 |                               |                             |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | unty  |              |                  |                                |                            |                        |                               |                             |
|                        | Goal  | Factors      | Focus Population | ulation                        |                            | Strategies             | Outcomes                      |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            |                        | Short                         | Long                        |
|                        |   |              | C                | IN                             | _                          |                        |                               |                             |
| Logic                  | Prevent use of                                  | Parental     | Kinship Ca       | Kinship Caregivers and the     | the                        | Services at CSS        | 75% of                        | Reported                    |
|                        | Alcohol in a                                    | Attitudes    | children o       | children of relatives they are | ey are                     | -                      | participants                  | Lifetime Use                |
|                        | Second  | Favorable to | raising          |                                |                            | Assessment             | children are                  | of Alcohol for              |
|                        |   | Behavior     |                  |                                |                            | GF Kinship             | safer, free of                | SL County will              |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | Caregiver groups       | parental                      | decrease                    |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | 2 Series of 10-wk      | attitudes                     | 170m 10 % In 2013 to 7 % in |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | sessions, 90           | antisocial                    | 2017                        |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | minutes each           | behaviors                     |                             |
|                        |   | Family       |                  |                                |                            | GF Children's          | Percentage                    |                             |
|                        |   | Attachment   |                  |                                |                            | Groups                 | reporting                     |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | 2 Series of 10-wk      | in family                     |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | sessions at 90         | attachment                    |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | minutes each           | and functioning will increase |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | Monthly Friend 2       | from 89% in                   |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | Friend prosocial       | 2012 to 95 % in               |                             |
|                        |   |              |                  |                                |                            | activities,12 @ 90 min | 2015                          |                             |

|        | Survey       |            |                    |                |        |            |
|--------|--------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|------------|
|        | Parents      |            |                    | Parents Survey |        |            |
|        | Relatives as |            |                    | Relatives as   |        |            |
| 2017   |              |            |                    |                | 2013   |            |
| SURVEY | Assessillent | Necoldo    |                    | Assessillelit  | SURVEY | Soulces    |
| SHARP  | GF Global    | Attendance | Attendance Records | GF Global      | SHARP  | Measures & |

| Program Name: Life S Provider Name: Grani LSAA; Salt Lake County Goal Logic 1. Rec | Granite School District (GSD)  County  Goal  Factors  Goal  1. Reduce 30- Reduce the 1 day alcohol risk factors: | Sistrict (GSD) Factors Reduce the following risk factors:  | Focus Population  GSD 10 <sup>th</sup> grade  students who vio                                  | Evidence Based Y-Nation  Yearly Cost: _\$ 40,866_  Yearly Cost: _\$ 40,866_  Strategies  1. Provide ho violate Botvin Life | ed Y-National Registry \$ 40,866_  Strategies Outc  1. Provide 1. Re Botvin Life failu  | gistry FY2018  Outcomes  Short Long  1. Reduce Academic failure amongst 10 <sup>th</sup>  | 8 1. Reduce 30-day  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
|  | 1. Reduce 30-day alcoholuse amongst focus population. 1. Reduce 30-day marijuana use amongst focus population.   | Reduce the following risk factors:  1. Academic failure (school domain)  2. Low commitment to school (school domain)  3. Low neighborhood attachment (community) | GSD 10 <sup>th</sup> grade<br>students who violate<br>GSD Safe and Drug-<br>Free School policy. | ade<br>ho violate<br>nd Drug-<br>l policy.   | <ol> <li>Provide Botvin Life Skills to fidelity.</li> <li>Provide all 12 45-minute Life Skills lessons during each 45-day school term.</li> </ol> | 1. Reduce Academic failure amongst 10 <sup>th</sup> grade students from 38.3% in 2013 to 36.4% in 2017.  2. Reduce low commitment to school from 36.7% in 2013 to 34.8% in 2017.  3. Reduce low neighborhood attachment from 42.2% in 2013 to 40.1% in 2017 | 1. Reduce 30-day alcohol use amongst 10 <sup>th</sup> grade students from 12.3% in 2013 to 11.1% in 2023. 2. Reduce 30-day marijuana use amongst 10 <sup>th</sup> grade students from 14.1% in 2013 to 12.7% in 2023. |

|          |                   | records.    |                 |                |          |            |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|------------|
|          |                   | attendance  |                 |                |          |            |
| results. |                   | and         |                 |                |          |            |
| SHARP    |                   | curriculum  |                 |                | Report   |            |
| 2023 GSD | SHARP results.    | Skills      |                 | Report         | SHARP    | Sources    |
| 2013 and | 2013 and 2017 GSD | Botvin Life | Program Records | 2013 GSD SHARP | 2013 GSD | Measures & |

| Program Name:          | Program Name: Leadership and Resiliency Program | siliency Program            |                                 | Evide   | Evidence Based           | Y N -National Registry                      |                                   | FY2018                           |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Provider Name:         | Provider Name: Housing Opportunities Inc        | ities Inc.                  |                                 | Yearl   | Yearly Cost: \$46,748.76 | ,748.76                                     |                                   |                                  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | ounty   |                             |                                 |   |                          |   |                                   |                                  |
|                        | Goal  | Factors                     | Focus Population                | oulation  |                          | Strategies                                  | Outcomes                          |                                  |
|                        |   |                             | C                               | S   | r—                       |   | Short                             | Long                             |
| Logic                  | Reduce the risk of substance abuse              | Low academic<br>achievement | 130 low-inco<br>living in publi | 130 low-income, at-risk youth ages 12-18 living in public housing and The Bud | h ages 12-18<br>The Bud  | Academics                                   | 85% of participants will          | Reduce lifetime<br>Marijuana use |
|                        | among youth ages                                | Low commitment to           | Bailey Apartr                   | Bailey Apartment Community located in Salt Lake County owned and managed      | y located in             | Youth Counselors and volunteers will help   | increase their                    | among 12 <sup>th</sup>           |
|                        |   | school                      | by The Hous                     | by The Housing Authority of the County  | the County               | youth with academic                         | achievement by                    | 13.6% to 8% in                   |
|                        |   | Anti-social behavior        | 0                               |   |                          | homework completion                         | grades above a                    |                                  |
|                        |   | Favorable attitudes         |                                 |   |                          | according to the developmental levels for   | C average                         | Reduce 30 day alcohol use        |
|                        |   | towards ATOD use            |                                 |   |                          | the first 45 minutes of                     | Youth will                        | among 12 <sup>th</sup>           |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | program.                                    | increase their                    | graders from                     |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          |   | commitment to                     | 17.5% to 10% in                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | <u>Leadership and</u><br>Resiliency Program | school from 70% to 85%            | 2023                             |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | As described on NREP                        | Youth will have                   |                                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | the Leadership and                          | decreased their                   |                                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | Resiliency Program                          | risk of interaction               |                                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | uses the following                          | with anti-social                  |                                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | components:                                 | peers by 15%                      |                                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | The Leadership and                          | 85% of youth will                 |                                  |
|                        |   |                             |                                 |   |                          | be implemented for 48                       | report that they do not intend to |                                  |

|  | _                |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|------------|
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
| Ser<br>act<br>opp<br>soc   | Se               | res<br>niki  | act<br>dev<br>skil   | A Act  | sut<br>ma<br>ass  | we inte  | m P G                             | Pe inc  | weeks      |
| Service Learning activities will proviopportunities for proceed involvements are invite                  | Service Learning | resilency groups<br>active setting su<br>ropes courses, y<br>hiking trips, etc.        | vities w<br>elop po<br>ls as we<br>skills le   | Alternative<br>Activities<br>Alternative               | substance use<br>management,<br>assertiveness               | weekly for 2 hours week with highly interactive group activities focusing                  | Peer Groups are implemented twice | The Leade Resiliency includes:                  | eks in a   |
| Service Learning activities will provide opportunities for prosocial involvement. Parents are invited to | arning           | resiliency groups in an active setting such as ropes courses, yoga, hiking trips, etc. | activities will work to<br>develop positive coping<br>skills as well as develop<br>the skills learned in | Alternative Adventure Activities Alternative adventure | substance use, anger management, assertiveness skills, etc. | weekly for 2 hours each<br>week with highly<br>interactive group<br>activities focusing on | Peer Groups are implemented twice | The Leadership and Resiliency Program includes: | in a year, |
| Lto de   |                  | as<br>Ja,  | to<br>oping<br>velop   | ure ure  | ger<br>s, etc.  | each<br>on   |                                   | ⇒ ₫   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   | use        |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   | use drugs. |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |
|  |                  |  |  |  |   |  |                                   |   |            |

|                       |                                       | Daily Activity Log  |                    |               |            |            |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|                       | Parent and Youth Satisfaction Surveys | LRP pre/post<br>evaluations<br>Parent and Youth<br>Satisfaction Surveys |                    |               |            | -          |
| Annual<br>Comparisons | LRP Pre/Post<br>Evaluations           | Homework Completion<br>Records  |                    | Archival Data |            | Sources    |
| 2023 SHARP            | SHARP Data                            | Attendance Records  | Attendance Records | 2013 SHARP    | 2013 SHARP | Measures & |
|                       |                                       | participate.  |                    |               |            |            |

| Program Name: I        | Program Name: Parents as Teachers Program | s Program            |                  | Evide  | Evidence Based          | Y N -National Registry                           |                               | FY2018                           |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Provider Name: I       | Provider Name: Housing Opportunities Inc. | ties Inc.            |                  | Year   | Yearly Cost: \$32,376   | .,376  |                               |                                  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | ounty                                     |                      |                  |  |                         |  |                               |                                  |
|                        | Goal                                      | Factors              | Focus Population | pulation   |                         | Strategies                                       | Outcomes                      |                                  |
|                        |   |                      | C                | IN   | 1                       |  | Short                         | Long                             |
| Logic                  | Reduce the risk for future Marijuana      | Family Management    | 35 families w    | 35 families with children 0-5 living in the nine public housing communities for low- | living in the           | As described by NREP the Parents as Teachers     | 85% of participants who       | Reduce lifetime<br>Marijuana use |
|                        | and alcohol use in households with        | involvement in the   | The Housing      | income families owned and managed by<br>The Housing Authority of the County of       | managed by<br>County of | Program consists of the following four           | attend /0% of the home visits | graders from                     |
|                        | children 0-5                              | Tamily and community | Salt Lake.       |  |                         | components:                                      | will increase their family    | 17.2% to 10% in<br>2023          |
|                        |   | Family Attachment    |                  |  |                         | Personal Visits                                  | management                    | Dodino lifetimo                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | Personal visits consist of                       | to 90%                        | Alcohol use                      |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | 45 minute, monthly in                            |                               | among 10 <sup>th</sup>           |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | from the Parents as                              | participants who              | 28.9% to 20% in                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | Teachers curriculum that                         | attend 70% of                 | 2023                             |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | child's development and                          | will increase their           |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | age. Parent educators                            | opportunity for               |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | with the family, discuss                         | involvement in                |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | child development and                            | the family from               |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | parenting practices. The parent educator is also | 70% to 90%                    |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | able to engage in                                | 85% of                        |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         | parent-child activities                          | attend 70% of                 |                                  |
|                        |   |                      |                  |  |                         |  |                               |                                  |

| Group Co<br>Monthly,                    | parent educato track developm progress throug ongoing trackin developmental milestones.                      | During the pare provide about the pare to the pare  |   | program conducts educator following 1. De   | Screenings One screen   | and surrinformat up from to reinfo knowled                 |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Group Connections  Monthly, two hour on | parent educator will also track developmental progress through ongoing tracking of developmental milestones. | During the screenings, the parent educator will provide information about the child's health to the parent. The | progress regarding cognitive, language, social-emotional and motor skills Vision Hearing Health | program year is conducted by the parent educator for each of the following areas: following areas: attend 70% of the home visits will increase their family attachment from from the parent the home visits attachment from from the program year is the home visits attachment from from the program year is the home visits attachment from from the parent | Screenings Screenings Screenings One screening per participants who | arize new n and follow evious visits s parent and parental |
|   |  |   |   |   |   |  |

| delays. | with developmental | intervention for children | specializing in early | organizations | community | professionals and | health and mental health | community activities, | resources such as | with community | helps connect families | The parent educator | Resource Network | community settings. | and events in | opportunities to | each other, and | to meet with and support | opportunities for parents | development, | parenting and child | knowledge relating to | activities to promote | also provide structured | The parent educator will | community resources. | development and | child interactions, child | parenting skills, parent | information about | educator provides | in which the parent | location, group meetings |
|---------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
|         |                    | en                        |                       |               |           |                   | alth                     |                       |                   |                | 8                      |                     |                  |                     |               |                  |                 | ont                      | nts                       |              |                     |                       |                       | ă.                      | will                     |                      |                 | <u> </u>                  | 14                       |                   |                   |                     | ngs                      |

|  | Sources                     | Measures &         |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|  |                             | 2013 SHARP         |
|  | Archival Data               | 2013 SHARP         |
|  | Attendance Records          | Enrollment Records |
| Personal Visit Records Group Connection Activity Log | PAT pre/post evaluations    | Attendance Records |
| Satisfaction Surveys Group Connection Surveys        | PAT Pre/Post<br>Evaluations | SHARP Data         |
|  | Annual<br>Comparisons       | 2023 SHARP         |

| Program Name:          | Too Good for Dru   | Program Name: Too Good for Drugs and Violence Kids Program | Program  | Evid   | Evidence Based                             | Y N -National Registry  |   | FY2018   |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Provider Name:         | Provider Name: Housing Opportunities Inc                                   | ities Inc.   |  | Year   | Yearly Cost: \$57,624                      | 7,624   |   |  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | County   |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |
|                        | Goal   | Factors  | Focus Population   | ulation  |  | Strategies  | Outcomes  |  |
|                        |  |  | <b>C</b>   | IN   | -  |   | Short   | Long   |
| Logic                  | Reduce the risk for future Marijuana and alcohol use in children ages 5-12 | Pro-social involvement in the family and community         | 150 low incor<br>living in 5 put<br>located in Sal<br>managed by | 150 low income, at-risk youth ages 5-12 living in 5 public housing complexes located in Salt Lake County owned and managed by The Housing Authority of | th ages 5-12 mplexes owned and uthority of | The program will be held for two hours, three times a week at each of the public housing  | Increase<br>opportunities for<br>pro-social<br>involvement in | Reduce lifetime<br>Marijuana use<br>among 10 <sup>th</sup><br>graders from |
|                        |  | Family Attachment Early initiation of drug                 | the County of Salt Lake  | Salt Lake  |  | communities. Academics  | the community to<br>90%                                       | 17.2% to 10% in<br>2023  |
|                        |  | use  |  |  |  | Valida Daniela de La Carta de | Increase family   | Reduce lifetime  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | volunteers will help  | attachment youth from 70% to 90%                              | Alcohol use<br>among 10 <sup>th</sup>                                      |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | youth with academic   | Increase  | graders from   |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | developmental levels for  | opportunities for   | 2023   |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | the first 45 minutes of   | pro-social  |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | children will work on   | the family from   |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | letter, number and word   | 70% to 90%  |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | recognition. Older  |   |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | children will work on   | 90% of youth will   |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | partner reading, story  | report that they  |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | etc.  | use drugs.  |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |
|                        |  |  |  |  |  | on homework   |   |  |

| Measures & Sources   |   |
|--|---|
| 2008 HACSL<br>Needs Assessment   |   |
| Archival Data  |   |
| Allendance Records   |   |
| Homework Completion Records TGFD/V pre/post evaluations Parent and Youth Satisfaction Surveys Daily Activity Log | TIGEDV  Youth Counselors will use the interactive, model curriculum Too Good For Drugs and Violence (TGFDV) to decrease risk factors and increase protective factors. The curriculum focuses on life building skills such as goal setting, decision making and communication.  Field Trips will be held once a month for all five complexes as an incentive for positive behavior and an opportunity for youth to become involved in the community. |
| TGFD/V Pre/Post Evaluations Parent and Youth Satisfaction Surveys  |   |
| Annual<br>Comparisons  |   |

| Esmiliac Drogram   |   |                                       | < N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N                                 | rainter.   | EV2018   |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| enter of Salt Lake |   | Yearly Cost <b>\$1</b> 5              |   | 0  |  |
|                    |   |                                       |   |  |  |
| Factors            | Focus Populat   | ion                                   | Strategies  | Outcomes<br>Short  | Long   |
|                    | <u>S</u>  | 1                                     |   |  |  |
| Early initiation   | American Indi   | an and Alaska                         | Strengthening   | Reduce early   | Reduce   |
| of alcohol use     | Native Youth :  | зges 6-18 from                        | Families  | initiation of  | Underage   |
| Opportunities      | Salt Lake Cour  | ηty                                   | Program   | alcohol from   | Drinking from  |
| Tor Prosocial      |   |                                       |   | O1 STO7 MOJI   | 30% IN 2013 to   |
| Involvement        |   |                                       |   | /102   | 25% IN 2018  |
| (D                 |   |                                       |   | Increase   | be reduced by  |
|                    |   |                                       |   | opportunities  | 5% in 2015-16  |
|                    |   |                                       |   | for prosocial  | Reduce   |
|                    |   |                                       |   | involvement  | Commercial   |
|                    |   |                                       |   | from 2015 to   | Tobacco  |
|                    |   |                                       |   | 2017   | Abuse from   |
|                    |   |                                       |   |  | 26% in 2013  |
|                    |   |                                       |   |  | to 21%   |
|                    |   |                                       |   |  | In 2017  |
|                    | Program Name: Strengthening Families Program Provider Name: Urban Indian Center of Salt Lake  LSAA; Salt Lake County  Goal  Reduce Ilifetime underage drinking and commercial tobacco abuse  Factors  Early initiation of alcohol use Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | Focus Populatic  U San American India Rative Youth ages Salt Lake Count | Focus Population  Focus Population  Focus Population  Strategic  Mamerican Indian and Alaska Native Youth ages 6-18 from Salt Lake County  Salt Lake County  Focus Population  Strength Families Program | Focus Population  Focus Population  Focus Population  Mamerican Indian and Alaska Native Youth ages 6-18 from Salt Lake County  Salt Lake County  Focus Population  Strategies  Strategies  Strategies  Strategies  Strategies  Strategies  Strategies  Outcomes Short  Families  Program  From 2015 to 2017  Increase Opportunities for prosocial involvement from 2015 to 2017 |

|               |                | Curriculum    |                    |            |            |            |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|               |                | Program       |                    |            |            |            |
|               | be created)    | Families      |                    |            |            |            |
| Survey        | Survey (yet to | Strengthening |                    |            |            |            |
| Center Youth  | Center Youth   |               |                    |            |            |            |
| Urban Indian  | Urban Indian   | Records       |                    |            |            |            |
| SHARP Survey  | SHARP Survey   | Attendance    | Attendance Records |            |            | Sources    |
| 2015 and 2017 | 2015 and 2017  | Program Logs  | Program Logs       | 2013 SHARP | 2013 SHARP | Measures & |

| Program Name           | Program Name: Communities Empowering Parents | ering Parents   |  | Evidence Based?   | ed? Yes -Tier 4  | FY20187                                |                                    |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| Provider Name          | Provider Name: Project Reality               |   |  | Yearly Cost: \$103  | \$101, 875.05  |  |                                    |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | County                                       |   |  |   |  |  |                                    |
|                        | Goal   | Factors   | Focus Population   | ation   | Strategies   | Outcomes                               |                                    |
|                        |  |   | C  | t=  |  | Short L                                | Long                               |
| Logic                  | 30   | 1. Poor family management (PFM)   | -Parents and primary caretakers of elementary and adolescent aged children (2- 17 years old) in Salt La County | Parents and primary caretakers of elementary and adolescent aged children (2- 17 years old) in Salt Lake County | 20 hours of interactive, parenting classes using Communities | Among youth ages 12 and older:         | Among youth ages 12 and older:     |
|                        | 3. Marijuana                                 | <ol><li>High levels of family conflicts</li></ol>                               | -Indicated high-risk multicultural   | k multicultural   | Curriculum (site coordinators                                | 1. Percent reporting PFM will decrease | 1. Underage                        |
|                        | Among youth ages 12 and older                | <ol> <li>Parental attitudes<br/>favorable to drug</li> </ol>                    | families from Salt Lake County   | Lake County   | choose one of the following options)                         | from 36% in 2013 to 30% in 2015        | drinking, 30<br>day use, will      |
|                        |  | 4. Low family   |  |   | 2.5 hours, 1X wk, for 8                                      | 2. Percent reporting                   | from 13% in                        |
|                        |  | attachment 5. Parental attitudes  |  |   | 2 hours, 1X week for 10 weeks                                | decrease from 32% in                   | by 2019                            |
|                        |  | social behavior   |  |   | Held in community sites                                      |  | 2. Underage                        |
|                        |  | <ol><li>Adolescents<br/>attitudes favorable</li></ol>                           |  |   | Salt Lake County   | Parental attitudes                     | smoking, 30                        |
|                        |  | to anti-social  |  |   | -Concurrent classes for all members of the                   | will decrease from 12%                 | decrease                           |
|                        |  | <ol><li>Early initiation of</li></ol>   |  |   | family:<br>- Parents   | in 2013 to 11% in 2015                 | from 5% in<br>2013 to 3%           |
|                        |  | adolescents   |  |   | - Adolescents  | -                                      | by 2019                            |
|                        |  | 8. Low perceived risk   |  |   |  | family attachment will                 | 3, Marijuana                       |
|                        |  | <ol><li>poor rewards for</li></ol>  |  |   |  | 2013 to 68% in 2015                    | use, will                          |
|                        |  | prosocial<br>involvement P  |  |   |  |  | decrease                           |
|                        |  | <ol> <li>Lack of<br/>opportunities for<br/>prosocial<br/>involvement</li> </ol> |  |   |  | (Continued next page)                  | from/% in<br>2013 to 5% in<br>2019 |

| וו צעוט עו רטא ווו צעוט | 10. Percent reporting Opportunities for prosocial involvement will increase from 68% | 9.Percent reporting<br>Rewards for prosocial<br>involvement will<br>increase from 54% in<br>2013 to 57% in 2015 | 8. Percent reporting<br>perceived risk of drug<br>use will increase from<br>36% in 2013 to 39% in<br>2015 | 7. Percent reporting early initiation of drug use will decrease from 20% in 2013 to 18% in 2015 | 6. Percent reporting Attitudes favorable to anti-social behavior will decrease from 32% in 2013 to 31% in 2015 | Parental attitudes favorable to anti-social behavior will decrease from 30% in 2013 to 29% in 2015 |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| -                       | 11 9 H   | <u>a</u>  | ਜੁੱ ਹੈ ©<br>  | ± 3 €   | -: <u>i</u> <u>vii</u> o   | se <u>на</u>   |

|                                    |                        |              |                                 |            | Sources                |                    | Measures &                     |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                    |                        |              |                                 |            |                        |                    | 2013 SHARP Survey              |
|                                    |                        |              | Program participant self-report | Records    | Program and Attendance | class participants | CEP Pre/Post Test for parent   |
|                                    |                        |              |                                 |            |                        |                    | Program and Attendance Records |
|                                    |                        |              |                                 |            |                        | attendance Records | Program and                    |
| Program participant<br>self-report | Behavior Rating Scales | participants | CEP Pre/Post-Test for           | records    | Program attendance     |                    | 2015 SHARP Survey              |
|                                    |                        |              | Survey                          | 2019 SHARP | self-report            | participant        | Program                        |

| Program Name           | Program Name: Communities Empowering Parents | ering Parents                                  | Evide   | Evidence Based?    | Yes -Tier 4   | FY2018                                   |                          |
|------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Provider Name:         | Provider Name: Project Reality               |  | Year  | Yearly Cost: \$125 | 25,000  |  |                          |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | County                                       |  |   |                    |   |  |                          |
|                        | Goal   | Factors  | Focus Population  |                    | Strategies  | mes                                      |                          |
|                        |  |  | N C   | -                  |   | Short                                    | Long                     |
| Logic                  | 30   | <ol> <li>Poor family<br/>management</li> </ol> | -Parents and primary caretakers of elementary and adolescent aged |                    | 20 hours of interactive, parenting classes using        | Among youth ages 12 and older:           | Among youth ages 12 and  |
|                        | 2. Tobacco<br>3. Marijuana                   | (PFM)  2. High levels of                       | County  |                    | Empowering Parents Curriculum                           | 1. Percent reporting                     | older                    |
|                        |  | 3. Parental attitudes                          | from Salt Lake County   |                    | (site cooldinators choose one of the following options) | from 36 % in 2013 to 30% in 2015         | drinking, 30             |
|                        |  | use  |   |                    | 2.5 hours, 1X wk for 8                                  | :  | decrease                 |
|                        | Among youth ages 12 and                      | <ol> <li>Low family<br/>attachment</li> </ol>  |   | <b>.</b>           | weeks or  | family conflicts will                    | 2013 to 8%               |
|                        | טומפו  | <ol><li>Parental attitudes</li></ol>           |   | 1 2                | weeks   | decrease from 32% in                     | by 2019                  |
|                        |  | favorable to anti-<br>social behavior          |   |                    | Held in community sites                                 | 7010 (0 00 %)    7010                    | 2. Underage              |
|                        |  | 6. Adolescents                                 |   | () (a)             | Salt Lake County  | Percent reporting     Parental attitudes | cigarette<br>smoking, 30 |
|                        |  | to anti-social                                 |   |                    | -Concurrent classes for                                 | favorable to drug use                    | day use, will            |
|                        |  |  |   |                    | all members of the family:                              | will decrease from 12% in 2013 to 11% in | from 5% in               |
|                        |  | drug use by                                    |   |                    | - Parents   | 2015                                     | 2013 to 3%               |
|                        |  |  |   |                    | - Adolescents<br>- Elementary age                       | A Dorontino                              | by 2019                  |
|                        |  | 8. Low perceived risk                          |   |                    | - Pre-school age  | Percent reporting family attachment will | 3. Marijuana             |
|                        |  |  |   |                    |   | increase from 66% in                     | use, 30 day              |
|                        |  | prosocial                                      | 0   |                    |   | 2013 to 68% in 2015                      | use, will                |
|                        |  | involvement P                                  |   |                    |   |  | from7% in                |
|                        |  |  |   |                    |   |  | 2013 to 5% in            |
|                        |  | involvement                                    |   |                    |   | (Continued next page)                    | 2018                     |
|                        |  |  |   |                    |   |  |                          |

|   | N = = 7 (0  | N () E T M  | N N E M N   | =: () < () > ()   | N 7 F 7 F 8  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 10. Percent reporting Opportunities for prosocial involvement will increase from 68% in 2013 to 70% in 2015 | 9.Percent reporting<br>Rewards for prosocial<br>involvement will<br>increase from 54% in<br>2013 to 57% in 2015 | 8. Percent reporting perceived risk of drug use will increase from 36% in 2013 to 39% in 2015 | 7. Percent reporting early initiation of drug use will decrease from 20% in 2013 to 16% in 2015 | 6. Percent reporting<br>Attitudes favorable to<br>anti-social behavior<br>will decrease from<br>32% in 2013 to 31%<br>in 2015 | 5. Percent reporting Parental attitudes Parental attitudes favorable to anti-social behavior will decrease from 30% in 2013 to 29% in 2015 |
| porting<br>for<br>vement<br>om 68%  | orting<br>rosocial<br>III<br>54% in   | orting<br>of drug<br>se from<br>) 39% in  | orting<br>of drug<br>ise from<br>18% in   | able to avior rom   | orting des iti-social ecrease  |

|  |                 |                              |                           | Sources                           | Measures &                                      |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
|  |                 |                              |                           |                                   | 2013 SHARP Survey                               |
|  |                 | report                       | Program participant self- | Program and Attendance<br>Records | CEP Pre/Post Test for parent class participants |
|  |                 |                              |                           |                                   | Program and Attendance Records                  |
|  |                 |                              |                           |                                   | Program and attendance Records                  |
| Scales Program participant self-report | Behavior Rating | parent class<br>participants | CEP Pre/Post-Test for     | Program attendance records        | 2015 SHARP Survey                               |
|  |                 |                              | Survey                    | self-report                       | Program<br>participant                          |

| Program Name: Promise So | Promise South Sa  | Program Name: Promise South Salt Lake Substance Abuse |   | Evidence Based               | Y -National Registry  | gistry  | FY2018   |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Provider Name: (         | Provider Name: City of South Salt Lake                                    | Lake  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                               | Yearly Cost: <u>\$45,000</u> | 5,000   |   |  |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County   | ounty   |   |   |                              |   |   |  |
|                          | Goal  | Factors   | Focus Population  |                              | Strategies  | Outcomes  |  |
|                          | r   | 3   | S 🔲 🗆   | _                            |   |   | 0  |
| Logic                    | Reduce<br>Underage<br>Drinking  | Attitudes favorable to drug use                       | 82 K-6 Participants in Lincoln<br>Elementary Afterschool<br>Program | ts in Lincoln<br>school      | Too Good for<br>Drugs@ Lincoln<br>Afterschool                     | % reporting Attitudes favorable to                              | Underage Drinking (among the                                       |
|                          |   |   |   |                              | Program 60 min lessons & supporting activities 4x/week x 38 weeks | drug use will decrease from 44.6% in 2013 to 34.4% in 2017      | target group) will decrease from 5.9% in 2013 to .09% in 2019      |
| Measures & Sources       | 2013 SHARP<br>Report for<br>Lincoln Elem<br>(ATOD During<br>past 30 Days) | 2013 SHARP<br>NREPP<br>research/program<br>report     | Program daily activity sheets<br>Attendance records                 | tivity sheets<br>rds         | Daily Activity<br>Reports,<br>Attendance<br>Records               | 2013 SHARP (Baseline), Benchmark: % reported in 2015 R&P Survey | 2013 SHARP as compared to 2019 SHARP for Lincoln Elementary School |

| OI C  |   | U S □   |   |  |                    |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Outcomes  | Strategies  | Focus Population  | Factors                                     | Goal                                     |                    |
| 2013 SHARP (Baseline), Benchmark: % reported in 2015 R&P Survey   | Daily Activity<br>Reports,<br>Attendance<br>Records   | Program daily activity sheets Attendance records Program/CTC Risk and Protective Factors Survey | 2013 SHARP<br>NREPP research<br>for program | 2013 SHARP<br>Report for<br>Lincoln Elem | Measures & Sources |
| Short  Short  % of youth reporting Attitudes favorable to antisocial behavior will decrease from 41.1% in 2013 to 31.4% in 2017 | Positive Action Program @ Lincoln Afterschool Program 60 min lessons & supporting activities 4x/week x 38 weeks | US   1  82 Participants in Lincoln Elementary Afterschool Program                               | Attitudes favorable to antisocial behavior  | Reduce<br>Marijuana<br>Use               | Logic              |

| Measures &<br>Sources   | Logic  |
|---|--|
| 2013 SHARP<br>Report for<br>Lincoln Elem                                    | Reduce<br>Prescription<br>Drug Abuse   |
| 2013 SHARP<br>NREPP research<br>for program                                 | Poor Family<br>Management  |
| Attendance records  Program/CTC Risk and  Protective Factors Parent  Survey | Families of the 82 Participants in Lincoln Elementary Afterschool Program  |
| Attendance<br>Records   | Deliver Strengthening Families Program to 10 Lincoln Families 10 lessons @ 1 lesson per week lessons x 3 hours + supporting activities |
| 2013 (Baseline) SHARP Benchmark: % reported in 2015 R&P Survey              | Poor Family Management will decrease from 72.8% in 2013 to 62% in 2017   |
| 2013 SHARP as compared to 2019 SHARP for Lincoln Elementary School          | Prescription Drug Abuse will decrease from 4.8% in 2013 to 2.4% in 2019  |

| Program Na | Program Name: YouthWorks                   |   |  |   |                                  | -Tier 2  | FY2018   |   |
|------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| LSAA: Salt | Salt Lake County                           |   |  |   |                                  |  |  |   |
|            | 2  | Risk /Protective  | Focu   | Focus Population  | on                               | Strategies   | Outo   | Outcomes  |
|            | GCa  | Factor(s)   | Univ   | × <u>sel</u>  | Ind                              | (Includes dosage)  | Short (factors- with how much change)                                    | Long<br>(goal- with how much change)                |
|            | Prevent and or decrease                    | RF1: Attitudes<br>Favorable to Anti-social                | High Risk youth ages 14-18 residing in West Salt Lake County, exhibiting one or more of the following characteristics: | ith ages 14-18 ake County, e f the following  | residing<br>xhibiting            | Provide four, 12-week sessions with 15 hours of life skills and 5 hours of social skills per M, T, W, TH work week.  | Reduced or no ATOD use by<br>2% by 2019                                  | Decrease in ATOD use within 12 months YouthWorks    |
|            | use of alcohol, tobacco<br>and other drugs | behavior (Peer<br>Individual Domain)                      | Truancy, low commitment to school academic failure, gang involvement, juvenile court involvement, ethnic               | Truancy, low commitment to school, academic failure, gang involvement, invenile court involvement, ethnic | o school,<br>vement,<br>ethnic   | Community building pre-<br>employment activities will enhance<br>the youth's perception of   | Increased neighborhood & community attachment by 10% by 2019             | program completion 10% Decrease in ATOD use by 2023 |
|            |  | RF2: Perceived Risk of<br>Drug Use ( Peer                 | minority, immigrant/refugee, low-income (80% below AMI),   | igrant/refugee<br>below AMI),   | , low-                           | opportunities for pro-social activities.   | Increased rewards for prosocial involvement in                           | 7020  |
|            |  | Individual Domain)  RF3: Early Initiation of              | disenfranchised, experimenting with<br>drugs and alcohol, living in a family<br>or community with high exposure to     | d, experiment<br>shol, living in<br>with high exp   | ing with<br>a family<br>osure to | Youths who perceive more opportunities for involvement in prosocial activities are more likely to  | Neighborhoods by 10% by 2019   |   |
|            |  | (Peer Individual Domain)                                  | At-risk youth being referred from:   | being referred  | from:                            | likely to commit crime and use drugs. Youths who earn money, school credit and skills to identify and  | Increased rewards for prosocial involvement in School  Domain: Increased |   |
|            |  | social Involvement: Creating Neighborhood                 | City School District All High Schools within the Granite   | strict<br>ols within the O  | Granite                          | community will feel a greater sense of reward and recognition for involvement in pro-social activities   | 10% 2019   |   |
|            |  | Domain)   | Horizonte Instruction and Training Center  | ruction and Tr  | aining                           | in the community and are more likely to participate in such activities and less likely to commit crime and use   |  |   |
| Logic      |  | organization (Community Domain)                           | innovations Early College Preparation High School Boys and Girls Clubs of Greater Salt                                 | Clubs of Grea   | eparation<br>ter Salt            | drugs.  Youths who report stronger   |  |   |
|            |  | PF3: Rewards for prosocial involvement in (School Domain) | Juvenile Justice Services Division of Child and Family Services  | e Services<br>Id and Family   | Services                         | emotional bonds to peers that engage in pro-social behaviors and abstain from drug use and delinquent behavior are less likely to use drugs or engage in delinquent behavior themselves. |  |   |
|            |  |   |  |   |                                  | Youths who are involved in frequent pro-social community and educational activities are less likely to use drugs.  |  |   |
|            |  |   |  |   |                                  | Youths who have accurate information regarding the low drug use rates among their peers are less like to use drugs.  |  |   |
|            |  |   |  |   |                                  | Youths whose school performance is closely monitored and tied to employment will perceive greater rewards for school involvement and   |  |   |

| Measure<br>&<br>Sources   |  |
|---|--|
| SHARP test Pre/ Post Test: Thinking for a Change Pre/ Post Program Tests YASSI Test   |  |
| SHARP test Baseline: RF1: 34% RF2: 46% RF3: 20% PF1: 54% PF2: 54% PF3: 61% Pre/ Post Test: Thinking for a Change Pre/ Post Program Tests YASSI Test |  |
| SHARP test Pre/ Post Test: Thinking for a Change Pre/ Post Program Tests YASSI Test Program Attendance  |  |
| Registration – Interview process  | will have more motivation and commitment to school and therefore improved academic performance. This increases their likelihood of employment and decreases the likelihood of crime and drugs.  Youths who receive additional resources for academic work will improve academic performance, increasing self-esteem, motivation and commitment to school and therefore perceive greater rewards for school involvement. This increases their likelihood of employment and decreases the likelihood of femployment and decreases their likelihood of lively self-esteem and drugs.  Youths who have goals to keep them from getting involved in the juvenile justice system are less likely to committerimes. |
| SHARP test Baseline and Post<br>Pre/ Post Test: Thinking for a<br>Change<br>Pre/ Post Program Tests<br>Attendance Records                           |  |
| 3, 6 and 9 month follow up<br>surveys<br>12 month Survey<br>SHARP Test  |  |

| Measures & Sources  | Logic   |                       | Program Name: Promise Sc<br>Prevention Program (SAPP)<br>Provider Name: City of Sout<br>LSAA; Salt Lake County   |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| 2013 SHARP<br>Report for<br>Lincoln Elem<br>(ATOD During<br>past 30 Days) | Reduce<br>Underage<br>Drinking  | Goal                  | Program Name: Promise South Salt La<br>Prevention Program (SAPP)<br>Provider Name: City of South Salt Lake<br>LSAA; Salt Lake County                   |
| 2013 SHARP  NREPP  research/program  report                               | Attitudes<br>favorable to drug<br>use   | Factors               | Program Name: Promise South Salt Lake Substance Abuse<br>Prevention Program (SAPP)<br>Provider Name: City of South Salt Lake<br>LSAA; Salt Lake County |
| Program daily activity sheets Attendance records                          | 82 K-6 Participants in Lincoln<br>Elementary Afterschool<br>Program                                       | Focus Population  U S |  |
| activity sheets<br>ords   | ants in Lincoln<br>erschool   | S                     | Evidence Based<br>Yearly Cost: \$45,000  |
| Daily Activity<br>Reports,<br>Attendance<br>Records                       | Too Good for Drugs@ Lincoln Afterschool Program 60 min lessons & supporting activities 4x/week x 38 weeks | Strategies            | □ γ -National Registry<br>5,000  |
| 2013 SHARP (Baseline), Benchmark: % reported in 2015 R&P Survey           | % reporting Attitudes favorable to drug use will decrease from 44.6% in 2013 to 34.4% in 2017             | Outcomes<br>Short     | gistry   |
| 2013 SHARP as compared to 2019 SHARP for Lincoln Elementary School        | Underage Drinking (among the target group) will decrease from 5.9% in 2013 to .09% in 2019                | Long                  | FY2018   |

|                    | Goal                     | Factors                      | ocus Popul                    | Strategies                 | Outcomes<br>Short      |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|                    |                          |                              | I S I                         | y t                        |                        |
| Logic              | Reduce                   | Attitudes                    | 82 Participants in Lincoln    | Positive Action            | % of youth             |
|                    | Marijuana                | favorable to                 | Elementary Afterschool        | Program @                  | reporting              |
|                    | Use                      | antisocial                   | Program                       | Lincoln                    | Attitudes              |
|                    |                          | behavior                     |                               | Afterschool                | favorable to           |
|                    |                          |                              |                               | Program 60 min             | antisocial             |
|                    |                          |                              |                               | lessons &                  | behavior will          |
|                    |                          |                              |                               | supporting                 | decrease               |
|                    |                          |                              |                               | activities                 | from 41.1%             |
|                    |                          |                              |                               | 4x/week x 38               | in 2013 to             |
|                    |                          |                              |                               | weeks                      | 31.4% in               |
|                    |                          |                              |                               |                            | 2017                   |
| Measures & Sources | 2013 SHARP<br>Report for | 2013 SHARP<br>NREPP research | Program daily activity sheets | Daily Activity<br>Reports, | 2013 SHARP (Baseline), |
|                    | Lincoln Elem             | for program                  | Attendance records            | Attendance                 |                        |
|                    |                          |                              | Program/CTC Risk and          | Records                    | % reported             |
|                    |                          |                              | Protective Factors Survey     |                            | in 2015 R&P            |
|                    |                          |                              |                               |                            | Survey                 |
|                    | Goal                     | Factors                      | Focus Population              | Strategies                 | Outcomes               |
|                    |                          |                              |                               |                            | Short                  |
|                    |                          |                              | l S□ n                        |                            | O C                    |

| Sources Report for NREF Lincoln Elem for p                             | Measures & 2013 SHARP 2013 |
|--|----------------------------|
| NREPP research<br>for program  | 2013 SHARP                 |
| Program/CTC Risk and<br>Protective Factors Parent<br>Survey            | Attendance records         |
| Records  | Attendance                 |
| (Baseline) SHARP Benchmark: % reported in 2015 R&P Survey              | 2013                       |
| as compared<br>to 2019<br>SHARP for<br>Lincoln<br>Elementary<br>School | 2013 SHARP                 |

| Program Nam            | Program Name: SPY HOP PRODUCTIONS     | ONS  |                   | Evidence Based                                | e Based                | Y N-Tier 2 FY  | FY2018                           |                                    |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Provider Naii          | Ployider Name: SPT nor PRODUCTIONS    | SNS  |                   | Yearly C                                      | Yearly Cost: \$105,000 | ,000   |                                  |                                    |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | ce County                             |  |                   |   |                        |  |                                  |                                    |
|                        | Goal                                  | Factors<br>(2 Risk Factors,                                | Focus Population  | pulation                                      |                        | Strategies   | <u>Outcomes</u><br>Short         | Long                               |
|                        |                                       | 2 Protective Factors)                                      |                   | ĮΩ  | _                      |  |                                  |                                    |
| Logic                  | Reduce 30-day                         | RF1: Attitudes favorable to antisocial behavior & drug use | 140 Salt L        | 140 Salt Lake County<br>youth ages 14-20 who: | ho:                    | Mentor Based Learning<br>(Sense of belonging; social | Reduce 30-day<br>alcohol use     | Reduce 30-day<br>alcohol use among |
|                        | 10 <sup>th</sup> and 12 <sup>th</sup> | RF2: Perceived risk of drug                                |                   |   |                        | emotional learning)                                  | among 10 <sup>th</sup>           | 10 <sup>th</sup> graders in Salt   |
|                        | graders in Salt Lake                  | use  | 1) Live in low-ir | <ol> <li>Live in low-income</li> </ol>        | ie<br>—                | Inquiry Based Learning                               | graders in Salt Lake County from | Lake County from 11.3% to 7.3%.    |
|                        |                                       | PF1: Opportunities for                                     | 2) Have p         | 2) Have peers who engage                      | engage                 | (collaboration, problem-                             | 11.3% to 9.3%.                   |                                    |
|                        |                                       | prosocial involvement                                      | in substa         | in substance abuse;                           |                        | solving)   |                                  |                                    |
|                        |                                       | PF2: Rewards for prosocial                                 | 3) Have li        | <ol><li>Have limited access to</li></ol>      | ess to                 |  | Reduce 30-day                    |                                    |
|                        |                                       | involvement in community                                   | quality af        | quality after-school                          |                        | Project Based Learning                               | alcohol use                      | Reduce 30-day                      |
|                        |                                       |  | programming;      | ning;   |                        | (21st Century/Workplace                              | among 12 <sup>th</sup>           | alcohol use among                  |
|                        |                                       |  | 4) Have li        | 4) Have limited access to                     | ess to                 | Readiness Skills)                                    | graders in Salt                  | 12 <sup>th</sup> graders in Salt   |
|                        |                                       |  | technology; and,  | gy; and,                                      |                        |  | Lake County from                 | Lake County from                   |
|                        |                                       |  | 5) Exhibit        | 5) Exhibit attitude and                       | nd                     | Introductory and                                     | 17.5% to 15.5%.                  | 17.5% to 13.5%.                    |
|                        |                                       |  | behavior          | behavioral problems.                          | s.                     | Intermediate Programs                                |                                  |                                    |
|                        |                                       |  |                   |   |                        | (film, music, audio or design) 4-12hrs.              |                                  |                                    |
|                        |                                       |  |                   |   |                        | Spy Hop Apprenticeship &                             |                                  |                                    |
|                        |                                       |  |                   |   |                        | Advanced Programs (film,                             |                                  |                                    |
|                        |                                       |  |                   |   |                        | audio, music or design)                              |                                  |                                    |
|                        |                                       |  |                   |   |                        | 2hrs, 2x/wk, 4.5-12mos.                              |                                  |                                    |
| Measures               | Pretest & Posttests                   | Pretest & Posttests  | Registration      | ion   |                        | Attendance Records                                   | Pre and Posttests                | Pretest &                          |
| & Sources              | SHARP data                            | Attendance Records   | IIIIIIIIII        | IIIIS   |                        | Student Journals                                     | Records                          | SHARP data                         |
|                        |                                       |  |                   |   |                        | Class observations                                   | SHARP data                       |                                    |

Program Provider: Neighborhood Action Coalition at University of Utah SPORT© Program

Evidence- based: Yes -National Registry

FY2018

Se

LSAA: Salt Lake County

Logic Youth Midvale City's Use among Reduce Alcohol Goal drug use 2. Attitudes favorable prosocial 2. rewards for to drug use 1. Early initiation of (community) involvement involvement (family) prosocial rewards for Risk /Protective Factor(s) Boys and Girls Club Community of Midvale, Midvale 200 Midvale youth Univ Community center Middle School and 12-18 years at the Focus Population Sel  $\bowtie$ week for 42 weeks. approximately 2-4 times a and Sport Science SPORT Curriculum and of instruction delivered Professionals; 126 hours physical activity program implemented by Exercise (Includes dosage) Strategies 2. Increase rewards for to 2017 from 35% – 31% from 2013 attitudes toward drug use who have favorable 27% to 25% from 2013 to prosocial involvement from 2013 to 2017 prosocial involvement 1. Increase rewards for 2. Decrease number of youth initiation of drug use from 1. Decrease risk factor early 49% from 2013 to 2017 (community) from 47% to (family) from 58% to 61% (factors- with how much change) Outcomes 8.8% to 7.0% from in past 30-days from 2013 to 2023 Decrease alcohol use (goal- with how much Yearly Cost: \$34,730 change)

| Measur<br>e &<br>Sources   |   |
|--|---|
|  | SHARP Data                                |
|  | SHARP Data                                |
|  | Attendance Sheets                         |
| -Curriculum checklist/lesson plans -Worksheet completion checklist -Pre-Post tests provided in SPORT curriculum Follow-up phone calls with parents | -Staff Reports                            |
| Feedback Sheet  -Pre- and Post- consultation interviews/surveys Hillcrest (Midvale) Cone SHARP Survey  | <ul> <li>Completion of Fitness</li> </ul> |
| Cone SHARP Data  | Hillcrest (Midvale)                       |

## School-Based Prevention Education: Botvin LifeSkills Training

Program Provider: Neighborhood Action Coalition

LSAA: Salt Lake County Evidence-based: Yes | Yearly Cost: \$7.671.70 |

| Risk /Protective Factor(s)  1. Early initiation of drug use 2. Attitudes favorable to drug use 1. Improved healthy beliefs | Focus Population  Univ Sel X  2190 students ages 12- 17 years old, attending Midvale Middle School or Hillcrest High School or other organizations in Midvale. | Focus Population  niv  Sel  X  0 students ages 1 rears old, attendir vale Middle School crest High School er organizations iv | ld ld   | Strategies (Includes dosage)  Botvin LifeSkills Training: providing one presentation (focusing on Drugs/Alcohol and refusal skills) per healthy lifestyles class per semester (approx 60 per year) | Short (factors with how much change)  1. Decrease risk factor early initiation of drug use from 2013 to 2017  2. Decrease number of youth who have favorable attitudes toward drug use from 35% – 31% from 2013 to 2017                 | Long (goal- with how much change) Decrease alcohol use in past 30-days from 8.8% to 7.0% from 2013 to 2023  |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| /Protective Factor(s)  1. Early initiation of drug use 2. Attitudes favorable to drug use 1. Improved healthy beliefs      | Univ 2190 stude 17 years o Midvale M Hillcrest H other orga Midvale.   | Sel X   | ld ld   | LifeSkills Training: ling one presentation ing on Drugs/Alcohol fusal skills) per y lifestyles class per ter (approx 60 per  | Short (factors-with how much change)  1. Decrease risk factor early initiation of drug use from 27% to 25% from 2013 to 2017  2. Decrease number of youth who have favorable attitudes toward drug use from 35% – 31% from 2013 to 2017 | Long (goal- with how much change)  Decrease alcohol use in past 30-days from 8.8% to 7.0% from 2013 to 2023 |
| Early initiation of drug use     Attitudes     favorable to drug use   | 2190 stude<br>17 years o<br>Midvale M<br>Hillcrest H<br>other orga<br>Midvale.   | ents age: ld, atten iddle Sch igh Scho nization   | or lor  | LifeSkills Training: ling one presentation ing on Drugs/Alcohol fusal skills) per y lifestyles class per ter (approx 60 per  | 1. Decrease risk factor early initiation of drug use from 27% to 25% from 2013 to 2017 2. Decrease number of youth who have favorable attitudes toward drug use from 35% – 31% from 2013 to 2017  | Decrease alcohol use in past 30-days from 8.8% to 7.0% from 2013 to 2023                                    |
| 2. Attitudes favorable to drug use 1. Improved healthy beliefs   | Midvale M<br>Hillcrest H<br>other orga<br>Midvale.   | iddle Sch<br>igh Scho<br>nization   | or  | ing on Drugs/Alcohol<br>fusal skills) per<br>y lifestyles class per<br>ter (approx 60 per  | from 2013 to 2017 2. Decrease number of youth who have favorable attitudes toward drug use from 35% – 31% from 2013 to 2017   | past 30-days<br>from 8.8% to<br>7.0% from<br>2013 to 2023   |
| 1. Improved healthy beliefs  | other orga<br>Midvale.   | nization  |   | y lifestyles class per<br>ter (approx 60 per   | youth who have favorable attitudes toward drug use from 35% – 31% from 2013 to 2017   | 7.0% from<br>2013 to 2023   |
| 1. Improved healthy beliefs  | Midvale.   |   |   | ter (approx 60 per   | favorable attitudes<br>toward drug use from<br>35% – 31% from 2013<br>to 2017   | 2013 to 2023  |
| healthy beliefs  |  |   |   |  | toward drug use from 35% – 31% from 2013 to 2017  |   |
|  |  |   |   |  | 35% - 31% from 2013<br>to 2017  |   |
| and standards  |  |   |   |  | 100   |   |
| regarding ATOD   |  |   |   | 9  |   |   |
| use. 2. Possess and  |  |   |   |  | 1. Improve health   |   |
| use appropriate  |  |   |   |  | of ATOD use based on  |   |
| SOCIAL SKILLS.   |  |   |   |  | pre/post score differentials.   |   |
|  |  |   |   |  | <ol><li>Improve knowledge<br/>of social skills and</li></ol>  |   |
|  |  |   |   |  | refusal skills based on   |   |
|  |  |   |   |  | pre/post test   |   |
|  |  |   |   |  |   |   |
|  | regarding ATOD use. 2. Possess and use appropriate social skills.  | regarding ATOD use. 2. Possess and use appropriate social skills.   | regarding ATOD use. 2. Possess and use appropriate social skills. | regarding ATOD use. 2. Possess and use appropriate social skills.  | regarding ATOD use. 2. Possess and use appropriate social skills.   | arding ATOD ossess and appropriate al skills.   |

| Measure<br>&<br>Sources |                    |                   |                       |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                         |                    | Data              | SHARP                 |
|                         |                    |                   | SHARP Data            |
|                         |                    | Attendance Sheets | Staff Reports         |
|                         | Staff Reports      | checklist         | LifeSkills curriculum |
|                         | Pre and post tests | Cone SHARP Data   | Hillcrest (Midvale)   |
|                         | SHARP Data         | (Midvale) Cone    | Hillcrest             |

| Logic Reduce underage use of Alcohol  | Goal              | LSAA; Salt Lake County | Based – Advancing Decision Making and Problem Solving (Adapt) Provider Name: | Program Name: Valley I                           |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| use   |                   |                        | on Making .  | Behavioral                                       |
| Risk Factor: Early initiation on antisocial behavior and alcohol use Friends use of alcohol social involvement                                    | Factors           |                        | and Problem Solvii   | Valley Behavioral Health Early Adolescent School |
| Selective  Youth 6 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> gra at a Title 1 School  | Focus Population  |                        | ng (Adapt)   | scent Schoo                                      |
| Selective  Selective  Youth 6 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students at a Title 1 School  | ulation           |                        | Yearl  |  |
| students  |                   |                        | Yearly Cost:   | Evidence Based                                   |
| Advancing Decision Making and Problem Solving (Adapt)  One hour sessions, once a week for 48 weeks at schools in Salt Lake County Title 1 Schools | Strategies        |                        |  | Y -Tier 2  |
| Percent reporting early initiation of antisocial behavior and alcohol use will decrease from 20% to 15% in 3 to 5 years  Pre and Post testing     | Outcomes<br>Short |                        |  | FY2018   |
| Underage drinking will decrease from 20% to 15% in 5-10 years   | Long              |                        |  |  |

|                |                    | Sources     | Measures &   |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| for all grades | SHARP Survey       | Statewide   | 2013         |
|                |                    |             | 2013 SHARP   |
|                | Attendance Records |             | Program logs |
|                | Pre/Post Surveys   | Records and | Attendance   |
|                | Survey             | SHARP       | 2013-2017    |
|                |                    | Survey      | 2019 SHARP   |

| Program Name:          | Valley Behaviora                        | Valley Behavioral Health Elementary School aged | / School ag      |                                    | Evidence Based | Y -National Registry | FY2018             | 018                    |
|------------------------|---|---|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Youth – Too Goo        | Youth – Too Good for Drugs and Violence | olence  |                  | Year                               | Yearly Cost:   |                      |                    |                        |
| Provider Name:         |   |   |                  |                                    |                |                      |                    |                        |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | ounty                                   |   |                  |                                    |                |                      |                    |                        |
|                        | Goal                                    | Factors   | Focus Population | pulation                           |                | Strategies           | Outcomes           |                        |
|                        |   |   | С                | S                                  | -              |                      | SHOLL              | CONS                   |
| Logic                  | Reduce                                  | Risk Factor:                                    | Selective        |                                    |                | Too Good for         | Percent            | Underage               |
|                        | underage use of Drinking                | Early initiation on antisocial                  |                  |                                    |                | Drugs and Violence   | reporting<br>early | drinking will decrease |
|                        |   | behavior and                                    | Youth 1st – 6th  | – 6 <sup>th</sup> grade            | grade students | Curriculum           | initiation of      | from 20% to            |
|                        |   |   | מנים             | מנמ וונוכ ד בוכוווכוונמו א סכווססו | 1 4 001001     |                      | behavior           | years                  |
|                        |   |   |                  |                                    |                | One hour             | and alcohol        |                        |
|                        |   | Friends use of                                  |                  |                                    |                | sessions, once a     | use will           |                        |
|                        |   | alcohol   |                  |                                    |                | week for 48          | decrease           |                        |
|                        |   |   |                  |                                    |                | weeks at schools     | 15% in 3 to        |                        |
|                        |   | Protective Pro-                                 |                  |                                    |                | County Title 1       | 5 years            |                        |
|                        |   | social  |                  |                                    |                | Schools              |                    |                        |
|                        |   | involvement                                     |                  |                                    |                |                      | Pre and Post       |                        |
|                        |   |   |                  |                                    |                |                      | testing            |                        |
|                        |   |   |                  |                                    | v              |                      |                    |                        |

|                |                    | Sources     | Measures &   |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| for all grades | SHARP Survey       | Statewide   | 2013         |
|                |                    |             | 2013 SHARP   |
|                | Attendance Records |             | Program logs |
|                | Pre/Post Surveys   | Records and | Attendance   |
|                | Survey             | SHARP       | 2013-2017    |
|                |                    | Survey      | 2019 SHARP   |

| Program Name; All Stars | VII Stars        |   |                    | Evider                         | Evidence Based           | Y N -National Registry |               | FY2018        |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Provider Name; V        | olunteers of Ame | Provider Name; Volunteers of America UT/ Cornerstone Counseling | e Counselin        |                                | Yearly Cost: \$21,829.50 | 1,829.50               |               |               |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County  | ounty            |   |                    |                                |                          |                        |               |               |
|                         | Goal             | Factors   | Focus Population   | ulation                        |                          | Strategies             | ğ             |               |
|                         |                  |   | U                  | IQ                             |                          |                        | טוסו ר        | <u>.</u>      |
| Logic                   | 1. Decrease      | 1. Early  | Students f         | Students from fifth to eighth  | eighth                   | Participants           | 1. Decrease   | 1. Decrease   |
|                         | early initiation | initiation of   | grade in so        | grade in schools within areas  | า areas                  | attend 10-20           | early         | early         |
|                         | of ATOD use      | problem   | known to           | known to have a higher         | ÿr<br>—                  | sequential 45          | initiation of | initiation of |
|                         | 2. Decrease      | benavior  | portion of         | portion of low income, single  | , single                 | minute to one-         | problem       | ATOD use by   |
|                         | favorable        | <ol><li>Attitudes</li></ol>                                     | multi-prob         | multi-problem family           |                          |                        | 2 50% from    | :             |
|                         | attitudes        | favorable   | household          | households i.e. South Salt     | Salt                     |                        | 2015 to       | 2. Decrease   |
|                         | towards ATOD     | toward the  | Lake, Mag          | Lake, Magna, Kearns, West      | West                     |                        | 2017          | favorable     |
|                         | con.             | behavior  | Valley City        | Valley City, Midvale, and Salt | nd Salt                  |                        | 7 Decrease    | towards       |
|                         |                  |   | raise city proper. | 9000                           |                          |                        | attitudes     | ATOD use by   |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | favorable     | 2% by 2022    |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | toward the    |               |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | problem       |               |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | behavior by   |               |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | 2.5% from     |               |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | 2015 to       |               |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | 2017          |               |
|                         |                  |   |                    |                                |                          |                        | 2017          |               |

|       |          |                |             |             | Sources           | Measures &               |
|-------|----------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
|       |          |                |             |             | Survey            | Utah SHARP               |
|       |          | feedback forms | evaluation/ | student     | Teacher and       | Pre/Post Tests,          |
|       |          |                |             |             | Reporting         | Attendance Records, WITS |
|       |          |                |             | Checklist   | Records, Fidelity | Attendance               |
| forms | feedback | evaluation/    | student     | Teacher and | Tests,            | Pre/Post                 |
|       |          |                |             |             | Survey 2023       | Utah SHARP               |

| <sup>o</sup> rovider Name: | Provider Name: Volunteers of America, Utah | erica, Utah      |                        |   | - con ) cook ye you |                                   |                             |                        |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| LSAA; Salt Lake County     | County                                     |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   |                             |                        |
|                            | Goal                                       | Factors          | Focus Population       | ulation   |                     | Strategies                        | Outcomes                    |                        |
|                            |  |                  | <b>C</b>               | S   | -                   |                                   | Short                       | Long                   |
|                            |  |                  | 1                      |   | 24                  |                                   |                             |                        |
| Logic                      | Reduce ATOD                                | Early and        | Salt Lake (            | Salt Lake County referred                               | erred               | Participants will                 | Early and                   | Reduce                 |
|                            | use  | social behavior  | adolescen<br>and their | adolescents between 12-18 and their families. The youth | ո 12-18<br>he youth | receive eight, two<br>hour weekly | persistent<br>antisocial    | ATOD use by 3% by 2022 |
|                            |  | Family           | are referre            | are referred from Midvale CBC or other schools in Salt  | idvale<br>in Salt   | sessions.                         | behavior will<br>be reduced |                        |
|                            |  | problems.        | Lake County.           | ıty.  |                     |                                   | 2.5%                        |                        |
|                            |  | Family conflict. |                        |   |                     |                                   | Family                      |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | management                  |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | skills will                 |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | increase                    |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | 2.5%                        |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | Family                      |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | conflict will               |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | decrease                    |                        |
|                            |  |                  |                        |   |                     |                                   | 2.5%.                       |                        |

|        |            |        |                | Sources         | Measures &                         |
|--------|------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
|        |            |        |                | Survey          | Utah SHARP                         |
|        |            | forms. | and evaluation | parent feedback | Coordinator and                    |
|        |            |        |                |                 | Coordinator and Attendance Records |
|        |            |        |                | Records         | Attendance                         |
| forms. | evaluation | and    | feedback       | and parent      | Coordinator                        |
|        |            |        |                | Survey 2023     | Utah SHARP                         |

| Attendance Pre/Post Records, Fidelity Tests, Checklist Student evaluation/feedback forms |
|--|
|--|

| Program Name:          | Program Name: Families Plus; Making Choices | ing Choices   |                          | Evide   | Evidence Based           | Y N -National Registry      |             | FY2018      |
|------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Provider Name: \       | Volunteers of Ame                           | Provider Name: Volunteers of America UT/ Cornerstone Counseling | e Counseli               |   | Yearly cost: \$31,202.27 | 1,202.27                    |             |             |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | County                                      |   |                          |   |                          |                             |             |             |
|                        | Goal  | Factors   | Focus Population         | pulation  |                          | Strategies                  | Outcomes    |             |
|                        |   |   | _                        | IN  | _                        |                             | Short Long  | <b></b>     |
| Logic                  | Reduce 30 day                               | Early initiation  | Children t               | Children from first to fifth                          | fifth                    | Making Choices;             | Decrease    | Reduce 30   |
|                        | use of ATOD.                                | of problem  | grade in a               | grade in areas known to have                          | n to have                | meet in groups of           | early       | day use of  |
|                        |   | SC Taylor   | income, s                | income, single parent, ethnic                         | t, ethnic                | twice weekly for            | problem     | graders by  |
|                        |   |   | minority :               | minority and multi-problem                            | roblem                   | twenty, 45                  | behavior by | 2% in 2020. |
|                        |   |   | family ho                | family households i.e. South                          | . South                  | minute to one               | 2.5% from   |             |
|                        |   |   | Salt Lake,               | Salt Lake, Magna, Kearns,                             | arns,                    | hour incremental            | 2015 to     |             |
|                        |   |   | West Vall<br>  Salt Lake | West Valley City, Midvale, and Salt Lake City proper. | vale, and                | sessions in                 | 2017        |             |
|                        |   |   |                          | :   |                          | community site or           |             |             |
|                        |   |   |                          |   |                          | housing facility.           |             |             |
| Measures &             | Utah SHARP                                  | Teacher,  | Attendan                 | Attendance Records, WITS                              | STIM                     | Attendance                  | Teacher,    | Utah SHARP  |
| Sources                | Survey                                      | counselor,  | Reporting                | 04  |                          | Records, Fidelity Checklist | counselor,  | Survey 2021 |
|                        |   | parent feedback   |                          |   |                          |                             | and parent  |             |
|                        |   | and evaluation  |                          |   |                          |                             | feedback    |             |
|                        |   | forms   |                          |   |                          |                             | and         |             |
|                        |   |   |                          |   |                          |                             |             |             |

|  |  | forms | evaluation |
|--|--|-------|------------|
|  |  |       |            |

| Program Name:          | Program Name: Families Plus – Strong Families | ong Families  |                   | Evidence Based   | Based 1   | Y N -National Registry    |                          | FY2018                   |
|------------------------|---|---|-------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Provider Name:         | Volunteers of Ame                             | Provider Name: Volunteers of America UT/ Cornerstone Counseling | าe Counselin      | g Yearly cost: \$38,016.00                                   | st: \$38, | 016.00                    |                          |                          |
| LSAA; Salt Lake County | County  |   |                   |  |           |                           |                          |                          |
|                        | Goal  | Factors   | Focus Population  | ulation  |           | Strategies                | me                       |                          |
|                        |   |   | С                 | - 100  |           |                           | Short Long               |                          |
| Logic                  | Reduce 30 day                                 | 1. Family   | Children fr       | Children from first to fifth                                 |           | Weekly two hour           | Reduce                   | Reduce 30                |
|                        | of 6 <sup>th</sup> graders.                   | problems.   | areas knov        | areas known to have a higher                                 | ner —     | with Prevention           | management               | ATOD of 6 <sup>th</sup>  |
|                        |   | 2. Family conflict.   | portion of        | portion of low income, single<br>parent, ethnic minority and | <u>е</u>  | Specialists.  Duration is | problems<br>and conflict | graders by<br>2% by 2020 |
|                        |   |   | multi-prob        | multi-problem family   |           | determined on             | by 2.5% from             |                          |
|                        |   |   | household         | households i.e. South Salt                                   |           | the needs of the          | 2015 to                  |                          |
|                        |   |   | Lake, Magi        | Lake, Magna, Kearns, West                                    |           | families, usually         | 2017.                    |                          |
|                        |   |   | Lake City proper. | Lake City proper.  |           | PO WCC73.                 |                          |                          |
| Measures &             | Utah SHARP                                    | Parent  | Attendanc         | Attendance Rosters, WITS                                     |           | Attendance                | Parent                   | Utah SHARP               |
| Sources                | Survey  | feedback/   | Reporting         |  |           | Roster                    | feedback/                | Survey 2021              |
|                        |   | evaluation<br>forms   |                   |  |           |                           | evaluation<br>forms      |                          |
|                        |   |   |                   |  |           |                           |                          |                          |
|                        |   |   |                   |  |           |                           |                          |                          |

| Program Name: Voices  Provider Name: Volunteers of America UT/ Cornerstone Counseling  LSAA; Salt Lake County  Logic Goal Factors Focus Popu  early initiation of problem ninth grade of ATOD use behavior income, sin income, sin | Jices Junteers of Amer unty  Goal  Decrease early initiation of ATOD use | Factors Factors  Factors  Early initiation of problem behavior | Focus Population  Focus Population  Adolescents from ninth grade in are have a higher por income, single pa | Illatio   | 5                               | Y N -National Registry  06,481.23  Strategies  Strategies  Same gender adolescent groups of 6 to 8 participants meet | Outcomes Short Lo Short Lo Decrease early initiation of problem                              | FY2018  Decrease early initiation of ATOD use by |
|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Measures & Uta   | Utah SHARP<br>Survey   | Pre/Post testing in coordination with Bach-                    | minority a family hou Salt Lake, West Valle Salt Lake C Attendanc Reporting                                 | minority and multi-problem family households i.e. South Salt Lake, Magna, Kearns, West Valley City, Midvale, and Salt Lake City proper.  Attendance Records, WITS Reporting | oblem South rns, vale, and WITS | once or twice weekly for ten, 45 minute to one hour incremental sessions.  Attendance Records, Fidelity Checklist    | behavior by 2.5% in 2015 to 2017  Pre/Post testing in coordination with Bach- Harrison forms | 2% in 2022 Utah SHARP Survey 2023                |

| Program Name: Daily Provider Name: Salt LSAA; Salt Lake County Logic Goal Arug alcoh | Daily Alcohol, To<br>Salt Lake County<br>County<br>Goal<br>Goal<br>Reduce 30 day<br>drug and<br>alcohol use<br>among high | Daily Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs Classes Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services (DYS)  Ounty  Goal Factors Focus Popul  Reduce 30 day drug and alcohol use alcohol use among high  Attitudes Use at DYS  at DYS | rugs Classes Exervices (DYS) Yu  Focus Population  U Select  1.Teens age 12-18 custody staying in at DYS                                  | atic  | Evidence Based Yes  Yearly Cost: \$37,376  Yearly Cost: \$37,376  Otted | Yes -National Registry 7,376  Strategies  Too Smart to Start ATOD curriculum taught for 17 session that last 1   | FY2  Dutcomes  Short  Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use    | 2018 Long Reduce 30 day Drug and Alcohol use among high  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Logic Measures & Sources   | Reduce 30 day drug and alcohol use among high risk teens and see a 3% decline in use from 2013 to 2017  SLCO SHARPS       | Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use (Peer/Individual Domain) 2013 SLCO SHARPS   | 1. Teens age 12: custody staying at DYS  2. Teens age 12: the Juvenile Reparents, law enschools  Program Logs  Attendance R  Pre and Post | 1.Teens age 12-18 in state custody staying in group homes at DYS  2.Teens age 12-18 brought into the Juvenile Receiving Center by parents, law enforcement, and schools  Program Logs  Attendance Records  Pre and Post Assessments | p homes  ught into Center by ent, and                                   | Too Smart to Start ATOD curriculum taught for 17 session that last 1 hour. Program will be taught (M-F) for each group home and onsite classroom  Program Logs  Attendance Records  Pre and Post | Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use (Peer/Individual Domain) | Reduce 30 day Drug and Alcohol use among high risk teens and see a 3% decline in use from 2013 to 2017 2013/2017 SLCO SHARPS |
| Measures & Sources   | 2013<br>SLCO SHARPS   | 2013<br>SLCO SHARPS   | Program Attendan Pre and P  | Logs<br>ce Records<br>ost Assessr   | nents   | Program Logs Attendance Records Pre and Post Assessments   |  | 2013/2017<br>SLCO<br>SHARPS  |

|                       |  |   |   | Yearly Cost: \$19,876.80  | 6.80  |  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
|                       | Goal   | Factors   | Focus Population  U S Indicated   | Strategies  | Outcomes<br>Short   | Long   |
| Logic                 | Reduce alcohol use by 5% in high risk girls by increasing their overall sense of wellbeing and selfefficacy. | Attitudes Favorable to Anti-Social Behavioral (Peer/ Individual Domain) | 13-18 year olds who are showing positive attitudes toward ASB, alcohol use, and/or anger control issues who live in Salt Lake County. | "Discovering Possibilities." Class will be held for 2 hours weekly for 10 weeks | Attitudes Favorable to Anti-Social Behavioral (Peer/ Individual Domain) | Reduce alcohol use by 5% in high risk girls by increasing their overall sense of well-being and self-efficacy. |
| Measures &<br>Sources | 2013 SLCO SHARPS   | 2013 SLCO<br>SHARPS   | Program Logs<br>Attendance Record<br>Pre and Post<br>Assessments  | Rosters and<br>Pre and Post<br>Assessments                                      | 2013 SLCO<br>SHARPS   | 2013/2017 SLCO<br>SHARPS   |

| Program Name: Provider Name: | Program Name: Strengthening Families Provider Name: Salt Lake County Divisi  | Strengthening Families Salt Lake County Division of Youth Services | ervices                    | Evide   | Evidence Based Yes -N<br>Yearly Cost: \$24,846.00 | Evidence Based Yes -National Registry<br>Yearly Cost: \$24,846.00                    |  | FY2018   |
|------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| LSAA; Salt Lake County       | ounty  |  |                            | -   |   |  |  |  |
|                              | Goal   | Factors  | Focus Population           | ulation   |   | Strategies   | Outcomes   |  |
|                              |  |  | =                          | Colocted  | -   |  | Short  | Long   |
|                              |  |  | C                          | Selected  | -   |  |  |  |
| Logic                        | Reduce drug<br>and alcohol<br>use by 5% by<br>decreasing<br>Family<br>Management<br>Problems and<br>Family<br>Conflict | Parental Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use (Family Domain)           | Familio<br>children<br>Sal | Families with teenaged<br>children ages 13-17 living in<br>Salt Lake County | aged<br>living in<br>ty                           | "The Strengthening Families Program" –K Kumpfer 5 cycles 150 min weekly for 10 weeks | Parental Attitudes Favorable to Drug Use (Family Domain) | Reduce drug and alcohol use by 5% by decreasing Family Management Problems and Family Conflict |
| Measures &<br>Sources        | 2013 SLCO<br>SHARPS  | 2013 SLCO<br>SHARPS  | Atter                      | Program Logs<br>Attendance Records  | ords  | Rosters  | 2013 SLCO<br>SHARPS                                      | 2013/2017 SLCO<br>SHARPS   |
|                              |  |  | Pre and                    | Pre and Post Assessments  | iments  |  |  |  |

## **Salt Lake County Fee Policy**

Salt Lake County Behavioral Health utilizes 2 fee schedules as follows:

- 1. Multiple Treatment Levels Combined Fee Schedule
  - a. Adult Residential (once/month) range \$0 \$1,000
  - b. Adult Outpatient (weekly max) range \$0 \$50
  - c. Adult IOP (weekly max) range \$0 \$100
  - d. Youth Residential (once/month) range \$0 \$50
  - e. Youth Non-Residential (weekly max) range \$0 \$5
- 2. Adult DUI Assessment Copay range \$1 \$265

In applying treatment copays, much is left to the discretion of the service provider and attending clinician. Generally, the adult outpatient copay schedule is to be applied for low intensity outpatient services or non-DUI assessments. The maximum adult outpatient copay rate of \$50 was determined based approximately on the lowest cost service an individual might receive during a single visit and with the intent to not exceed a typical copay rate under an insurance plan. The adult IOP rate generally will be used for clients that are receiving more intensive outpatient services or day treatment, and maxes out at twice the adult outpatient copay. The monthly adult residential rate maxes out below SLCOs lowest contracted residential monthly rate. The copay schedules increase based on the 2016 Federal Poverty Level (FPL), which accounts for gross household income and family size. From 0-150% of FPL, all copays are waived and at 400% of FPL, consumers are provided no county subsidy. This methodology assumes greater ability to pay as income increases.

Fees for youth services have been strategically reduced to ensure no barriers to service exist. Copays are not to be assessed until monthly gross income exceeds 400% of the FPL. The youth residential schedule maxes out at \$50 per month, while the non-residential schedule maxes out at \$5 per week.

Assessments provided to adults related to a DUI conviction have a specific DUI Assessment Copay schedule. In State Code there is an expectation that individuals convicted of DUI are responsible for the cost of their treatment services. Often these individuals require no additional treatment services beyond the initial assessment. For this reason, the sliding fee schedule more quickly reaches the full cost of the assessment service provided, for a maximum copay of \$265.

Providers and clinicians are given discretion to waive fees as judged necessary to ensure limited barriers to treatment. When fees are waived a note must be written explaining the circumstances for waiving or reducing the rate. In addition, discretion will be allowed to waive up to two months of fees for parolees, probationers, or individuals released from the Salt Lake County Jail system due to the fact they are probably unemployed at the time of release and have a limited ability to participate in the costs of their services. Discretion for this waiver can be granted by the Director of the provider agency or their designee.

Providers may charge higher copays if it is believed that for the applicable population served, it would be in the clients' and the County's best interest to charge a higher copay amount. All alternate fee policies must be approved by the County prior to being implemented and must not create an excessive barrier to treatment.

| Family Cias                               |              |         | M         | onthly | / Gross Inc | ome (l | pased on th | e Fede | ral Poverty | Level)  |             |      |                                       |
|---|--------------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------|------|---------------------------------------|
| Family Size                               | 0 - 150% FPL | 150% -  | 200% FPL  | 200%   | - 250% FPL  | 250%   | - 300% FPL  | 300%   | - 350% FPL  | 350% -  | - 400% FPL  | >4   | 400% FPL                              |
| 1   | \$0 - 1,471  | \$1,472 | 2 - 1,961 | \$1,9  | 62 - 2,452  | \$2,4  | 153 - 2,942 | \$2,9  | 43 - 3,433  | \$3,43  | 34 - 3,923  | \$   | 3,924                                 |
| 2   | \$0 - 1,990  | \$1,99  | 1 - 2,654 | \$2,6  | 55 - 3,318  | \$3,3  | 319 - 3,982 | \$3,9  | 83 - 4,645  | \$4,64  | 46 - 5,310  | \$   | 5,311                                 |
| 3   | \$0 - 2,510  | \$2,51  | 1 - 3,347 | \$3,3  | 48 - 4,184  | \$4,1  | 185 - 5,022 | \$5,0  | 23 - 5,858  | \$5,85  | 59 - 6,697  | \$   | 6,698                                 |
| 4   | \$0 - 3,031  | \$3,032 | 2 - 4,041 | \$4,0  | 42 - 5,053  | \$5,0  | 053 - 6,062 | \$6,0  | 63 - 7,073  | \$7,07  | 74 - 8,083  | \$   | 8,084                                 |
| 5   | \$0 - 3,550  | \$3,55  | 1 - 4,734 | \$4,7  | 35 - 5,917  | \$5,9  | 918 - 7,101 | \$7,1  | 02 - 8,285  | \$8,28  | 36 - 9,470  | \$   | 9,471                                 |
| 6   | \$0 - 4,071  | \$4,072 | 2 - 5,428 | \$5,4  | 29 - 6,785  | \$6,7  | 786 - 8,142 | \$8,1  | 43 - 9,499  | \$9,50  | 0 - 10,857  | \$   | 10,858                                |
| 7   | \$0 - 4,590  | \$4,591 | 1 - 6,121 | \$6,1  | 22 - 7,651  | \$7,6  | 552 - 9,182 | \$9,18 | 33 - 10,712 | \$10,71 | 13 - 12,243 | \$   | 12,244                                |
| 8   | \$0 - 5,110  | \$5,11  | 1 - 6,813 | \$6,8  | 14 - 8,517  | \$8,5  | 18 - 10,221 | \$10,2 | 22- 11,924  | \$11,92 | 25 - 13,630 | \$   | 13,631                                |
|   |              |         |           |        | C           | o-pays | S           |        |             |         |             |      |                                       |
| Adult Residential<br>(once/month)         |              | \$      | 200       | \$     | 400         | \$     | 600         | \$     | 800         | \$      | 1,000       |      |                                       |
| Adult Outpatient (weekly max)             |              | \$      | 10        | \$     | 20          | \$     | 30          | \$     | 40          | \$      | 50          | (con | o Subsidy<br>sumer pays<br>full cost) |
| Adult IOP<br>(weekly max)                 | No Co-Pay    | \$      | 20        | \$     | 40          | \$     | 60          | \$     | 80          | \$      | 100         |      |                                       |
| Youth Residential<br>(once/month)         |              |         |           |        |             |        |             |        |             |         |             | \$   | 50                                    |
| Youth Non-<br>Residential<br>(weekly max) |              |         |           |        |             | ſ      | No Co-Pay   |        |             |         |             | \$   | 5                                     |

# Salt Lake County Division of Behavioral Health **DUI Assessment Co-pay schedule - Adult**

|         | of family me |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|---------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Monthly | 1            | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      |
| Income  |              |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 100     | 5.00         | 4.00   | 3.00   | 2.00   | 2.00   | 2.00   | 2.00   | 1.00   |
| 200     | 10.00        | 7.00   | 6.00   | 5.00   | 4.00   | 4.00   | 3.00   | 3.00   |
| 300     | 15.00        | 11.00  | 9.00   | 7.00   | 6.00   | 5.00   | 5.00   | 4.00   |
| 400     | 20.00        | 15.00  | 12.00  | 10.00  | 8.00   | 7.00   | 6.00   | 6.00   |
| 500     | 25.00        | 18.00  | 15.00  | 12.00  | 10.00  | 9.00   | 8.00   | 7.00   |
| 600     | 30.00        | 22.00  | 18.00  | 15.00  | 12.00  | 11.00  | 10.00  | 9.00   |
| 700     | 35.00        | 26.00  | 20.00  | 17.00  | 15.00  | 13.00  | 11.00  | 10.00  |
| 800     | 40.00        | 29.00  | 23.00  | 19.00  | 17.00  | 14.00  | 13.00  | 12.00  |
| 900     | 45.00        | 33.00  | 26.00  | 22.00  | 19.00  | 16.00  | 14.00  | 13.00  |
| 1,000   | 50.00        | 37.00  | 29.00  | 24.00  | 21.00  | 18.00  | 16.00  | 14.00  |
| 1,100   | 54.00        | 40.00  | 32.00  | 27.00  | 23.00  | 20.00  | 18.00  | 16.00  |
| 1,200   | 59.00        | 44.00  | 35.00  | 29.00  | 25.00  | 22.00  | 19.00  | 17.00  |
| 1,300   | 64.00        | 48.00  | 38.00  | 32.00  | 27.00  | 24.00  | 21.00  | 19.00  |
| 1,400   | 69.00        | 51.00  | 41.00  | 34.00  | 29.00  | 25.00  | 22.00  | 20.00  |
| 1,500   | 74.00        | 55.00  | 44.00  | 36.00  | 31.00  | 27.00  | 24.00  | 22.00  |
| 1,600   | 145.00       | 108.00 | 86.00  | 71.00  | 61.00  | 53.00  | 47.00  | 42.00  |
| 1,700   | 154.00       | 115.00 | 91.00  | 76.00  | 65.00  | 56.00  | 50.00  | 45.00  |
| 1,800   | 163.00       | 121.00 | 96.00  | 80.00  | 68.00  | 60.00  | 53.00  | 48.00  |
| 1,900   | 173.00       | 128.00 | 102.00 | 84.00  | 72.00  | 63.00  | 56.00  | 50.00  |
| 2,000   | 182.00       | 135.00 | 107.00 | 89.00  | 76.00  | 66.00  | 59.00  | 53.00  |
| 2,100   | 191.00       | 142.00 | 112.00 | 93.00  | 80.00  | 70.00  | 62.00  | 56.00  |
| 2,200   | 200.00       | 148.00 | 118.00 | 98.00  | 84.00  | 73.00  | 65.00  | 58.00  |
| 2,300   | 209.00       | 155.00 | 123.00 | 102.00 | 87.00  | 76.00  | 68.00  | 61.00  |
| 2,400   | 218.00       | 162.00 | 129.00 | 107.00 | 91.00  | 80.00  | 71.00  | 63.00  |
| 2,500   | 227.00       | 168.00 | 134.00 | 111.00 | 95.00  | 83.00  | 74.00  | 66.00  |
| 2,600   | 236.00       | 175.00 | 139.00 | 116.00 | 99.00  | 86.00  | 76.00  | 69.00  |
| 2,700   | 245.00       | 182.00 | 145.00 | 120.00 | 103.00 | 90.00  | 79.00  | 71.00  |
| 2,800   | 254.00       | 189.00 | 150.00 | 124.00 | 106.00 | 93.00  | 82.00  | 74.00  |
| 2,900   | 263.00       | 195.00 | 155.00 | 129.00 | 110.00 | 96.00  | 85.00  | 77.00  |
| 3,000   | 265.00       | 202.00 | 161.00 | 133.00 | 114.00 | 99.00  | 88.00  | 79.00  |
| 3,100   | 265.00       | 209.00 | 166.00 | 138.00 | 118.00 | 103.00 | 91.00  | 82.00  |
| 3,200   | 265.00       | 216.00 | 171.00 | 142.00 | 122.00 | 106.00 | 94.00  | 85.00  |
| 3,300   | 265.00       | 222.00 | 177.00 | 147.00 | 125.00 | 109.00 | 97.00  | 87.00  |
| 3,400   | 265.00       | 229.00 | 182.00 | 151.00 | 129.00 | 113.00 | 100.00 | 90.00  |
| 3,500   | 265.00       | 236.00 | 187.00 | 156.00 | 133.00 | 116.00 | 103.00 | 93.00  |
| 3,600   | 265.00       | 243.00 | 193.00 | 160.00 | 137.00 | 119.00 | 106.00 | 95.00  |
| 3,700   | 265.00       | 249.00 | 198.00 | 164.00 | 141.00 | 123.00 | 109.00 | 98.00  |
| 3,800   | 265.00       | 256.00 | 204.00 | 169.00 | 144.00 | 126.00 | 112.00 | 100.00 |
| 3,900   | 265.00       | 263.00 | 209.00 | 173.00 | 148.00 | 129.00 | 115.00 | 103.00 |
| 4,000   | 265.00       | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 228.00 | 199.00 | 177.00 | 159.00 |
| 4,100   | 265.00       | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 234.00 | 204.00 | 181.00 | 163.00 |
| 4,200   | 265.00       | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 239.00 | 209.00 | 185.00 | 167.00 |
| 4,300   | 265.00       | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 245.00 | 214.00 | 190.00 | 171.00 |
| 4,400   | 265.00       | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 251.00 | 219.00 | 194.00 | 175.00 |

# Salt Lake County Division of Behavioral Health DUI Assessment Co-pay schedule - Adult

### **Number of family members**

| Monthly | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      | 8      |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Income  |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| 4,500   | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 256.00 | 224.00 | 199.00 | 178.00 |
| 4,600   | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 262.00 | 229.00 | 203.00 | 182.00 |
| 4,700   | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 234.00 | 207.00 | 186.00 |
| 4,800   | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 239.00 | 212.00 | 190.00 |
| 4,900   | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 244.00 | 216.00 | 194.00 |
| 5,000   | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 | 265.00 |

Incomes over \$5,000 will have a fee of \$265.00 per day



