

SALT LAKE COUNTY

*2001 South State Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(385) 468-7500 TTY 711*



Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, February 7, 2023

1:00 PM

Room N2-800

Council Work Session

1. CALL TO ORDER

Present Council Member Laurie Stringham
Council Member Suzanne Harrison
Council Member Jim Bradley
Council Member Arlyn Bradshaw
Council Member Dave Alvord
Council Member Ann Granato
Council Member Sheldon Stewart
Council Member Dea Theodore

Excused Council Chair Aimee Winder Newton

2. CITIZEN PUBLIC INPUT

Mr. Darrin Casper expressed gratitude on behalf of his family for the Council and Mayor's contribution to the Alzheimer's Association in memory of his mother. He stated Alzheimer's is an incredibly difficult disease that is tough on the patient and the family. This contribution is greatly appreciated and will go to good use.

3. DISCUSSION ITEMS:**3.1 Proposed Hire Report / Incentive Plans under \$3,000 / [23-0060](#)
Weekly Reclassification Report**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Proposed Hire Report 2-1-2023](#)
[Incentive Plans Under \\$3,000 2-7-2023](#)
[Weekly Reclassification Report 2-1-2023](#)

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst (Less than 5 mins)
Informational

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget & Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the new hires and reclassifications. There were no incentive plans.

3.2 Proposed Hire Report - Audit for January 2023 [23-0059](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Proposed Hire Report Audit - January 2023](#)

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst (Less than 5 mins)
Informational

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget & Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the hire audit for January 2023.

- 3.3 Budget Adjustment: Youth Services requests to move \$66,000 in the Afterschool Program from personnel to travel. The grantor, Utah State Board of Education, supports and has approved this usage of funds.** [23-0072](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[27449 - YSV ASP Out of State Travel-100% Grant Funded](#)

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst (Less than 5 mins)
Discussion/Direction

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget & Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the budget adjustment.

Council Member Theodore asked how many people the Youth Services Division sent to the National AfterSchool Convention. She thought sending 22 people to that was a lot.

Ms. Karen Crompton, Director, Human Services Department, stated 22 people expressed initial interest in going to that, but as of yesterday, only 14 have committed. That convention is very large, with many sessions, so if just a couple people attended, they would spread themselves too thin. When the attendees get back, it is a requirement they train others on what they have learned.

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Granato, that this agenda item be approved and forwarded to the 4:00 PM Council meeting for ratification. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- 3.4 Budget Adjustment: The Auditor's Office requests an appropriation unit shift of \$18,350 from software subscription to subscription asset prepay to meet the SBITA requirements for the new audit software.** [23-0071](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[27448 - SBITA Adjustment for Audit Software](#)

Presenter: Hoa Nguyen, Council Budget and Policy Analyst (Less than 5 mins)
Discussion/Direction

Ms. Hoa Nguyen, Budget & Policy Analyst, Council Office, reviewed the budget adjustment.

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Harrison, that this agenda item be approved and forwarded to the 4:00 PM Council meeting for ratification. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

3.5 Consideration of UPACA Interlocal and Operating Agreement Amendments [23-0056](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Agenda Request Form - UPACA Interlocal and Operating Agreement Amendments](#)
[UPACA Interlocal Agreement - First Amendment](#)
[UPACA Interlocal Agreement - First Amendment Council Resolution Form](#)
[UPACA Interlocal Agreement - Second Amendment](#)
[UPACA Interlocal Agreement - Second Amendment Council Resolution Form](#)
[UPACA Operating Agreement - Third Amendment](#)
[UPACA Operating Agreement - Third Amendment Council Resolution Form](#)
[UPACA Operating Agreement - Fourth Amendment](#)
[UPACA Operating Agreement - Fourth Amendment Council Resolution Form](#)

Presenter: Matt Castillo, Arts and Culture Director (Approx. 5 mins)
Discussion/Direction

Mr. Matt Castillo, Director, Arts & Culture Division, reviewed changes to the Utah Performing Arts Center Agency (UPACA) / Eccles Theater interlocal and operating agreements. The first amendment to the interlocal agreement and third amendment to the operating agreement define that the Eccles Theater would be covered by County insurance rather than procuring insurance through a third party, as was specified in the original agreements. This would result in a cost savings of more than \$100,000. All premiums, claims, administration, and payments would still be covered by operations of the theater. The second amendment to the interlocal and fourth amendment

to the operating agreement would increase the annual contribution to the capital reserve account to \$1 million. Originally, it was set at \$850,000 annually, but that would not be enough to cover long-term needs.

Council Member Theodore asked if the District Attorney's Office had reviewed the agreements that move the Eccles Theater to the County's insurance. She was concerned about the potential for increased liability on the County.

Ms. Bridget Romano, Deputy District Attorney, stated yes, Arts & Culture went through the process with the District Attorney's Office, Legal counsel assigned to the Arts & Culture Division is familiar with this contract and is fine with these amendments.

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Stringham, that this agenda item be approved and forwarded to the 4:00 PM Council meeting for ratification. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

3.6 2023 Legislative Update

[23-0069](#)

The Council May Vote to Take Positions Concerning 2023 Legislation and Other Related Actions

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[2023 SLCo Bill Tracker Feb7th.xlsx](#)
[Council Leg update Feb7_2023.docx](#)

Presenter: Kara Trevino, Council Legislative Director (Approx. 60 mins)
Discussion/Direction

- HB 173 - Government Attorney Fees Amendments

Ms. Kara Trevino, Intergovernmental Relations Manager, Council Office, stated this bill has been proposed many times over the years, and each time the Council has opposed it.

Ms. Bridget Romano, Deputy District Attorney, stated Council Member Stewart was concerned whether this bill would broaden immunity for governmental entities to avoid liability in the event of negligent conduct. There are no changes to the Governmental Immunity Act of Utah to that

extent; however, the bill includes a provision at the end of the Act that would allow a one-sided payment of attorney fees. If a party were to sue a governmental entity or challenge administrative action of an administrative body and were to prevail, even in part, they would be entitled to payment of their attorney fees as well as witnesses. The bill does not include a reciprocal provision, so if the government entity prevailed, it would not get compensation for the time and expense it put into litigation. This would cost governments a lot of money and it would have direct implication to the County. The District Attorney's Office did not recommend supporting the bill.

Council Member Alvord asked if there were any benefits to the citizens.

Council Member Stringham stated government agencies get hit all the time with frivolous lawsuits, and they have to defend against those, so they cost the taxpayers money. She was afraid this bill would increase frivolous lawsuits. In its form, she opposed HB 173.

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated this legislation does exclude lawsuits based on tort law or contract law. If an individual were to sue the County for a slip and fall, or breach of contract for example, the Governmental Immunity Act would still hold.

A motion was made by Council Member Stringham, seconded by Council Member Granato, to oppose HB 173. Roll was called showing the motion carried 6 to 2, by the following votes:

Aye: Council Member Stringham, Council Member Harrison, Council Member Bradley, Council Member Bradshaw, and Council Member Granato

Nay: Council Member Alvord, Council Member Stewart, and Council Member Theodore

Excused: Council Chair Winder Newton

Council Member Theodore stated she was conflicted on this one and would like to have stayed neutral on it.

Council Member Stewart stated he wanted to watch the bill, so was opposed to the motion.

Council Member Alvord stated he wanted to watch the bill too.

- HB 358 – County Auditor Amendments

Ms. Kara Trevino, Intergovernmental Relations Manager, Council Office, stated the Auditor worked on this bill over the interim. It has gone to the Political Subdivisions Interim Committee a couple of times, and there have been several drafts of the bill. It will be on a committee agenda tomorrow afternoon. County staff has been working on compromised language, and a substitute will be coming out.

Mr. Chris Harding, County Auditor, reviewed the bill stating it would require all auditors of counties of the first class to do their audits in accordance with the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) and it would give the County Auditor the independence to initiate performance audits based on professional judgments, while maintaining that the Mayor and Council could also request a performance audit. Currently, there are no standards, which leaves the County open to risk. This bill would require the Auditor and Auditor's staff to take a certain amount of professional education, and it would require a peer review of that office every three years by an outside entity. It would bring the County into conformance with other professional auditors. Counties that are not first-class counties would remain status quo. Salt Lake County is the only county that does not have accounting/budget functions, as well as auditing functions. If the smaller counties were to be included in this requirement, they would have to have auditing independence.

Mr. Mitchell Park stated this legislation would change the definition of performance auditing. He encouraged everyone to look at how that was defined to see if there would need to be a collaborative effort, whether by the Mayor or the Council, for it to be useful because it was going to relate to core policy questions that would affect programs. The bill would also require that the County adopt GAGAS standards for performance audits, which is more precise language than what the current standing is. It would put some parameters around the internal policy making function at the County and give the Auditor a certain measure of independence. The GAGAS standard does provide that performance audits of internal entities related to policy making decisions can be initiated by an independent governing body, such as the Council. The compromised language would also preserve the ability of the County legislative body to request a performance audit.

Mr. Darrin Casper, Deputy Mayor of Finance and Administration, stated he worked

with others in the County to try to come up with a compromise, essentially, so the Mayor and Council could still request an audit. It is important that the Auditor be able to initiate his own audit. It would strengthen that office and eliminate any potential conflict. Following auditing standards is also a good thing.

Council Member Stringham stated she was very comfortable with this language and thought the County should have been conforming with GAGAS all along. There should be standards across the board, but other entities could not qualify because they did not have separation of duties.

Council Member Bradshaw stated he got hung up on performance audit. A financial audit can blend with a performance audit, which the Auditor already had the ability to do. He would prefer to keep an eye on it; however, he would support it recognizing this body was supportive of it.

A motion was made by Council Member Stringham, seconded by Council Member Theodore, to support HB 358, based on what was discussed here, pending the substitute supported the County's compromise, and if it did not, the Council would watch the bill and figure out what to do at that point. The motion passed unanimously.

- HB 371 Working Farm and Ranch Protection Fund

Ms. Kara Trevino, Intergovernmental Relations Manager, Council Office, stated last year, Representatives Joel Ferry and Casey Snider worked on this bill to try to find a funding source for the LeRay McAllister Fund. Toward the end of the session, they substituted the bill, which took all the rollback money from all the counties to go to the LeRay McAllister Fund. The counties pushed back and got the bill modified to only take a percentage of the rollback money. The County still opposed that and worked with Rep. Snider over the interim who agreed that 20 percent of the rollback could be used toward the preservation of open space and agricultural land, and he was amenable to the County using it for trails, natural areas, and watershed preservations. The bill would require counties to set up a fund, and then it would be up to mayor and legislative body to decide how to use those funds according to the restrictions. Last year, the County's rollback amount would have been \$6 million. Under this bill, \$1.2 million of that \$6 million would go into that fund.

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Granato, to support HB 371. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- HB 374 County Sheriff Amendments

Ms. Kara Trevino, Intergovernmental Relations Manager, Council Office, stated this bill was worked on over the interim. It prohibits the Salt Lake County Sheriff or any of her deputies from being the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Unified Police Department (UPD).

Sheriff Rosie Rivera stated this bill not only prevents the Sheriff from being the CEO of the UPD, it also prevents the Sheriff from overseeing a task force, such as the Metro Gang Unit. Her priority is public safety. She wanted to do what was best to provide services to communities and protect the officers of the Sheriff's Office. This bill does not protect the Sheriff's Office. It changes what the Sheriff in this county can do, but the changes only apply to a county of the first class.

Today she, along with the chair of the UPD Board of Directors, the Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area, and many mayors met with the sponsor to discuss a proposal to do away with the bill and let the County fix the issues it believed were there, but he was not willing to budge on the effective date of December 2023, nor was he willing to kill the bill. She also met with other sheriffs in the Utah Sheriffs' Association who were concerned this bill would eventually impact them too. A substitute bill was suggested that would clean up the statute and take out the portions that allowed the UPD to exist, with a two-year effective date.

Council Member Stringham stated she was not initially opposed to this bill, but after taking a deep dive into the bill, she is now opposed to it. A conflict of interest does not exist. The Sheriff does not have contract authority for the County nor the UPD. The Mayor has contract authority for the County, and the UPD Board of Directors and metro townships have contract authority for the UPD. The purpose for creating the UPD was to allow efficiencies of scale, and bringing the Sheriff into the UPD allowed for some of those efficiencies. The metro townships could not exist if this changed. This bill is trying to fix a problem that does not exist. Countywide services are the determination of this Council budgetarily, not the Legislature, and she saw this bill as overreach.

A motion was made by Council Member Stringham, seconded by Council Member Granato, to oppose HB 374.

Council Member Theodore asked what would happen if this bill passed.

Sheriff Rivera stated if the bill passes the way it is written, the Sheriff and Undersheriff would no longer be part of the UPD, and the Sheriff's statutory duties that are done by UPD officers, such as warrants, extraditions, and the canyons, would come back to the

County and be done by the Sheriff's Office or the County would have to contract with another agency for those services. The Sheriff's Office would also have to pull back on some of the shared services and recreate those. There would be a big financial impact to the remaining UPD members and the County. The County would have to hire officers to provide the services it brought back, and it would need to increase the rates for officers because all the other agencies in the County pay a higher rate.

Council Member Bradley stated this bill would be a disaster on a number of levels.

Council Member Theodore stated this bill tampers with law enforcement services provided to residents, which she was not willing to do. She was opposed to the bill.

Council Member Bradshaw stated he supported the motion to oppose the bill. The UPD was created to be a good partner to the municipalities that were utilizing the Sheriff's Office for their law enforcement services and to ensure the Sheriff had a base to provide law enforcement services. He would rather dissolve the UPD than remove the Sheriff as the CEO of the UPD.

Mayor Jennifer Wilson stated she also opposed the bill. The Sheriff has shown a great deal of willingness to provide transparency to ensure there are fair costs to all the parties involved under the UPD. Regional services are critical to the County and have historically been run efficiently through the Sheriff. She did not want to see an erosion of those services. Crime does not understand municipal boundaries.

Council Member Stewart stated there is a conflict with the Sheriff being the CEO of the UPD. The County is funding the UPD. A percentage of the entire UPD budget gets assigned to Salt Lake County, but there is no calculation for determining what percentage of that is for services provided to communities outside of the UPD.

Council Member Alvord stated he supported the bill. The UPD only serves sections of the County, so separating the Sheriff from the UPD would make it clean. He could not see the harm to the member cities by the UPD's responsibilities being given to another person. The County could contract with the UPD Chief for countywide services and the UPD would have to give money back to the County for the Sheriff's statutory duties.

Council Member Granato amended the motion to strongly oppose HB 374. Council Member Stringham accepted the amendment. The motion to strongly oppose HB 374 carried 6 to 2, with Council Members Stewart and Alvord voting in opposition.

Ms. Kara Trevino, Intergovernmental Relations Manager, Council Office, stated all the bills the Council has taken a position on are in a good place.

**3.7 Informational Report of Deposits and Investments as of [23-0062](#)
December 31, 2022**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Report of Deposits and Investments as of December 31, 2022](#)
[UtahDandIReportHoldings 12.31.22](#)
[Copy of PTIF vs NON-PTIF Rate of Return 12.31.22](#)
[Treasurer Salt Lake County 2-3-23.pptx](#)

Presenter: K. Wayne Cushing, Treasurer (Approx. 10 mins)
Informational

Mr. Wayne Cushing, County Treasurer, delivered a PowerPoint presentation, reviewing the Deposit and Investment Report for the period ending December 31, 2022; Salt Lake County's PTIF versus non-PTIF rate of return for 2020, 2021, and 2022, indicating that by going outside of the PTIF Fund, the County was able to make an additional \$3.2 million of interest income; graphs showing the yield curve comparison for January 1, 2022, and February 3, 2023, and whether the market expectations indicating the rates are going to peak short-term and start declining will change the Federal Government's actions; and SB 81 - Property Tax Deferral Revisions, which will require all counties to comply with the modifications the beginning of 2024.

TIME CERTAIN 2:30 PM

**3.8 Informational Presentation Regarding Water Conservation [23-0068](#)
and Forest Management**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)

Sponsor: Councilmembers Harrison and Bradshaw
Presenter: Laura Briefer, Director of Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities; Ben Abbot, Professor of Environmental Science and Global Hydrology at Brigham Young University; Rebecca Hotze, District Ranger for the Salt Lake Ranger District with the Forest Service (Approx. 45 mins)
Informational

Council Member Harrison stated last week, a presentation was introduced on the possible impact of trees on the quantity of water supplies, so she has invited people with expertise here today to talk about that.

Ms. Laura Briefer, Director, Salt Lake City Public Utilities, provided a history of her education and experience on watershed issues and natural resources and a review of Salt Lake City Public Utilities, which included watershed management, providing water to about 365,000 people, water sources, federal and state regulations, planning efforts, water conservation actions, and partnerships.

She then focused on the nexus of forest management, watershed management, and water resources in the Great Salt Lake, clarifying some information presented at last week's Council Work Session:

➤With regard to the current status of the forest and the number of trees planted for restoration, she explained in the mid-1800s, there was a lot of mining and deforestation activity in the watersheds, to the extent the loss of trees caused water quality problems. When the Forest Reserve Act was established in the early 1900s, one purpose was to protect and restore those watersheds so the community in the valley below could rely on this water and it would not be a public health risk. As a result, a lot of trees were planted, and that reforestation helped clean up water supply for the public.

➤Last week, there was a mismatch of data presented regarding water yields of Big Cottonwood Canyon. It is true that about 50,000 acre feet of yield is the average annual yield of Big Cottonwood Creek, but calling the 20,000 acre feet of water Salt Lake City uses "firm yield" was a mismatch. Firm yield is different from the total amount of water that comes out of the canyon. What it meant was that as a water manager planning out to the year 2060, year over year, she counted on 20,000 acre feet being available to account for things like mega droughts and climate risk, and for times the water is available, but cannot be used. Firm yield is different than what the overall watershed might produce on an annual basis.

➤In the Wasatch Front, as well as arid environments in general, climate change and sustained drought are the most likely drivers of downward trends of water availability on a year over year basis, not the amount of trees in the

watershed.

➤ Statements that indicate water conservation and other efforts will not make a difference in the Great Salt Lake were concerning. It is imperative that water conservation and investment efforts in water efficiency happen in urban and agricultural areas.

➤ Healthy forests are critically important, but it is more important to have resilient water supplies. Forests need to be healthy so there is a hydrological cycle and an ecosystem that can continue to provide that service to the community. A catastrophic fire in the watersheds would negatively impact the quality and availability of water. Wholesale tree removal in the Wasatch may have very large negative impacts; however, things like strategic tree thinning and forest management could have a lot of positive impacts in terms of reduction of catastrophic fire risk.

Mr. Ben Abbott, Assistant Professor of Ecosystem Ecology, Brigham Young University, and **Mr. Brian Brown**, PHD student, Brigham Young University, delivered a PowerPoint presentation on Opportunities and Risks in the Great Salt Lake Rescue, reviewing emergency measures needed to rescue the Great Salt Lake from ongoing collapse; graphs of the water volume, surface area, and elevation; what is causing the decline; a global pattern caused by water overuse, exacerbated by climate change; high stakes: habitat, economy, and air quality; threats to the way of life; a question of how to fix it; runoff = precipitation - evapotranspiration; change in runoff; proposed and current augmentation projects (high risk, high cost); the power of conservation: living within means; the Central Utah Water Conservancy District; a reflection of the values; dynamics of dryland moisture recycling; and graphs showing: forest fire areas and fuel aridity; the cumulative forest area burned with and without climate change; forest cover and forest wildfire area burned from 1984 to 2015, and forested hectares burned with eight National Ecological Observatory Network's (NEON) domains; where it will be hotter, dryer, and smokier with precipitation trends; and streamflow to Bear, Jordan, and Weber Lakes, and the lake elevation.

Ms. Rebecca Hotze, District Ranger, Salt Lake Ranger District, US Forest Service, gave a history of her education and experience in forestry, natural resources, and land management, as well as the US Forest Service's mission and its partnerships. Past management decisions along the Wasatch Front

helped shaped the canyons today, including mining and grazing, timber removal, the reforestation, fire suppression, the reluctance to remove mature trees, and natural phenomena like high stand densities, pests, and diseases. Suppressing fires at the smallest possible size and not removing trees has created a heavy build-up of fuels that could lead to unwanted catastrophic fires. Compared with fuels treatments, i.e., burning piles and prescribed fires, unwanted catastrophic wildfires produce more smoke into the air; burn the soils hotter, which produces more runoff, including debris flows; and can take years if not decades to restore those forests. To help combat some of the adverse effects of unwanted catastrophic wildfires, the US Forest Service began working with partners to manage lands along the Wasatch Front to protect communities and the drinking water resources from unwanted fires. In 2020, it established a focus group to prioritize fuels projects across the landscape to reduce wildfire risks to communities and natural resources by restoring and maintaining healthy, resilient, fire adaptive forests. She shared a video of that partnership. She also reviewed upcoming fuels projects.

Council Member Theodore submitted a list of questions to the Clerk and would be emailing them to the participants. (The questions are on file in the Council Clerk's Office in the Government Center, 2001 South State, Suite N2-700.)

3.9 Informational Presentation on the Audit of Solid Waste Management [23-0050](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Solid Waste Management Presentation.pptx](#)

Presenter: Chris Harding, Auditor (Approx. 10 mins)
Informational

Mr. Chris Harding, County Auditor, delivered a PowerPoint presentation on the Audit of Solid Waste Management, reviewing the background for why the Auditor's Office audited Solid Waste Management; the audit objectives and scope; and six findings.

Council Member Bradley stated the second finding had to do with a customer balance of almost \$35,000 that was written off without consultation with the District Attorney's Office. He thought it should be made clear that the money was not missing; it was a finding due to late payments not being

made.

Council Member Granato stated late interest payments have come up in several audits. She asked what steps had been taken to standardize policy and inform the departments what was required under policy.

Mr. Harding stated some organizations received a finding because they did not enforce the contract terms of an interlocal agreement, and it had to do with Policy 1220.

Ms. Catherine Kanter, Deputy Mayor of Regional Operations, stated there are two different issues here. The first is the write-off for \$35,000. The Solid Waste Management manager made the executive decision to waive that because it was uncollectible because the statute of limitations had expired. However, he did omit checking with the District Attorney's Office, which he should have done.

With regard to Policy 1220, there is some ambiguity whether or not late fees or interest should be charged in interlocal agreements or contracts with other entities. Sometimes when an agency gets a contract from a state or other agency, there is no way to negotiate the terms, and some contracts might have provisions regarding late payments or interest fees that are inconsistent with the way Policy 1220 is drafted. The Mayor's Office is looking into that and will take its findings to the District Attorney's Office to seek advice on how to clean up the policy.

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated the Council approved legislative intent a year or so ago to review policies and ordinances. It became apparent through recent audits and a presentation the Auditor took to the Steering Committee, that Policy 1220 needed to be among those considered for revision. He is in the process of working with the District Attorney's Office on a list of policies that need revising, starting with the ones that were most out of date, and will bring that back to the Council in the coming months.

3.10 Informational Presentation on the Sandy Hills General Plan

[23-0003](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Public Hearing_Council_StaffReport_SHGeneralPlan](#)
[Executive Summary of GP](#)
[Sandy Hills GP February PublicHearing](#)
[SHCouncil_PublicHearingPresentation_Jan2023.pptx](#)
[Ordinance Approving General Plan RAFL\(2\).pdf](#)

Presenter: Kayla Mauldin, Senior Long Range Planner; Zach Shaw, District Attorney (Approx. 30 mins)
Informational

Council Member Alvord asked if this agenda item could be heard in the 4:00 PM Council meeting.

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated the Council can move that to the 4:00 PM Council meeting without a motion to do so.

Council Member Alvord moved this item to the 4:00 PM Council meeting.

4. CONSENT ITEMS:

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Bradley, that the consent agenda be approved and forwarded to the 4:00 PM Council meeting for ratification. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Harrison was absent for the vote.

**4.1 Appointment of Jacob Nieuwsma as Deputy Constable to [23-0048](#)
Salt Lake County Constable Travis J. Reitz.**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)

This consent item was approved and forwarded.

**4.2 Appointment of Riley Rodriguez as Deputy Constable to Salt [23-0049](#)
Lake County Constable Jeremy T. Reitz**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)

This consent item was approved and forwarded.

- 4.3 A Contribution from the Salt Lake County Council and Mayor in the Amount of \$3,000 to the Alzheimer's Association in Memory of Gayla Casper [23-0065](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Gayla Casper Obituary \(1943 - 2023\) - Salt Lake City, UT - The Salt Lake Tribune.pdf](#)

This consent item was approved and forwarded.

- 4.4 Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Authorizing Execution of an Amendment to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement Between Salt Lake County for its Division of Parks and Recreation and Salt Lake City for Improvements to the City's Oak Hills Tennis Center [22-1294](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[230117-Oak Hills Tennis Contract Ext-Cover Letter](#)
[RESOLUTION-SLC-Oak Hills Tennis Amnd 3 RTFL](#)
[Oak Hills Tennis Center](#)

This consent item was approved and forwarded.

- 4.5 Consideration of a Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Approving Execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with West Valley City Providing for the Transfer of County Transportation Funds for a Certain Transportation Project within Salt Lake County [23-0058](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[WVC Agreement - WVC Cross Towne Trail - Transportation Funds](#)
[Resolution - WVC Cross Towne Trail - Transportation Funds](#)

This consent item was approved and forwarded.

5. ITEMS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS

5.1. Internal Business

Notice of Cancellation of the Tuesday, February 14, 2023 Council Work Session

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Bradley, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Harrison was absent for the vote.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

**6.1 Approval of January 24th, 2023 Council Work Session [23-0066](#)
Minutes**

Attachments: [012423 CWS Minutes](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Bradley, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member Harrison was absent for the vote.

ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 3:45 PM.

LANNIE CHAPMAN, COUNTY CLERK

By _____
DEPUTY CLERK

By _____
CHAIR, SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL