

SALT LAKE COUNTY

*2001 So. State Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(385) 468-7500 TTY 711*



Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, February 25, 2020

4:00 PM

Council Chambers, N1-110

County Council

1. CALL TO ORDER

Present: Council Member Shireen Ghorbani
Council Member Richard Snelgrove
Council Member Jim Bradley
Council Member Arlyn Bradshaw
Council Member Michael Jensen
Council Member Ann Granato
Council Member Steve DeBry
Council Chair Max Burdick
Council Member Aimee Winder Newton

Invocation - Reading - Thought

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. John Kennamer, Surveryor's Office, led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.

2. CITIZEN PUBLIC INPUT

Comments are limited to 3 minutes unless otherwise approved by the Council. To comment by phone, please call (385-468-7480) by the beginning of the meeting. Those who are present may be asked to speak first.

Council Member Burdick stated due to the large number of citizens who might want to address the Council during its "Citizen Public Input," he asked if the Council wanted to limit the comments to two minutes instead of the three minutes normally allocated for each citizen.

Council Member DeBry stated there might be a legality because the agenda states that citizens have three minutes.

Mr. Mitchell Park, Legal Counsel, Council Office, stated the agenda does state that comments should be limited to three minutes, unless otherwise approved by the Council. The Council can change the time limit if it so chooses.

Council Member Snelgrove, seconded by Council Member DeBry, moved to not change the time allocated for each citizen to provide comment.

Council Member Burdick stated a motion was not needed. The time allocated will remain at three minutes.

The following citizens spoke in opposition to the Olympia Hills Planned Development Community project expressing concern because of its size, sustainability, congestion, density, water supply, traffic, schools, setting of a precedent for other development, and the surrounding cities do not want this.

Citizens also noted that it would be a waste of taxpayers' money if this development is approved before the Southwest Visioning Study is completed. The development does not follow the Southwest General Plan. If approved, a referendum to recall the vote will be started.

Scott Watson

Fred C. Cox

Teddy Hodges

Jinny Valle

Lorin Smith

Kris Braun

Cory Wright

Steve Shields, Herriman Council Member

Sam Hammond

Judy Van Eperen

Michael Tomer

Kell Bjorn

Keith Brienholt

Taunie Barker, who read a prepared letter signed by the Mayor and all City Council Members of West Jordan City

Kevin Allred

Adam Morley

3. REPORT OF ELECTED OFFICIALS:

3.1. Council Members

3.2. Other Elected Officials

3.3. Mayor

**4. PROCLAMATIONS, DECLARATIONS, AND OTHER CEREMONIAL OR
COMMEMORATIVE MATTERS**

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS AND NOTICES

6. PLANNING AND ZONING MATTERS

- 6.1 Three Ordinances of the Legislative Body of Salt Lake County, Utah Concerning Olympia Hills Development: 1) Amendment of the Southwest Community General Plan; 2) Amendment of the Salt Lake County Zoning Map to Rezone Property Located at Approximately 6300-8500 W. and 12400-13100 S. From A-2 (Agricultural) and M-2 (Industrial) to P-C (Planned Community); and 3) Approval of a P-C Zone Plan and Associated Master Development Agreement** [20-0204](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[SW Community Ordinance](#)
[Reclassifying Ordinance](#)
[Ordinance Approving Community Zone Plan](#)
[Master Development Plan](#)
[Exhibits D-H of Master Plan](#)
[MSD General Plan Amendment](#)
[parks-rec-master-plan](#)
[Title 14 HIGHWAYS SIDEWALKS AND PUBLIC PLACES \(1\)](#)
[Chapter 15.28 HIGHWAY DEDICATION](#)
[Title 17 FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY](#)
[Title 18 SUBDIVISIONS](#)
[Title 19 ZONING](#)

Discussion/Direction

Final Adoption May Take Place on March 3, 2020

After hearing comments under "Citizen Public Input," Council Member Burdick opened up the Council meeting for discussion from Council Members.

Council Member DeBry highlighted the following issues:

Transportation frustration that citizens are feeling in this area is not the result of Salt Lake County, but the poor planning of city leaders.

The Council needs to ask itself if this development is the right thing, done in the right way, for the right reason, and at the right time. If the answer is no to

any of these questions, then it should not be approved.

He has a problem with the high density, water, and transportation needs this development would create.

Never has there been so much citizen outcry over any issue than what he has seen for this. He has heard the voices and will be voting against these ordinances.

Council Member Bradley highlighted the following issues:

Citizens are concerned about the change this development would bring. Change cannot be stopped, but change needs to be managed, which is what the Master Development Agreement (agreement) does.

Another concern relates to the lack of commercial development in this area. A provision in the agreement restricts further development of housing without commercial. The two will be developed in tandem.

He has an obligation to support proposals that serve the best interest of the County and its people as a whole, not just a particular area. The challenges faced in this area are the same throughout the County.

This planned community is the best and most appropriate way to deal with development. It gives the County the opportunity to say what is needed in the community so people will not need to travel long distances and allows the County to stop the development if provisions are not met.

It places an unprecedented requirement upon the developer to share in the responsibility to mitigate impacts outside of its development.

Council Member Newton highlighted the following issues:

The development is not in the right place. High density affordable housing should not be placed in the outskirts of the County, but should be close to freeways and transit corridors. It is unethical to have so much density so far out.

This project is not a five- or ten-acre project; it is 900 acres and that is a lot of density.

Years ago, plans should have been put in place for an east-west freeway.

There are no planned UTA transit stops in this development. She could not vote for a project that would necessitate switching up infrastructure priorities. If this development goes through, there will be a need to put more transportation priorities into this area and it is not fair to the rest of the County.

It is likely this development would be annexed into a neighboring city, but yet the Council would be making a decision contrary to what all the neighboring cities and residents wanted.

It is not the job of the Council to make sure a developer maximizes his or her profits.

Council Member Jensen highlighted the following issues:

Last year, the Council passed a resolution that instructed its planning staff that before any application for this area was to be considered, 13 principles had to be addressed. This agreement meets those requirements.

Previous leadership in the area has done a disservice to the residents; developments have not been well planned and have no connectivity. Cities could not tell developers that it the development was done wrong. This agreement allows the County to review development along the way and can stop it if things are not done right.

Mayor Jennifer Wilson, Salt Lake County Mayor, just amended the agreement to make sure commercial and residential were tied together.

Salt Lake County's lobbying team has been instructed to go to the Legislature to seek funds to improve 13400 South on Bangerter, Bacchus Highway, and Mountain View Corridor.

A lot of developments in this area allow for density greater than this proposal.

There is no development agreement or rezone, that he is aware of in the state of Utah, where the developer is mandated to help with transportation

infrastructure improvements outside of their development. The transportation piece of the agreement tells the developer that every time there is a community structure plan, there has to be a transportation study done. Then, whatever incremental increase that development has on the transportation, it has to pay for it. Staff will monitor it to make sure it goes through and if it does not, then no new permits will be issued.

If the developer does not pay for all of the infrastructure inside the development, then new permits will not be issued.

If the property is annexed, the city can renegotiate all of it. The cities do not have to honor the ordinances or master agreement.

UTA has no routes in the entire southwest area, but it does have mini-transit that covers the red line, blue line, and frontrunner.

A master development plan is by far the best way to go. If the developer defaults in anyway, it will not get additional building permits. The agreement is enforceable.

Council Member Ghorbani highlighted the following issues:

Citizens have concerns about transportation in this area.

Quality of life for the residents is important and the best tool available is to plan for infrastructure requiring the developer to be accountable through a masterplan agreement.

Open space is a priority. In the agreement, there has to be open space within one-quarter of a mile from every home.

In a development of this size, it is critical to have a masterplan agreement to make sure citizens get what they are requesting.

Concerns of the citizens have been heard.

Council Member Granato highlighted the following issues:

Changes are coming that cannot be stopped; however, planned communities speak to exactly what people want in order to keep their quality of life.

Two-thirds of the growth within Salt Lake County is internal growth, from people who already live here.

Planned communities bring amenities, parks, recreation areas, trails, retail, schools, and churches. It brings everything that is needed and wanted to have a great quality of life.

The Council listened to the residents' concerns, and then the plan was developed and it is livable. The project will be developed slowly over a period of years

Council Member Bradshaw highlighted the following issues:

Different conclusions can come from the same set of facts. There is also some misinformation that has been circulating. The density is not unprecedented, but the master agreement puts a hard cap on the number of units that can be constructed.

It is important to acknowledge how many changes have occurred between what was voted on previously and what is being voted on tonight. The cap on units has been reduced by one-third, which is significant.

Another change to the agreement is to require that commercial is developed proportionally with residential, and to review it to make sure this is done before a new phase is started.

There will be multiple opportunities over the next 20 years for the County to continue to reshape, renegotiate, or put a stop to the building if the agreement is not met.

The Master Development Agreement is binding.

Transportation corridors will receive help through fees.

Mass transit would not come unless people were there who were going to use it.

Council Member Burdick highlighted the following issues:

The Master Development Agreement has gone too far. It puts too much on the developer. The developer is being asked to do things that no one else has ever been asked to do. There are huge restrictions on this development.

It is hard to require a developer to have a lower density than what has already been approved in this area.

There has been good planning with this development; it is well thought through.

Transportation is always a problem. The County is trying to get the Legislature to fund the needed road improvements to help with this problem.

The Council has heard the concerns expressed and that is why this project has been improved.

The development will not happen overnight.

Affordable housing requirements in other developments is usually set at 10 percent; this development is set at 15 percent.

Work force housing is also required, which is something that has never been done before.

A motion was made by Council Member DeBry, seconded by Council Member Snelgrove, to deny Application No. 30650. The motion failed 3 to 6 with Council Members Snelgrove, Newton, and DeBry voting in favor of the motion and Council Members Bradley, Jensen, Granato, Bradshaw, Ghorbani, and Burdick voting in opposition.

A motion was made by Council Member Bradley, seconded by Council Member Burdick, to approve Application No. 30650 including the Amendment to the Southwest General Plan, Amendment to the Salt Lake County Zoning Map, and the Planned Master Agreement and to forward these three ordinances to the March 3, 2020, Council meeting for final consideration. The motion passed 6 to 3 with Council Members Bradley, Jensen, Granato, Bradshaw, Ghorbani, and Burdick voting in favor of the motion and Council Members DeBry, Snelgrove, and Newton voting in opposition.

7. RATIFICATION OF DISCUSSION ITEM ACTIONS IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

7.1 Final Adoption of an Ordinance of the Legislative Body of Salt Lake County, Utah, Amending Chapter Section 2.97.050 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, Entitled “Debt Review Committee” Redefining Principal Amount Outstanding to Include Unamortized Premium [20-0132](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Amendment\(1.30.20\)](#)

ORDINANCE NO. 1870

AN ORDINANCE OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY OF SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH, AMENDING SECTION 2.97.050 OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES, 2001, ENTITLED “DEBT REVIEW COMMITTEE” REDEFINING PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OUTSTANDING TO INCLUDE UNAMORTIZED PREMIUM

The County Legislative Body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

SECTION I. The amendments made herein are designated by underlining the new substituted words. Words being deleted are designated by brackets with a line drawn through said words.

SECTION II. Section 2.97.050, of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001 is hereby amended to read as follows:

2.97.090 - Credit worthiness for conduit issues.

The County will not act as an issuer on behalf of any third party unless the following credit worthiness is established:

A. Governmental entities must have a bond rating from a national rating agency of “A” or higher;

B. Private entities must have a bond rating from a national rating agency of investment grade or higher or must provide credit enhancement in the form of

a direct pay letter of credit (LOC) or bond insurance in a form acceptable to the County. Any LOC guarantee must be issued by a bank authorized to do business in the state of Utah with a rating on no less than "A." Bond insurance shall be by a national insurer acceptable to the County.

C. Private entities proposing a direct private placement of the debt issue must provide a letter from the purchaser describing the direct purchaser as a sophisticated "accredited investor" within the meaning of Section 2(15) of the Securities Act of 1933.

(Ord. 1562 § 1, 2005; Ord. 1527 § 1 (part), 2004)

Attachment A

MUNICIPAL AND CONDUIT FINANCING FEE SCHEDULE

A. Application Fee. Any party requesting the County to act as an issuer on its behalf shall submit an initial application containing such information as is required by the County and pay a nonrefundable application fee of two thousand five hundred dollars, for a new issue and five hundred dollars for a refunding issue. This fee, when received, shall be applied as a credit against the issuance fee.

B. Issuance Fee - New and Refunding Issues. \$7,500.00 plus:

1. 0.15 percent per first \$5,000,000.00 in principal;
2. 0.10 percent per second \$5,000,000.00 in principal;
3. 0.075 percent per third \$5,000,000.00 in principal; and
4. 0.05 percent for all amounts in excess of \$15,000,000.00

C. Annual Maintenance Fee. In addition to the application and issuance fees, a conduit borrower shall be subject to an annual maintenance fee to be paid to the County. Calculation and payment of the fee to the County shall be provided for in the bond documents, made annually on the anniversary date of the issuance, and shall be a duty of the trustee. The fee shall be calculated at an annual rate equal to four basis points (four one-hundredths of one percent) of the principal amount, including any unamortized premium, of the bonds then outstanding. The minimum annual maintenance fee for any issue shall be one thousand dollars and the maximum annual maintenance fee for any issue shall be ten thousand dollars.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall become effective fifteen (15) days after its passage and upon at least one publication in a newspaper published and having general circulation in Salt Lake County.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.2 Legislative Update [20-0205](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.3 Budget Adjustment: Fleet Management's Request for Technical Adjustment Transferring the Fuel Island Budget of \$2,538,973 from Capital Projects to Balance Sheet Account [20-0193](#)

Attachments: [680099IA01 Fleet Fuel Island](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.4 Budget Adjustment: Public Works Request for New Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District Capital Project, 8086 W 3500 S Sidewalk (\$388,834 in Revenue and Expense) [20-0194](#)

Attachments: [451000IA02 Public Works Engineering 8086 W 3500 S](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a

unanimous vote.

- 7.5 Budget Adjustment: Public Works' Request for Increase to Greater Salt Municipal Services District Capital Project, Grit Mill Parking Lot (\$121,000 in Revenue and Expense)** [20-0195](#)

Attachments: [451000IA03 Public Works Engineering Grit Mill](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- 7.6 Budget Adjustment: Solid Waste Management's Request for New Capital Project, Force Main Sewer Lateral (\$128,469 Balance Sheet Expense)** [20-0196](#)

Attachments: [475000IA01 Solid Waste Management Sewer Lateral](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- 7.7 Budget Adjustment: UPACA Eccles Theater's Request to Transfer \$200,000 in Equipment Replacement Funds from their Operations Org to their Capital Org and Reassign a Project ID** [20-0209](#)

Attachments: [340000IA01 ECCLES Equip Replacement](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- 7.8 Budget Adjustment: SLCO Arts and Culture's Request to Distribute Utility Savings of \$73,320 Across the Venue Operational Budgets** [20-0208](#)

Attachments: [350000IA01 Arts and Culture Utility Allocation](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

- 7.9 Budget Adjustment: Equestrian Park's Request to Transfer** [20-0207](#)
-

\$94,860 in Under Expend from Various Capital Projects to Existing RV Parking Capital Project

Attachments: [526400IA01 Equestrian Park RV Parking](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.10 Budget Adjustment: Regional Development's Request to Appropriate \$500,000 from Restricted Account for Magna Road Project Pursuant to Interlocal Agreement [20-0206](#)

Attachments: [102500IA01 Regional Development SB268 Pass Through](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.11 Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Authorizing Execution of a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement with Salt Lake Valley Habitat for Humanity and Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake (Also Known as Housing Connect), and Related Documents [20-0199](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Resolution for Purchase and Sale Agreement with SLVH and Housing Connect](#)
[4500 South Signed Agreement](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5698

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A REAL ESTATE PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT WITH SALT LAKE VALLEY HABITAT FOR HUMANITY AND HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF SALT LAKE (ALSO KNOWN AS HOUSING CONNECT), AND RELATED DOCUMENTS

WITNESSETH

A. Salt Lake County (the "County") owns fee title to several parcels of real

property located at approximately 4474 South Main Street, Murray, Utah, identified as Parcel Nos. 21-01-426-001, 21-01-426-002, 21-01-426-003, 21-01-426-006, and 21-01-503-014 (the “County Property”).

B. After marketing the County Property, an offer by Salt Lake Valley Habitat for Humanity, a Utah non-profit corporation (SLVH”) and the Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake, a body corporate and politic, sometimes doing business as Housing Connect (“Housing Connect”) (SLVH and Housing Connect are jointly referred to herein as “Buyers”), was selected by the County for the purchase of County Property.

C. Buyers have offered to purchase the property for \$2,500,000.00, which has been reviewed and approved by the Salt Lake County Real Estate Division as a fair market value for the County Property.

D. The County and Buyers have negotiated to convey the County Property pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement (“Purchase Agreement”) attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

E. Having held public hearing after providing notice, the County declared the County Property surplus by Resolution No. 5468 on January 8, 2019.

F. It has been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by conveying the County Property to Buyers as proposed in the attached Purchase Agreement. The conveyance of the County Property will comply with all applicable state statutes and County ordinances.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the County Property shall be conveyed to Buyers by special warranty deed in accordance with the terms of the Purchase Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and by this reference made a part of this Resolution; and the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute said Agreement.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the Mayor and County Clerk are hereby authorized to execute such other documents as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate the closing

contemplated by the Purchase Agreement including but not limited to the Special Warranty Deed attached herein as Exhibit 2.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

7.12 Real Estate Discussion and Associated Resolution

[20-0187](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5699

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING THE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN SALT LAKE COUNTY AND SIEMPRE, LLC

RECITALS

A. Salt Lake County (the “County”) owns certain real property in Draper, Utah, known as the South Mountain Golf Course (the “South Mountain”).

B. On November 16, 2018, the County issued RFP No. PAR53640 Stage 1 for a Mixed-Use Development on a portion of the property located at South Mountain; the development is hereafter referred to as the “Project.”

C. On February 2, 2019, Landlord issued RFP No. PAR53640-1 Stage 2 for the Project.

D. Siempre, LLC (“Siempre”) submitted proposals in response to the County’s RFPs for the Project on January 16, 2019 for Stage 1 and on

March 5, 2019, for Stage 2.

E. On March 12, 2019, the County approved the RFP selection committee’s recommendation to enter into a long-term lease with Siempre.

F. The lease will be for forty-five years, and at the end of the lease, the event center that Siempre will construct as part of the Project will become owned by the County.

G. During the term of the lease, the County may use one of the two venues at the event center in accordance with the terms of an Agreement Regarding operations at South Mountain Golf Course that will be signed concurrently with the lease.

H. The County and Siempre have negotiated a Ground Lease, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, containing the terms and conditions whereby the County will lease a portion of South Mountain to Siempre for the Project.

I. It has been determined that the best interests of Salt Lake County will be served by leasing a portion of South Mountain to Siempre as provided in the Ground Lease.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby resolved by the Salt Lake County Council for the reasons stated in the Recitals that the Ground Lease between Salt Lake County and Siempre is hereby approved, and that the Mayor is authorized to execute said Ground Lease.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

8. RATIFICATION OF CONSENT ITEM ACTIONS IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this consent agenda be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

8.1 Clark Planetarium Requests Approval of \$76,398 in Promotional Fee Waivers and Discounts for 2020 [20-0170](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Clark Planetarium 2020 Discounts and Promotions_Final Package](#)

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

8.2 Report of Deposits and Investments as of December 31, 2019 [20-0154](#)

Attachments: [Report of Deposits and Investments as of December 31 2019](#)

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

8.3 A Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Approving the Execution of an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement With Utah State University for Collaboration in the 4-H Discovery Days [20-0150](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[USU2020YOUTHEVENT-Resolution](#)
[USU2020YOUTHEVENT](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5700

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING THE EXECUTION OF AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY FOR COLLABORATION IN THE 4-H DISCOVERY DAYS

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County (“County”) and Utah State University (“University”) are “public agencies” as defined by the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Ann. §§11-13-101 *et seq.* (“Interlocal Act”), and, as such, are authorized by the Interlocal Act to enter into this Agreement to act jointly and cooperatively in a manner that will enable them to make the most efficient use of their resources and powers. Additionally, Section 11-13-215 of the Interlocal Act also authorizes a taxing entity to share its tax and other revenues with other public agencies; and

WHEREAS, County and University desire to enter into a Interlocal Agreement attached hereto as ATTACHMENT A to collaborate and provide a community educational event known as “4-H Discovery Days” highlighting the 4-H program and Utah’s agricultural roots.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the County Council of Salt Lake County:

1. That the Interlocal Agreement between Salt Lake County and Utah State university is approved, in substantially the form attached hereto as ATTACHMENT A, and that the Salt Lake County Mayor is authorized to execute the same.
2. That the Interlocal Agreement will become effective as stated in the Interlocal Agreement.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

Execution and Delivery of an Interlocal Agreement with West Valley City Corporation to Develop a Public Swimming Pool Facility

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[West Valley City Interlocal Agreement](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5701

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL, AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH WEST VALLEY CITY.

RECITALS

- A. In 1999, Salt Lake County (the “County”) leased property in Centennial Park and built an outdoor public swimming pool (the “Pool”) pursuant to Salt Lake County Interlocal Agreement”, with West Valley City (the “City”), attached hereto as Exhibit A. The County has since funded and operated the pool.
- B. Under the 1999 Interlocal Agreement, ownership of the real property associated with Pool was to transfer to the County upon final payment by the City on the bond financing the City’s purchase of the real property.
- C. Although it was estimated to be paid and closed in April of 2019, the bond is still in place with the final payment now estimated as due early in 2020.
- D. The City and the County now desire to terminate the 1999 Interlocal Agreement, prior to final payment of the bond, and thereby terminate the City’s obligation to transfer ownership of any real property to the County.
- E. The City and County also desire that the title to the Pool and certain Pool related improvements be transferred to the City.
- F. It has been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by executing the attached Interlocal Cooperation Agreement and Quit Claim Deed. The grant of said Quit Claim Deed will follow all applicable state statutes and county ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the Pool, including all pool improvements, is hereby declared surplus property.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that it is in the County’s best interest to terminate Salt Lake County Interlocal Agreement No. MT9004C, and the Mayor is authorized and directed to execute the Interlocal Agreement, in a form substantially similar to Attachment A of this Resolution, and any other related document necessary to complete this transaction.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

8.5 A Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Approving and Authorizing the Mayor to Grant a Perpetual Easement to Rocky Mountain Power at Magna Regional Park [20-0189](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Council Packet RMP Magna Regional Park](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5702

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO GRANT A PERPETUAL EASEMENT TO ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER AT MAGNA REGIONAL PARK

RECITALS

A. Salt Lake County (the “County”) has been working to develop Magna Regional Park (the “Park”), and Rocky Mountain Power (“RMP”) owns a parcel of real property located at approximately 4042 S. 7200 W. Magna, UT 84044 (the “Property”).

B. An easement is necessary to allow RMP access to service and maintain a power line for power to the Park.

C. It has been determined that in exchange for the easement, RMP will install the power line facilities necessary to provide electrical services to the Park.

D. It has been determined that installation and connection to the RMP’s power line facilities constitutes fair and adequate consideration for the grant of the easement.

E. It has also been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by granting the easement to RMP. The terms and conditions of the easement is in compliance with all applicable state statues and county ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the Mayor and County Clerk are hereby authorized to execute the easement, attached hereto as Attachment 1 and by this reference made a part of this Resolution, and to deliver the fully executed documents to the County Real Estate Section for delivery to Rocky Mountain Power.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

Acquisition of a Fee Interest in Certain Real Property From Lolohea Martinez as Part of the Surplus Canal Project and the Transfer of the County's Excess Real Estate Interests in Adjacent Property to Lolohea Martinez

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
 [RE 3750.087 Martinez Contract](#)
 [Resolution for Surplus Canal - Martinez](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5703

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL, APPROVING THE ACQUISITION OF A FEE INTEREST IN CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY FROM LOLOHEA MARTINEZ AS PART OF THE SURPLUS CANAL PROJECT AND THE TRANSFER OF THE COUNTY'S EXCESS REAL ESTATE INTERESTS IN ADJACENT PROPERTY TO LOLOHEA MARTINEZ

RECITALS

- A. Salt Lake County ("County") is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the Surplus Canal, which is designed to divert water from the Jordan River to control flood flows.
- B. Over the years, the County has acquired various types of interests from private property Owner for the Surplus Canal's existing location.
- C. The County is currently working on a project to clarify and make the real property interests along the Surplus Canal uniform and remove encroachments into the surplus Canal's levee system.
- D. Lolohea Martinez (the "Owner") owns a parcel of real property located in Salt Lake City that is adjacent to the Surplus Canal where the interests of the County need to be clarified and encroachments removed (the "Owner's Property").
- E. Following negotiations, the County and the Owner have agreed that the Owner will convey a portion of the Owner's Property to the County by quit-claim deed ("Owner's Deed").

F. To clear up any cloud on the Owner’s remaining title, the County will quit-claim to the Owner any excess interest it may have in the remaining owner’s Property beyond the ten feet offset landside toe line established by the owner’s Deed (the “County Property Interest”).

G. It has been determined that the best interests of the County and the general public will be served by accepting the Owner’s Deed from the owner and quit-claiming the County statutes and county ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED Salt Lake County Council that the County Property Interest is hereby declared surplus property.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that upon receipt of Owner’s Deed from Owner, the Mayor and County Clerk are authorized to execute the quit claim deed for the County Property Interest, and to deliver that fully executed document to the County Real Estate Section for delivery to Owner.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

- 8.7 **A Resolution of the Salt Lake County Council Approving an Agreement With the Utah Department of Transportation for a New Force Main Sewer on California Avenue, Benefiting the Salt Lake County Landfill** [20-0181](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[13149_UT_Agree_SLCounty_Btrmt Agmt No 1.pdf](#)
[Resolution UDOT Betterment Agreement.pdf](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5704

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR A NEW FORCE MAIN SEWER ON CALIFORNIA AVENUE, BENEFITTING THE SALT LAKE COUNTY LANDFILL.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, Salt Lake County (“County”) operates the Salt Lake Valley Solid Waste Management Facility (the “Landfill”); and

WHEREAS, the Landfill uses an underground force main sewer lateral line that runs adjacent to California Avenue; and

WHEREAS, Utah Department of Transportation (“UDOT”) is undertaking significant modifications to California Avenue (“Project”), including widening the roadway such that the sewer line will be underneath the roadway rather than in the shoulder; and

WHEREAS, County will eventually be required to upgrade the sewer line, requiring significant excavation and traffic disruption on California Avenue; and

WHEREAS, UDOT has agreed to make the sewer line improvements concurrent with the road construction project in exchange for a lump sum payment by County of \$128,439.90 as described in UDOT Betterment Agreement No. 1, attached hereto and incorporated as “Exhibit 1”; and

WHEREAS, the Project will benefit the County because the sewer line upgrade will cost less and cause less disruption if done concurrent with the road construction; and

WHEREAS, UDOT will benefit by not having the newly constructed portion of California Avenue adjacent to the landfill disturbed in the future for repairs to the County’s sewer line.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the Salt Lake

County Council authorizes the Mayor to execute the Betterment Agreement No. 1, Exhibit 1.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

The vote on this consent item was ratified.

**8.8 Amendment No. 4 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement [20-0151](#)
Between UPD and Salt Lake County for County Services**

- Attachments:** [Staff Report](#)
[Amendment UPD SLCO 2020](#)
[County Council Resolution - UPD-SLCO Amendment No. 4](#)
[AATF Correction \(4\)](#)

RESOLUTION NO. 5705

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SALT LAKE COUNTY APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNIFIED POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SALT LAKE COUNTY RENEWING AND UPDATING THE PROVISION AND COST OF SERVICES PERTAINING TO FLEET MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INFORMATION SERVICES, COUNTY SURVEY SERVICES, RECORD MANAGEMENT AND ARCHIVE SERVICES, AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT (INCLUDING PRINTING/COPY) SERVICES.

RECITALS

A. Salt Lake County (the “County”) and the Unified Police Department (“UPD”) are “public agencies” as defined by the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Ann. §§ 11-13-101 *et seq.* (the “Interlocal Act”), and, as such, are authorized by the Interlocal Act to enter into this Agreement to act

jointly and cooperatively on the basis of mutual advantage in order to provide facilities in a manner that will accord best with geographic, economic, population and other factors influencing the needs and development of local communities.

B. The County and UPD now desire to enter into Amendment No. 4 Agreement for Services attached hereto (the “Amendment Agreement”) wherein the Parties agree to renew and update the provision (and cost) of services pertaining to Fleet Management Services, Information Services, County Survey Services, Record Management and Archive Services, and Facilities Management (including printing/copy) Services.

C. The County Council believes that the arrangement under the Amended Agreement will contribute to the prosperity, welfare, peace and comfort of residents within Salt Lake County.

RESOLUTION

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the County Council of Salt Lake County:

1. That the Amendment Agreement for Services between Salt Lake County and UPD is approved, in substantially the form attached hereto, and that the Salt Lake County Mayor or her designee is authorized to execute the same.
2. That the Amended Agreement will become effective as stated in the Amended Agreement.

APPROVED and ADOPTED in Salt Lake City, Salt Lake County, Utah this 25th day of February, 2020.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST:

By /s/ MAX BURDICK
Chair

/s/ SHERRIE SWENSEN
County Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council

Member Jensen, that this consent item be ratified. The motion carried by a unanimous vote. Council Member DeBry abstained from the vote.

9. TAX LETTERS

9.1 Assessor Tax Letters [20-0156](#)

Attachments: [2020 Personal Property Tax Refund DMV Vet \\$585.00](#)
[2020 Personal Property Tax Refund DMV \\$269.74](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

9.2 Tax Administration's Tax Letters [20-0178](#)

Attachments: [7.6 CIO Betz, Michael J, #32-02-227-002](#)
[7.6 CIO Bourdos, Peter T, #22-18-476-030](#)
[7.6 CIO Brooks, Mikeal C, #27-19-157-015](#)
[7.6 CIO Glade, Ellenore A, #22-31-481-038](#)
[7.6 CIO Henry, Deborah G #26-25-127-017](#)
[7.6 CIO Livingston, Calvin E, #20-33-226-003](#)
[7.6 CIO Maestas, Juvencio A, #21-10-377-007](#)
[7.6 CIO Perkins, Kerry M #14-35-126-067](#)
[7.6 CIO Spencer, Jean P, #15-22-276-008](#)
[7.6 CIO Vosgerau, Heinz T, #15-03-279-003](#)
[7.6 CIO Wheat, Chad S, #15-29-308-010](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

9.3 Tax Administration's Tax Letters [20-0175](#)

- Attachments:** [7.1 2019 Timely Tax Relief](#)
[7.1a Other Years Timely Tax Relief](#)
[7.2 2019 Late Tax Relief](#)
[7.2a Other Years Late Tax Relief](#)
[7.3 2019 Veteran Exemptions](#)
[7.4 Active Duty Exemptions](#)
[7.4a Other Years Active Duty Exemptions](#)
[7.5 2017-2019 Settlement Larson, Lane L #16-19-253-018](#)
[7.6 CIO Barnett, Joy N #08-27-430-016](#)
[7.6 CIO Berlin, Elna J #22-05-251-028](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

9.4 Assessor Tax Letters [20-0191](#)

- Attachments:** [20-9001 Personal Property Tax Reduction Request 38F
163020 \\$,317.63](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

9.5 Assessor Tax Letters [20-0160](#)

Attachments: [20-35-200-049-0000.pdf](#)
[20-35-226-001-0000.pdf](#)
[20-36-226-001-20000.pdf](#)
[33-22-151-002-0000.pdf](#)
[26-14-200-018-0000.pdf](#)
[26-28-400-005-0000.pdf](#)
[21-25-480-027-0000.pdf](#)
[26-26-100-004-0000.pdf](#)
[14-35-228-023-0000.pdf](#)
[14-02-200-002-0000.pdf](#)
[33-07-253-172-0000.pdf](#)
[33-07-376-017-0000.pdf](#)
[33-07-376-013-0000.pdf](#)
[33-07-277-016-0000.pdf](#)
[28-29-354-023-0000.pdf](#)
[14-21-200-029-0000.pdf](#)
[28-10-426-056-0000.pdf](#)
[16-31-402-004-0000.pdf](#)
[16-03-300-002-6002.pdf](#)
[33-10-207-013-0000.pdf](#)
[26-03-400-004-0000.pdf](#)
[26-10-100-003-0000.pdf](#)
[21-30-300-030-6011.pdf](#)
[08-33-251-003-6122.pdf](#)
[21-11-456-002-0000.pdf](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

10. LETTERS FROM OTHER OFFICES

10.1 Assessor - County Contribution Information

[20-0114](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Application for Contribution](#)
[Nonprofit Agreement_AATF](#)
[CSS - Financial Statements - 2018](#)
[CSS 501 c 4 registration](#)
[CSS and SLC Contribution Agreement](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11. PRIVATE BUSINESS DISCLOSURES

11.1 Disclosure Statements for Parks and Recreation: [20-0130](#)

Amber Milne - Starfish Aquatics;
Art Lavato - TDs Legal Process;
Ashley Bowen - BYU, Recreation Therapy Solutions;
Erin Bonin - Heartland Community 4 Youth and Families, UVU;
Jason Kehr - Apartment Manager, Sterling Furniture Property Holding Company;
Jonathan Davis - Salt Lake City Public Library;
Julie Peck-Dabbling - Yoga Instructor;
Kyle Darling - Hunter High School;
Rebecca Barley - Auberge Senior Center;
Taylor Abegglen - Evergreen Junior High;
Taylor Smith - Special Olympics Utah;
Sharee McBraun - National Softball LLC.

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Conflict of Interest Forms 2020 - Parks Recreation](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.2 Aging & Adult Services - 2020 Staff Disclosure Statements [20-0157](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Aging & Adult Services Staff Disclosures](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.3 Aging & Adult Services - 2020 Members of Council on Aging & Adult Services [20-0159](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[2020 Council for Aging & Adult Services Disclosures](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.4 2020 Behavioral Health Staff Conflict of Interest Disclosure Forms [20-0161](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[2020 Behavioral Health Staff Disclsoure Forms](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.5 Criminal Justice Services - 2020 Staff Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0171](#)

Attachments: [CJS 2020 Disclsoure Forms](#)
[Staff Report](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.6 Behavioral Health Services Advisory Board - 2020 Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0172](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[BHSAC - 2020 Disclosure Statements](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.7 Health Department - 2020 Staff Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0179](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[2020 Staff Disclosure Statements](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.8 Health Department - Board of Health - 2020 Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0180](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Health Department Advisory Board Discloure Statements](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item bereceived and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.9 Human Services Department - 2020 Staff Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0201](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Yanping Ding](#)
[Ina Landry](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.10 Contracts & Procurement - 2020 Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0183](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Antigone Carlson 2020 Discloure Form](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.11 Real Estate Division - 2020 Staff Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0184](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Real Estate Disclosures](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

11.12 Information Technology Division - 2020 Staff Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statements [20-0188](#)

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[IT Conflict of Interest Staff Forms](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

**11.13 Youth Services Division - 2020 Staff Conflict of Interest [20-0198](#)
Disclosure Statements**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Youth Services 2020 Conflict of Interest Statements](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

**11.14 Records Management & Archives Division - 2020 Conflict of [20-0200](#)
Interest Disclosure Statements**

Attachments: [Staff Report](#)
[Disclosure Statements](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be received and filed. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

12. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

12.1 Approval of Council Minutes for February 4, 2020 [20-0202](#)

Attachments: [020420 Council Minutes](#)

A motion was made by Council Member Bradshaw, seconded by Council Member Jensen, that this agenda item be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

ADJOURN

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS to come before the Council at this time, the meeting was adjourned at 5:55 PM until Tuesday, March 3, 2020, at 4:00 P.M.

SHERRIE SWENSEN, COUNTY CLERK

By _____
DEPUTY CLERK

By _____
CHAIR, SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL